## The

# **Grantite**

Review.

acquirit eundo.

Nascitur exiguus

vires

VOL. X. No. 6.

ELECTION, 1915.

Price 6d.

#### THE PAST YEAR.

At the beginning of the School year in September, 1914, we had "Up Grants" the following School trophies:—The Senior Cricket Shield, the Rackets Cup, the Drill Cup, and the Junior Cricket Cup. Now at the end of the year we have the Senior Cricket and Football Shields and the Sports Cup, which we share with College. The fate of the Junior Cricket Cup still hangs in the balance. Thus it may be seen that we have gained a shield, while losing one, or possibly two, Cups.

Now this is quite a satisfactory performance if it is borne in mind that, more than any other House, Grants has suffered by the war. At Christmas H. B. Thacker, D. G. Veitch, and E. L. Hodgson, all of whom played for the School in both elevens, left. At Easter G. B. Gardiner and J. H. Spence, who had Cricket Thirds and House Colours respectively, went to the R.M.A., Woolwich. This is irrespective of H. S. Todd, who represented us last year, and only left last Election because of the war. And these remarks apply to the Rackets and the Drill Cup, as well as to Cricket and Football.

Therefore we do not hesitate to say that Grants has done well this year, and if we do lose the Junior Cricket Cup—well, we have had it three years running and will soon recover it.

We close with a word of encouragement. Many of those who have represented the House this year are staying on two, or even three years longer, and without boasting we venture to prophesy that Grants will not lack her fair share of victories in the near future.

#### THE SPORTS.

This year for the first time since 1903 (not as we stated in our last issue for the first time in the history of the Cup), we have won the Sports Cup. Unfortunately we have not won it outright, but tying with K.SS., the former holders. We pride ourselves on the excellent effect of the leader in our last number, and hope it will encourage future Editors to inspire the House to further deeds of conquest. To return to the Sports themselves, the thanks of the House are due to F. R. Oliver, M. G. Hewins, R. L. F. Forster, H. J. Salwey, and N. A. Mackintosh, who amassed 6, 5, 3, 8, and 12 points respectively. The last mentioned should beat one or two records before he leaves.

The second day was full of excitement; our hopes of a place in the Open Hurdles were disappointed, but the Quarter Mile under 16 was an unexpected triumph. However, matters were still undecided when it came to the mile, the last important race. Grants were 7 points ahead, and if the second place could be obtained (the other two were a foregone conclusion for College), we should win. As events turned out, Oliver lost the second place by about a foot.

Probably the best individual performances for the House were Oliver's Quarter (57½ secs.), and Mackintosh's High Jump under 15 (4 ft. 9 in.).

The Cup comes "Up Grants" in November.

#### O.T.C.

The O.T.C. is flourishing greatly this term, both in point of numbers and efficiency. Unfortunately, in spite of the fact that a large number of people have joined since the war broke out, the War Office has not allowed the Corps to increase its numbers. At present we have officially 180 in the Corps and a large waiting list, who drill separately.

We were inspected on June 30th by Brigadier-General Broadwood. After the general salute and march past, the company split up and platoons were drilled by their commanders. The Corps was complimented on its efficiency, and Brigadier-General Broadwood remarked on the steady increase of the O.T.C. since the days of Cadet Corps.

On July 8th a Field Day was held with Cranleigh School near Chilworth, in Surrey. Only 180 of the Corps were taken, as the railway could not accommodate more. The officers of both schools acted as umpires, the Company being taken by the Company Sergeant-Major and the Company Quartermaster-

Sergeant.

Out of 47 now "Up Grants" 45 are in the Corps, but as a large number of these are on the waiting list, only 25 went on the Field Day. N.C.O.'s "Up Grants" are: Sergeant Brandon-Thomas, and Lance-Corporals Abrahams and Hollins.

#### PRO PATRIA.

The following names must be added to the lists already published in the GRANTITE REVIEW. They bring the number of Grantites known to be serving with the Forces to 168.

