



The Elizabethan.

Vol. V. No. 23.

WESTMINSTER, JUNE 1888.

Price 6d.

THE DEBATING SOCIETY.

AN article relative to the Debating Society made its appearance in *The Elizabethan* some three years ago, pointing out the disadvantages under which the Society laboured, and holding forth remedies for them which were, perhaps, easier to suggest than to put into execution. The article, although by no means flattering, was perfectly just; the grievances it pointed out were real grievances, and grievances which were felt by all who took an interest in the Society at the time. But changes have taken place in the Debating Society, and changes, we have great reason to believe, for the better. The Society, we hope to show, has awakened to a sense of its responsibilities; its members have thrown off that studied indifference and contempt with which they were wont to regard it; and unless we are mistaken, it will soon take up its old position as the most popular of the School Societies.

The grievances specified by the writer of the former article were briefly these: The apathy

of the members of the Sixth Form to the proceedings of the Society, and the utter want of preparation of the subject for debate—even by those who were to fulfil the capacities of proposer, seconder, and opposer of a motion.

Last term witnessed the removal of the first, at any rate, of these grievances. Considerable interest was taken in the proceedings of the Society by the Seniors, who were always present in great force. We are the more pleased at this, as it was upon them that former writers have visited the full effects of their indignation. The Town Boys, they considered, were far less to blame. But "the old order changeth," and a debate of last term is still fresh in the writer's memory, at which there were upwards of twenty Queen's Scholars present, and not a single Town Boy. Not one of the five Town Boys in the Sixth favours the Society with his presence. This, of course, should not be. The Society is a School Society, and not a College Society, and should therefore contain representatives from every house. Of course it may be urged that the majority of them who absent themselves are home-boarders, but then it must be borne in

mind that the Society meets but once a week, and that its meetings conclude punctually at a quarter past six. Home-boarders are willing to snatch odd moments to attend their own Debating Society, which, as far as we can gather, excites considerable enthusiasm; and is it hard to expect that they will try to arrange to stay an hour later once a week for the good of the School Society? The School should take precedence of the House in everything; the School Debating Society should take precedence of the House Debating Society. If only home-boarders will show the same enthusiasm towards the former as they do towards the latter, the complaints of the apathy of the members of the Sixth will be effectually and finally silenced.

Great improvements have taken place, too, in the acquaintance of debaters with their subject. One debate continued over four sittings, and was the occasion of considerable interest to the whole Society. The debates, it is true, fell off somewhat towards the close of the term; but this was for reasons which affected all the other societies, notably the Bell Ringing Society, which was obliged by these insidious influences to suspend operations altogether. Taking last term as a whole, we may report real and marked progress; and although the seconder who agrees perfectly with what the proposer has said, &c., &c., still on very rare occasions appears, he is quite the exception, instead of being, as we are afraid he was, the rule.

It would be ungrateful, not to say unjust, to conclude the present article without referring to a circumstance which has had more effect than anything else in bringing about the changes we have described. Last term Mr. Grenfell very kindly consented to be present at the Society's meetings, and to be made Vice-President of the Society. There is no doubt that this was exactly what the Society wanted, as an improvement immediately manifested itself. It had, indeed, been feared by some that the presence of a master would deter hon. members from speaking, and that the debates would thus fail altogether. Facts, we need not say, did not bear out that view. The hearty thanks of all who are interested in the Society's welfare are due to Mr. Grenfell for his kindness in coming forward to help when a great many would not have done so. In conclusion, we can only hope that the Society will continue its career of progress next session, and will more than maintain the position that the Father of the School Societies deserves to occupy.

WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

No. 16.—JOHN, LORD CARTERET.

(Continued from page 259.)

THE historians who have seen nothing in Carteret's attacks on Walpole but mere factious opposition have taken a very superficial view of the former's political career. He was, as we have said, an eighteenth century Palmerston, and would have heartily subscribed to the sentiments of the 'Roman citizen' speech. Walpole was only a domestic politician, and pursued abroad a policy of 'Peace at any price,' though we should probably do him no injustice if we ascribed his pacific views rather to selfishness than to an abiding sense of the evils of warfare. There could be no truce between a politician who believed it England's duty and privilege to set every wrong in Europe right, and a minister who asked nothing of foreign affairs but that their condition should not shake his own seat. The contest which now commenced was a long and severe one, and for seven years Walpole seemed to hold all his opponents at bay, though earnest patriots and disappointed place-hunters, the toadies who clustered round the Heir Apparent, and the staunch old Tories who had never faltered in their allegiance to an exiled house, swelled an Opposition which had no common war-cry except 'Down with Walpole.' But the Minister's jealousy had already removed all colleagues of even respectable ability from the Cabinet; he was forced to do battle at the head of a body of nobodies now long 'forgotten as fools or remembered as worse,' and after the death of the Queen the issue could not long be doubtful.

It would be impossible even to sketch the outlines of this Parliamentary battle; suffice it to say that throughout Carteret figured prominently. Although the debates of those days were not reported, some passages of his speeches have been preserved which prove him no unworthy predecessor of Chatham. One of his most telling speeches was made in a debate on the Porteous Riots, and concluded with bitter truth, 'Ever since I came into the world I never saw a government with so much power and so little authority.' On another occasion, when reiterated Austrian reverses in the Polish Succession War had forced even Walpole out of his favourite quiescence, that Minister wished Parliament to vote supplies blindfold, 'While we negotiate in concert with Holland.' Some peer asked what such 'concert' might imply; whereupon Carteret, with ready felicity, replied, 'It means that we are to spend money and keep the Dutch informed how much we are spending.' It would have been well if English statesmen had laid that saying to heart.

The Spanish War into which Walpole was hurried by the increasing strength of the Opposition has been generally condemned, but it would appear on very insufficient grounds. It was not, perhaps, the necessity for our commercial prosperity, which Lord Carteret's biographer would fain have us believe—but

the history of the world would read very differently if no more unjust war-cry than that of 'No Search' had ever been raised. That English ships should be overhauled by Spanish guardacostas, English seamen mutilated and tauntingly told to bear their wounds to their king and see if he could give them redress, English lives spilt in wanton cruelty or wasted away in loathsome dungeons, all this was gall and wormwood to Carteret. Walpole, on the contrary, scarcely simulated indignation, and fell back on his favourite boast, 'Fifty thousand men slain in one year in Europe, and not one Englishman.' He cannot, however, be regarded as free from blame in that he preferred the responsibility of commencing what he regarded as an unjust and unwise war to the more dignified course of leaving office. His pliability, however, availed him little; the next General Election practically destroyed his majority, and the comparatively insignificant question of an election petition decided the fate of the Minister. It was alleged that the Opposition had descended to the unworthy trick of locking up certain ministerial voters and filling the keyhole with sand. However, Sir Robert accepted the decision and resigned. Lord Wilmington was his ostensible successor; but Carteret was the real Premier, although he only occupied the post of Secretary of State. But the leaders of the victorious Opposition were soon at variance with their followers, who were all hot for the impeachment of the fallen Minister. A great meeting of the party was held at the 'Fountain,' which Carteret haughtily declined to attend, alleging that he never dined at taverns. 'Pulteney went,' writes a contemporary, 'and was abused by His Grace of Argyll.' Carteret made himself still more unpopular by standing up in defence of Walpole, and the interest he took in German politics, while it ingratiated him with the king, made him unpopular with the people, who accused him of sacrificing the interests of England to those of Hanover. It was Carteret who steered his country successfully through all the difficulties which followed the death of the Emperor in 1740, although national prejudices prevented him from attaining the solution he originally aimed at. His activity was prodigious; he flew all over the Continent, negotiating, threatening, cajoling, persuading; then we find him watching the Battle of Dettingen from his coach so closely that 'a soldier, having slain a French officer and spoiled him of his watch, ran with it to Lord Carteret, and asked him to hold it while he went and won another.'

The year of Dettingen was eventful for Carteret both personally and politically. In that year he lost his accomplished and still beautiful wife, after thirty years of married happiness; and almost at the same time died his titular chief, Lord Wilmington. It was for some weeks doubtful who should succeed him. 'Most people wish for Mr. Pelham, few for Lord Carteret, none for Lord Bath,' wrote Horace Walpole; and on this occasion the majority carried the day. But Carteret's force of character made him more than ever the real ruler of the country. Pitt denounced

him as 'the execrable author of our measures, and sole minister.' 'He has carried all with a high hand,' wrote Walpole to Mann, 'and treated the rest as ciphers. . . . He is never sober, his rants are amazing, so are his parts and spirits.' And so even more was the surprise which he prepared for society in the course of the next twelve months.

Lady Sophia Fermor, the eldest daughter of Lord Pomfret, was one of the reigning beauties of London at that time. She had had many admirers, and rumour had married her to more than one of them. Horace Walpole himself is said to have paid her court, a report which may well gain credence from the extraordinary bitterness with which he afterwards wrote of her. Her mother was a fussy, foolish woman, who had published a translation of Froissart. Carteret saw Lady Sophia at a drawing-room, and fell in love with her—within three weeks they were man and wife. 'Only another of Carteret's vigorous measures,' laughed Lord Chesterfield, when he heard of it. All the gossips of London set their wits to work to embellish the strange match between a State minister of fifty-four and a fashionable beauty of twenty-three with the wildest incidents. It was said that Lord Carteret insisted on reading her love-letters aloud to the Cabinet at its formal meetings. Just before the date appointed for their wedding 'she fell ill of scarlet fever, and was given over. His sympathetic anxiety brought on an attack of the gout, but he heroically sent her word that if she was well he *would* be so.' Her first appearance in the character of bride was to stand god-mother to one of her new grand-children, the child of Lady Dysart. While the wits made merry over the various drolleries of the match, they did not forget her former engagement to Lord Lincoln, which was supposed to have been terminated by the gentleman's wish, and an ill-natured epigram was handed round:—

Her beauty, like the Scripture feast,
To which the invited never came,
Deprived of its intended guest,
Was given to the old and lame.