1875-78 BATTERSBY, H. F. Prevost (late Royal Irish Rifles), Correspondent of *Morning Post*.

1887-91 Burton, E. G., Captain, Army Service Corps.

1881-86 CLARKE, C. N., 2nd Lieut., Hertfordshire Yeomanry.

1907-12 Fisher, F. M., 2nd Lieut., Coldstream Guards.

1908-11 Fisher, G. M., 2nd Lieut., Kent Cyclist Battalion. 1903-07 Hadley, W. A. R., Private, 48th Batt. Canadian Ex-

peditionary Force.
1910-15 Kohnstamm, N. M. J., 2nd Lieut., The Manchester
Regiment.

1881-85 Lawson, E. St. J., Major, The Northumberland Fusiliers.

1908-09 Mason, J. H., 2nd Lieut.

1891-96 Moon, L. J., 2nd Lieut., The Devonshire Regiment.

1898-1902 OLDHAM, THE REV. G. M. S., Honorary Chaplain, Brigade of Guards.

1897-1900 SHEPPARD, C. W., 2nd Lieut., The Oxfordshire and Buckinghamshire Light Infantry.

1905-08 Shore, R. A., Gunner, Royal Canadian Artillery.

1879-81 Winckworth, L. H., Private, City of London National Guard.

A further list of names reached us too late for insertion. They will be incorporated in the next number.

#### KILLED IN ACTION.

LIEUTENANT FREDERICK ROGER JOHN TOMLINSON, The South Staffordshire Regiment, was the son of the Rev. A. R. Tomlinson (O.W.), a member of a well-known Westminster family, and was "Up Grants" from 1905 to 1910. At Westminster he won the "Pancake Greaze" two years in succession. He went up to Cambridge, and on the outbreak of the war joined the South Staffordshire Regiment. He was at first reported "missing," but it is now known that he was killed by a shell while on his way to the Field Hospital.

LIEUTENANT CECIL HENRY VINEY, The Northamptonshire Regiment, also came "Up Grants" in 1905. He left, however, after two years in order to take up art, but joined the Army when the war broke out.

#### DISTINCTIONS GAINED BY GRANTITES.

The following distinctions have been gained by Old Grantites:—

Major A. Ellershaw has been mentioned in Despatches and granted a D.S.O.

COLONEL C. B. VYVYAN, C.B., has been mentioned in Despatches and granted a C.M.G.

#### SENIORS.

#### GRANT'S v. RIGAUD'S.

Rigaud's won the toss, and elected to put Grant's in on a perfect wicket, on which we should have made a big score. However, the reverse was the case, for we were all dismissed in one hour and a half for the meagre total of 67. This was due partly to the fact that several of the team were new to Seniors, yet there was no excuse for such a poor display. The only batsmen who showed any form at all were Oliver and Andrews, who made 29 and 16 respectively before both were caught and bowled by Watkins. For Rigaud's Watkins bowled very steadily, and occasionally made the ball swing a bit; he came out with the excellent figures of 7 for 37.

Rigaud's start was disastrous, since with his fourth ball Oliver clean bowled Linford with a ball which just touched the off stump; at 4 and 16 he met with further successes, bowling Moss and Watkins. Then Powell joined Berryman, and both played good cricket, causing Radford to come on for Oliver. This change soon proved effective, Berryman being caught at point for a well played 34. Afterwards wickets fell fast, and the side were out for 91, Oliver taking 5 for 49, and Radford 3 for 21. Grant's going in again lost Croome and Salwey with only 3 on the board, but Oliver and Andrews put on 106 in an invaluable partnership for the third wicket, before the former was stumped off Berryman for 54. Andrews and Holmes put on 61 for the next wicket, when Andrews was clean bowled for a very sound 91. Hepburn followed in, and runs continued to come easily, until at 215 the latter was l.b.w. Holmes and Smith put on over 100 in an hour, both batting splendidly, hitting the ball to all parts of the field. Holmes got 84 and Smith 71. Subsequently the later batsmen also did well, and the innings was declared closed at 372 for 8.

Rigaud's started well on their big task, 32 being scored for the first wicket in a quarter of an hour. The rest of their innings calls for very little description, as Oliver went through the whole side, taking 7 for 27, including the hat trick, which he accomplished by dismissing Cass, Moss, and Bailey with consecutive balls. Powell and Berryman, the first pair, and later on Rowlands, were the only batsmen who could play him even with the smallest confidence.

Thus Grant's won a handsome victory by 280 runs against a side who, though they had no one in the Big Game, at one time looked very like beating us.

Scores :---

Gr.	ANTS					
1st Innings.			2nd Innings.			
N. P. Andrews, c. and b. Watkins		16	b. Watkins	91		
V. Croome, l.b.w., b. Watkins		0	b. Watkins	0		
H. J. Salwey, b. Watkins		2	c. Moss, b. Linfold	4		
F. R. Oliver, c. and b. Watkins		29	st. Proger, b. Berryman	54		
R. Smith, b. Watkins		7	l.b.w., b. Watkins	71		
R. Holmes, b. Linford		5	b. Watkins	84		
W. Hepburn, b. Watkins		0	l.b.w., b. Fleischmann	20		
M. G. Hewins, b. Watkins		0	not out	19		
R. L. F. Forster, run out		4	c. Proger, b. Berryman	8		
M. C. Radford, run out		1	not out	15		
A. C. Abrahams, not out		. 0	did not bat			
Extras		3	Extras	7		
Total		67	Total *	372		

<sup>\*</sup> Innings declared 372 for 8 wickets.

RIGAUDS.						
1st Innings.				2nd Innings.		
B. Linford, b. Oliver			0	b. Oliver	1	
M. Berryman, c. Holmes, b.	$\operatorname{Rad}$	ford	34	b. Oliver 1	4	
F. L. Moss, b. Oliver			0	b. Oliver	0	
H. W. Watkins, b. Oliver			8	c. Forster, b. Oliver	0	
P. D. T. Powell, run out			18	c. Abrahams, b. Oliver 1	5	
G. Bailey, b. Oliver			8	b. Oliver	0	
F. Fleischmann, b. Radford			4	b. Radford	0	
C. W. Proger, b. Radford			1		Ō	
W. G. Cass, not out			3		3	
S. G. Scholefield, b. Oliver			5		9	
A. Rowlands, did not bat					9	
Extras			10		7	
				_	_	
Total			91	Total 6	8	
			_	_		
CD A NITTO				RICALDS		

#### RIGAUDS.

Oliver, 5 wickets for 49 and 7 for 27. Watkins, 7 wickets for 37 and 4 for Radford, 3 wickets for 21 and 3 for

Holmes and Abrahams also bowled.

Linford, 1 wicket for 27 and 1 for 89. Fleischmann, 1 for 49 (2nd inn.). Berryman, 2 for 58 (2nd inn.). Moss and Rowlands also bowled.

#### SENIORS.

#### GRANT'S v. HOME BOARDERS.

May won the toss and put us in on a plumb wicket on which scoring should have been easy. As in the semi-final we started badly, two wickets being down for 16. Then Oliver and Andrews. playing at first cautiously and then with confidence, raised the score rapidly to 112, at which juncture Lowe, who came on for Julian, dismissed Andrews with his first ball. Andrews had made 81 by fine forcing cricket and given the House a good start. next batsman was G. L. S. Kohnstamm, who was included in the side instead of Croome, who was out of School. These two put on another 80 runs when Oliver, who had batted finely for 21 hours for 65 and placed the side in an excellent position, fell a victim to Julian.

Then followed a bad collapse, for until the last batsman came in no one but Kohnstamm could play the bowling, and we looked like being out for under 200. However, Abrahams and Forster put on 19 for the last wicket, and the innings closed for 218. For H.BB. Lowe took 3 wickets for 21, Stones 3 for 41, and Julian 3 for 67.