But Lord Carteret and his wife seemed quite satisfied with their marriage, although their absurd 'fondlings' at Ranelagh and elsewhere did nothing to stem the stream of ridicule. However, the tattlers of the day had their attention distracted by the elopement of Henry Fox with the Duke of Richmond's daughter, and we hear no more of what was termed the 'ministerial *ménage*.' FLOREAT.

(To be continued.)

WESTMINSTER AND ETON.

(Continued from p. 251.)

THE memorable victory of 1845 was capped by another in the following year. Though this was not on such a grand scale, the position of our boat was never

seriously threatened by the Etonians. We may here mention incidentally that Rich, our stroke on both these occasions, afterwards occupied the same place for Christchurch at Henley, and that of bow for the University. But such successes were seemingly too good to be repeated. By the date fixed for the next race, Eton's style, which has been described in the previous part of this article, had improved in the most surprising fashion, owing to the able coaching they had received during the latter part of their training. They at once assumed the lead, and in spite of the energetic attempts of our crew, they covered the course from Barker's Rails to Putney in $1\frac{1}{2}$ minutes less than we. In 1848 the Head Masters of both schools united to stop the race, which may account for some deterioration noticeable in the form of both crews during the next two or three years. This was, however, soon repaired; but the race was not re-established till twelve years afterwards, under the rule of Dr. Scott, who paid such attention to the 'corpus sanum' supposed to be the appropriate accompaniment of the 'mens sana.' In spite of the assiduity of our coach, Mr. J. Wright, who when at the school had been unwearied in his efforts to get the contest renewed, the race, which was rowed over a shorter course than formerly, resulted in favour of Eton. Fortune was propitious to our adversaries next year also, when they had six old choices to our three; and the same issue attended the remaining races till their conclusion in 1864.

School Notes.

THE Concert took place on Friday, June 1; the School was filled from end to end, and the efforts of the performers were duly appreciated by the largest audience 'on record' at the Concert.

At Oxford H. Withers and G. G. Phillimore, who were elected to Christchurch in 1886, have obtained 'firsts' in Classical Moderations.

At Cambridge J. Watt, elected to Trinity in 1885, has been placed Senior Optime in the Mathematical Tripos.

The plan proposed by Mr. Rawson for the School Mission is under consideration by a sub-committee, consisting of twelve members of the General Committee. The result of their labours will be placed before the General Committee at their next meeting, on Thursday, June 21. We understand that it is improbable that the income of the Mission will be sufficiently large to admit of the acceptance of Mr. Rawson's plan *in toto*, but we trust that present members of the School will be able, and willing, to

forward that gentleman's excellent scheme by every means in their power.

Messrs. Dickinson, of 114 New Bond Street, have on view some water-colour sketches of the School buildings, from which etchings are to be printed. They form portions of a series of sketches of various public schools and their buildings, and we commend them to our readers as well worth a visit. We trust that the etchings of Westminster in 1888 will command a good sale among friends and members of the School.

We notice with pleasure that R. Armitage and H. W. Smyth, who were 'pink' and 'pink and white' at 'water' respectively, are rowing in the Third Trinity first boat.

W. E. Roller is playing for Surrey this season, and C. J. M. Fox for Kent.

THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER *v.* KENSINGTON PARK.

THIS match was played 'Up Fields' on May 26. The Visitors having won the toss, Thompson and Street commenced batting for them against the bowling of Street and Paget. Runs came freely, and at 38 Oliver went on instead of Paget at the lower end. About this time an easy chance of stumping Street was missed, and, profiting by his escape, he commenced to hit. With the score at 80, Clapham went on instead of Oliver, and Paget relieved Blaker, who had just previously gone on at the top end. Street now gave a chance to Hamilton in the deep field, and another to Oliver off his own bowling, but neither of them were taken. At lunch the score was 138 with no wickets down. After the interval, the score still mounted, until at 181 Thompson was caught by Oliver off Street for a well played innings of 78, his cutting being especially noticeable. Street did not stay long, being caught by Lambert for a splendid innings of 106, among his hits being two fives, seven fours, and ten threes. Bettington was soon bowled by Paget. Raphael did not score, and Ride was bowled by Blaker. Hildyard joined Powell, who had been batting well, but was smartly caught by Oliver in the slips. Powell was next taken at the wicket, having made his runs very quickly. The rest, with the exception of J. B. Bettington, did not give much trouble, and the innings closed for the large total of 311.