H.BB. batted very weakly, making all allowance for the good bowling of Oliver, who kept his length well and turned the ball a bit. J. Brookman, L. H. H. Lowe, and C. R. Julian were the only batsmen who showed any form, and our opponents' first innings

produced only 58.

Following on 160 behind H.BB. again started badly, Oliver dismissing Julian in his first over, and Holmes clean bowling Stones. Visions of an innings' victory loomed large, but Lowe and Brookman made a great stand until the latter was bowled by Oliver (3 for 79). Rain now came to the help of the batting side, and nothing could be done with the ball, which became like a piece of soap. Several changes were made in the bowling without success. Meanwhile, the score was mounting rapidly. May and Lowe, batting soundly, put 73, and looked good for another 50, when Oliver put himself on again at the right moment, and bowled May for a well played 54.

Forster now came on for Radford at the pavilion end, and starting with a maiden continued to send them down with such effect that he ultimately secured 2 wickets for 12. The remaining batsmen, except Macfarlane, who hit out well, did not put up much resistance, and H.BB. finished up with 233, leaving Grant's

74 to win.

Going in again Grant's secured 25 for the loss of Smith, when the rain, which had been coming down in a desultory way for about two hours, now increased to such an extent that play had

to be stopped for the day.

The match was finished the following afternoon on a pitch made a little difficult by the rain of the day before. Andrews helped Salwey, who had gone in first, to put on another 9 runs before the latter was caught. It was rather difficult to time the ball, which resulted in a large number of catches being sent up. Had these been held the result of the match might have been different. G. Kohnstamm was soon run out, but when Oliver and Andrews were together we regained some confidence. Andrews, however, was caught with the score at 40, and Holmes suffered the same fate with but three more added. Hepburn stayed in a few overs but made nothing, and 6 wickets were down for 50 and the situation precarious, when Hewins came in. But this partnership of Oliver and Hewins was destined to determine the match to some extent, for when Oliver was bowled for a steady 19 only 8 runs were required. Forster, who came in next, played as steadily as could be expected under the circumstances, while, with the aid of Hewins, who was absolutely set, the runs were knocked off.

Thus Grant's won a memorable victory by 3 wickets, after a match which yields to none in sustained excitement. M. C. Radford, M. G. Hewins, G. Kohnstamm, R. L. F. Forster, and W. Hepburn received their House Colours after the match.

At Election 1915, for the first time at the end of a school year

since Election 1911, we have both Shields.

Scores :— Grants.	
1st Innings. 2nd Innings.	
N. P. Andrews, b. Lowe 81 c. Pulvertaft, b. Stones	8
R. Holmes, c. and b. Julian 3 c. May, b. Lowe	ĭ
H. J. Salwey, b. Julian 0 c. Julian, b. Stones	$2\overline{1}$
E D OF 1 T.F 65 L T	19
G. L. S. Kohnstamm, l.b.w., b. Lowe 28 run out	ĩ
R. Smith, b. Stones 0 b. Lowe	3
TTT TT 1 T also 1 Ct area 0 1 Ct area	ő
	14
	3
M. C. Radford, c. Edwardes, b. Lowe 0 did not bat	
A. C. Abrahams, b. Edwardes 4 did not bat	
Extras 24 Extras	4
EXURAS 2± DAMAS	-
Total 218 Total	74
10tal 210 10tal	14
Home Boarders.	
C. E. Stones, b. Radford l b. Holmes	1
L. C. West, b. Radford 0 b. Oliver	6
R. C. May, b. Oliver 4 b. Oliver	54
L. H. H. Lowe, b. Holmes 8 c. Holmes, b. Forster	75
J. Brookman, b. Oliver 16 b. Oliver	26
C. R. Julian, not out 13 c. Smith, b. Oliver	0
P. T. E. Jackson, b. Oliver 6 b. Forster	1
J. A. Lasbrey, b. Oliver 0 run out	3
H. E. Macfarlane, b. Radford 3 b. Oliver	30
T. Edwardes, b. Oliver 1 b. Oliver	4
R. J. V. Pulvertaft, b. Oliver 0 not out	3
Extras 6 Extras	30
Total 58 Total	233
<u>-</u>	
Grants. Home Boarders.	
Stones, 3 wks. for 41 and 3 for 39. Oliver, 6 wks. for 18 and 6 f Julian, 3 wks. for 67 and 0 for 13. Holmes, 1 wk. for 5 and 1 for	

Stones, 3 wks. for 41 and 3 for 39. Julian, 3 wks. for 67 and 0 for 13. Lowe, 3 wks. for 21 and 3 for 18. Edwards, 1 wk. for 10 and

Oliver, 6 wks. for 18 and 6 for 82 Holmes, 1 wk. for 5 and 1 for 28. M. C. Radford, 3 wks. for 29 and 0 for 49.

Brookman and Lasbrey also bowled. Forster, 2 wks. for 12 (2nd inn.).
Hewins and Abrahams also bowled.

#### JUNIORS.

#### GRANT'S v. HOME BOARDERS.

Andrews won the toss, and deciding to bat went in first with Buckley, but was bowled by Stones before scoring. This misfortune seemed to exercise a bad effect on the nerves of the whole side, to judge from the feeble exhibition of batting which followed, Salwey alone batting with confidence. Holmes and Kohnstamm got out just when they began to look like making runs. Finally the innings closed for the poor total of 78. Home Boarders started badly, no one but West batted confidently, and 7 wickets

were down for 54. Then Ritherdon joined West, and the partner ship produced 57 runs, our opponents finishing up with a lead of 33.

Grants opened their second innings more auspiciously, and the first partnership produced 40 runs, the second added 20, and the third 119. This great stand was by Andrews and Kohnstamm, who treated with scant respect the Home Boarderite bowling. Eventually Andrews got 129 and Kohnstamm 54, and Home Boarders were left with exactly 200 to make to win. Now it is notorious that the fourth innings of a match is the least likely to produce a high score, but it is really remarkable that after having 40 up for 1 wicket, Home Boarders should have been all out for 61. All honour is due to Holmes, who, by taking 5 wickets for 12, was the principal cause of our winning by the broad margin of 138 runs.

Scores :--1st Innings. GRANTS. 2nd Innings. .. 129 c and b. Stones retired .. 26 c. West, b. Stones 8 5 did not bat 13 c. Clare, b. Stones G. Kohnstamm, b. Stones ... 14 c. Simpson, b. Jackson, G. . . C. Pinder, b. Stones ٠. c. Stones, b. Turberville N. A. Mackintosh, l.b.w., b. Clare not out .. . . . . E. W. Spencer, b. Stones ... b. Stones . . ٠. E. G. Robey, b. Stones F. J. Thorold, not out c. Ellis, b. Jackson, G... ٠. 1 3 b. Jackson, G. .. 28 Extras 9 Extras Total .. 232 78 Total 1st Innings. HOME BOARDERS. 2nd Innings. G. Turberville, b. Robey 26 run out .. L. C. West, b. Thorold 39 15 run out ... C. E. Stones, run out 11 b. Holmes 5 C. R. Ritherdon, c. Spencer, b. Thorold G. T. Jackson, b. Robey N. Dunscombe, b. Holmes 0 25 b. Holmes 0 c. Spencer, b. Holmes... 1 did not bat b. Thoroldb. Holmesb. Holmesb. Thorold D. C. Simpson, not out B. Pulvertaft, l.b.w., b. Holmes G. E. Clare, did not bat G. Ellis, b. Holmes ٠. Cazeaux, b. Holmes 0 not out .. ٠. . . . . Extras 18 Extras Total .. .. 111 Total ... 61 GRANTS.

Holmes, 4 wks. for 32 and 5 for 12. Thorold, 2 wks. for 13 and 2 for 9. Robey, 2 wks. for 41 and 0 for 22. Andrews, 1 wk. for 7 and 0 for 11.

HOME BOARDERS.
Stones, 6 wks. for 42 and 4 for 90.
Clare, 2 wks. for 22 and 0 for 47.
Jackson, 1 wk. for 5 and 3 for 36.
Turberville, 1 wk. for 31 (2nd inn.)

#### JUNIORS.

#### GRANT'S v. ASHBURNHAM.

1st Innings.

ASHBURNHAM: 129. Ker 55, Horton 25, Holmes 8 wkts. for 46. Grant's: 115. Andrews 64, Holmes 18, Rudge 8 wkts. for 59.

2nd Innings.

ASHBURNHAM: 137. Horton 37, Rudge 33, Holmes 5 wkts. for 37.

Grant's: 153 for 5 wkts. Andrews 108 not out, Kohnstamm 27,

Graham 4 wkts. for 59.

The respective captains made most of the runs, both hit hard and played finely. Kohnstamm played well in second innings, while Holmes bowled well and Croome kept wicket excellently. For Ashburnham, Horton and Rudge also did well. This is the fourth year in succession Grant's have won the Junior Cricket Cup.

#### CRITICISMS OF THE SENIOR TEAM.

- F. R. Oliver. Has made a good captain, both of the School and of the House XI.'s. A very sound bat, who was unable to get going for the School, but showed good form in Seniors. Has bowled with great success throughout the year, and has improved greatly in the field.
- N.P. Andrews. A splendid bat, who has done extraordinarily well, both for the School and the House. He has excellent strokes all round the wicket, and should turn into a very fine player. A sound field.
- R. Smith. Has been greatly handicapped by an injury to his arm, which has only allowed him to play a few times. However, he played a great innings against Rigaud's, making some pretty strokes, and with better luck should be more successful next year.
- H. J. Salwey. Was in excellent form at the beginning of the term, making a lot of runs in the Big Game and representing the School twice. In Seniors, however, he failed to meet with success, until he played an invaluable second innings against H.BB.
- V. Croome. A good wicket-keeper, who should do very well next year, as he has a good style, and takes the ball very neatly. We were unfortunate in having him away against H.BB. Though a good bat, he met with little success in Seniors, or Juniors.

- R. Holmes. Played a good innings against Rigaud's in Seniors, but must keep his bat straight when driving. Bowled well in Juniors.
- M. G. Radford. Quite a useful fast bowler, who did well in Seniors, but must try to get more control over his length. At present is merely a hitter, and should steady down a bit.
- M. G. Hewins. Batted extremely well at a critical period in the final against H.BB. Picks the balls up very cleanly in the long field and covers a great deal of ground.
- G. Kohnstamm. Has no style, but is an extremely steady bat, who did well both in Seniors and Juniors. Safe field.
- R.L. F. Forster. A fair change bowler; hits hard, but must learn to play with a straight bat. A good field, who shows plenty of keenness, and saved a lot of runs.
- W. Hepburn. A steady bat, but is at present much too cramped, and must cultivate more scoring strokes. Worked hard in the field.
- A. C. Abrahams. Bowled well in form matches, but failed to meet with any success in Seniors.

#### HOUSE NOTES.

We shall greatly miss the following, who left us last term, since they nearly all represented the House in one way or another:—

- N. M. J. Kohnstamm, 2nd Lieut., 3rd Battn. The Manchester Regt.
- ${\bf A.~C.~H.~Calvert,~2nd~Lieut.,~3rd~Battn.~The~South~Stafford-shire~Regt.}$ 
  - G. B. Gardiner, R.M.A., Woolwich.
  - J. H. Spence, R.M.A., Woolwich.

Our numbers are increased by the following new boys, whom we welcome to the House: J. A. Jenkins and D. St. J. Heald, boarders, and C. P. Buttar, F. J. Thorold, and A. P. Main, halfboarders; also N. Garrard, from Ashburnham, a half-boarder.

This term F. R. Oliver and M. G. Hewins have become boarders, and N. A. Mackintosh has become a half-boarder.

- W. Hepburn is Head of the House; he is assisted by G. F. Waley and R. Smith (half-boarders), and F. R. Oliver and M. G. Hewins (boarders).
- J. R. Brandon-Thomas has been promoted to Sergeant in the Corps, and A. C. Abrahams and C. B. Hollins to Lance-Corporals.

The Sports were held at the beginning of this term, Grant's tying for the Cup with K.SS. (holders), 34 points all. The Cup comes up Grant's in November.

The Smythe House Wooden Racket Cup was competed for this term and won by

- E. H. Samuel, J. R. Brandon-Thomas, and R. L. F. Forster have come up from Outer to Middle. N. Radford and N. P. Andrews have come into Outer from Hall.
- F. R. Oliver (capt.) and N. P. Andrews have played regularly for the School, and H. J. Salwey twice. Oliver has won one of the three bats for bowling, and Andrews has won three bats for batting.

У

Grant's have won both the Shields this year; this makes the fifth win for us of the Cricket Shield in the last seven years. We have also won the Football Shield three times in the last five years.

V. Croome and R. Holmes received their House Colours after the match with Rigaud's, and M. C. Radford, M. G. Hewins, G. L. S. Kohnstamm, R. L. F. Forster, and W. Hepburn after the Final.

We congratulate W. Hepburn on his fine achievement in passing first in the Chartered Accountant Preliminary Examination.

#### WOODEN RACKETS.

After a lapse of some time the Smythe Cup was again played for. The draw was somewhat unfortunate, as some of the best players came together in the early stages.

Oliver }	Oliver	)		
Hepburn [	(15-7) $(15-6)$	Oliver		
Waley	Hollins	(15-2) (15-4)	,	١
Hollins J	(15-5) $(15-8)$		Oliver	ł
Samuel )	Andrews	)	(15-3)(15-3)	
Andrews \(\)	(15-2)(15-1)	Andrews		
Warburg	Warburg	\((15-9)\)\((15-12)\)		
<u> </u>	(11-15) (15-11)			Oliver
Salwey	(15-12)	)		(15-9)(9-15)
Usher )	Hewins	1		(15-4)
Hewins \( \)	(15-5) $(15-3)$	Hewins \		] ' '
Forster )	Forster	(15-11) (8-15)		1
Holmes	(15-4)(15-6)	) (17-14)	Hewins	1
Kohnstamm	Kohnstamm	1 ' '	(15-7) $(15-6)$	
Radford, N	. [ (15-3) (15-2)	Kohnstamm	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,
Smith )	Sharpe	(15-1) (15-6)		
Sharpe ]	w.o.	)		

The games were in many cases far more even than the scores show. The matches between Salwey and Sharpe and Warburg and Andrews were very hotly contested. Hewins and Forster took 13 hours to decide their match. Eventually Hewins, who was 6-game ball in the third game, pulled up to game ball all and ran out. In the semi-finals, Oliver, who was very strong of the back hand, won easily, as did Hewins against Kohnstamm, which caused some surprise. The final ran to three games. Oliver, who was serving well, won the first game—9. In the second the score was reversed and a close match was expected. However, thanks to good back-handing and the bad luck of his opponent, Oliver won fairly easily. The loser's service, which had materially helped him thus far, was not so good in the final.

#### YARD TIES.

The Draw was as follows:-

Hepburn	Oliver	Hewins
Robey	Morgan	Salwey
Cahn	Sutton	Ballantyne
Radford, M.	Forster	Holmes
Andrews	Radford, N.	Kohnstamm
Cardale	Jenkins	Heald

```
First Round:—Oliver
Forster
Forster
Hewins
Holmes
Holmes
Hepburn
Radford
Forster
Hewins
Radford
Radford
Radford
Radford
Hepburn
Radford
Radford
Radford
Radford
Radford
Radford
Radford
Radford (3 wkts.)
```

The ties for a change went fairly evenly, the match between Oliver and Forster proving very exciting. The result of Hepburn v. Radford was somewhat surprising, for Hepburn led on the first innings by 23 to 16. In the other semi-final some very keen play was seen. A curious feature was that while the scores in the first innings were 22 and 18, in the second knock the sides were out for 2 and 3 respectively, Forster winning by 3 runs. The final, after a very exciting game, was won by Forster by 2 wickets. Forster took all the wickets for his side, while Andrews alone scored for the losers. Jenkins batted best for the winners, and Radford, M., bowled well for his side.

# YARD BALL ACCOUNT. RECEIPTS.

						£	s.	d.
46 sixpences coll	lected					1	3	0
27 balls, broken	or skied, at	4d. ea	$\mathbf{ch}$			0	9	0
226 balls, broker	n or skied, a	t 3d.	each			<b>2</b>	16	6
2 sticks	••	• •	• •		• •	0	1	0
	Total	••				£4	9	6
	Exp	ENDITU	RE.					_
						£	s.	$^{\mathrm{d}}.$
3 dozen balls, at						0	10	6
7 dozen balls, at	t 5s. the $doz$	zen				1	15	0
Wicket						0	<b>2</b>	6
3 sticks	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	0	1	6
	Total	• •		••		£2	9	6

F. R. OLIVER.

Audited and found correct.

W. HEPBURN.

The balance of £2 was handed over to the Grantite Review.

W. H.

#### BIRTHS.

On March 22nd, the wife of Captain A. H. Woodbridge, of a daughter.

Recently the wife of H. D. Everington, M.B., of a son.

#### DEATHS.

We regret to have to record the death of the Rev. Canon Edmund Richard Dowdeswell, of Pull Court, Tewkesbury, who was up Grant's from 1859–1863. The death of Canon Dowdeswell removes the last of a family who for nearly three hundred years sent all her sons to Westminster. After holding the living of Bushley until 1898 he became a Canon of Worcester and resided at Pull Court, which he inherited from his brother. The estates now pass to the Berens', another family of long Westminster lineage, who intermarried with the Dowdeswells.

We also regret to record the death of a well-known cricketer in Mr. Robert Drummond Balfour. He was up Grant's from 1857 to 1859, when he was elected into College. He was in the Cambridge Elevens for 1863, 1864, 1865, 1866, and played for Gentlemen against Players in 1866. He died on May 7th.

#### OLD GRANTITES.

The Bishop of Bangor (1858-63) has been elected President, and Lord Justice Phillimore (1857-59) a Vice-President of the Elizabethan Club.

Mr. R. R. Sedgwick was placed in the First Class in the Historical Tripos at Cambridge.

#### CORRESPONDENCE.

To the Editor of THE GRANTITE REVIEW.

DEAR SIR,

Is it not time that organised fives ties were held every term? A year or two ago attempts were made to get them up, but failed miserably. Until Grant's holds fives ties and practises regularly and energetically, there is little chance of winning the Fives Cup, which has remained only too long up College, merely through the slackness of the other Houses.

I am yours, etc., M. G. H.

[I heartily agree with this idea, and hope to put it into practice.—Ed.]

To the Editor of THE GRANTITE REVIEW.

DEAR SIR,

Our excuse for troubling you is that in your last number you called for more correspondence.

We should like to bring it to your notice that the lockers in Chiswick are lockless. Consequently many educational volumes and articles of clothing disappear. Especially is this the case with mathematical treatises, which are common to many forms of the School. Perhaps you could do something to remedy this.

Yours, etc.,
L.L.L.L.
(Locks for Lockless Lockers League).

[The only remedy we can suggest is that those who require locks should have them put on at their own expense.—Ed.]

#### NOTICES.

All correspondence should be addressed to the Editor, 2, Little Dean's Yard, Westminster, S.W., and all contributions must be clearly written on one side of the paper only. It might be stated here that the Editor has received lamentably little correspondence lately.

The annual subscription is 2s. post free, and all subscriptions should be sent to the Editor.

Back numbers may be had from the Editor, price 6d.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his contributors or correspondents.

### Floreat.