Westminster was first represented by Olivier and Lambert, and the score was only 4 when the latter was bowled by J. H. Bettington. Barwell came in, and things began to look brighter, but after making 21 runs he was bowled by Wade. Olivier

soon shared the same fate, having batted well for his 21. The next three wickets fell at the same total, but Oliver, who came in next, batted steadily, and was last out, though only making 9. Armitage, after making one good hit, a six to leg, was bowled by Wade, and the remaining wickets fell to the same bowler, the innings closing for the poor total of 73.

For our opponents Wade's lobs proved very destructive, taking 8 wickets for 40 runs. J. B. Bettington also bowled well, as his analysis shows.

The Westminster fielding with two exceptions was disgraceful, at least seven easy chances being missed, and, unless great improvement is shown in this important branch of the game, there can be little chance of success in subsequent matches. For the School, six bowlers were tried, Barwell being most successful, taking 3 wickets for 16 runs.

KENSINGTON PARK.

W. F. Thompson, c. Oliver, b. Street	78
G. H. P. Street, c. Lambert, b. Street	106
E. O. Powell, c. Rutherford, b. Oliver	54
J. H. Bettington, b. Paget	2
W. G. Raphael, b. Paget	0
T. B. Ride, b. Blaker	10
C. G. Hildyard, c. Oliver, b. Barwell.....	6
J. B. Bettington, b. Blaker	18
J. H. Farmer, c. Lambert, b. Street	9
C. F. Wade, c. Hamilton, b. Barwell	0
R. H. Fox, not out	10
L. Easum, b. Barwell	0
Extras	18
<hr/>	
	311

WESTMINSTER.

R. E. Olivier, b. Wade.....	21
A. G. Lambert, b. J. H. Bettington	0
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Wade	21
F. Street, b. Wade	4
E. L. Clapham, c. J. H. Bettington, b. Wade	0
H. R. Blaker, b. J. B. Bettington	0
F. G. Oliver, c. Powell, b. J. B. Bettington	9
P. Armitage, b. Wade	8
R. E. A. Hamilton, l.b.w., b. Wade	1
E. B. Rutherford, b. Wade	0
A. E. Balfour, b. Wade	0
L. C. Paget, not out	1
Extras	8
<hr/>	
	78

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

KENSINGTON PARK.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
F. Street	16	0	69	3
L. C. Paget.....	16	1	61	2
F. G. Oliver	18	2	77	1
H. R. Blaker	16	2	61	2
E. L. Clapham	4	1	7	0
C. S. W. Barwell ...	6.1	1	16	3

WESTMINSTER.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
C. F. Wade	14	2	40	8
J. H. Bettington ...	5	0	24	1
J. B. Bettington.....	8.2	7	1	2

WESTMINSTER v. BUTTERFLIES.

THIS match took place 'Up Fields' on Saturday, June 2, and resulted in another defeat of the School by 80 runs on the first innings. Barwell won the toss, and sent in Olivier and Clapham to face the bowling of Collins and Hornsby. The innings began badly by Olivier being caught at the wicket first ball, and, shortly after, Clapham was dismissed without scoring. Street and Barwell then made a stand, and took the score from 4 to 47, when Street was caught. His innings was an extremely good one, including a good hit to leg for 6. Oliver joined Barwell, and added a few more runs, but Barwell soon after was bowled, and the rest of the innings was a mere procession of our team from the bat-room to the wickets and back. The innings closed for 65, eight batsmen not making a run between them.

After lunch the Visitors went in. Blaker, by means of some lucky balls, got rid of the first three batsmen for 29. Maynard and Hornsby then made a stand, taking the score to 71, when the former was dismissed by a brilliant catch in the deep field by Clapham. The rest of the Visitors' innings calls for little remark, except Hornsby's very steady innings of 35, which included two fives and two fours, and Awdry's fifteen, which he hit up very quickly. Their innings closed for 144; and our team must be congratulated on getting rid of them for so comparatively small a total. The fielding had greatly improved since the last two matches, but the bowling, with the exception of Blaker and Street, was weak. No catches were very badly missed.

The School went in again and played very steadily, though Oliver was dismissed early by a catch. Then Blaker and Street made a fair stand, but Street was caught, with the score at 38, for an innings of 19. Blaker and Barwell raised the score still more, when the latter was bowled, and shortly afterwards Blaker also. Olivier came in next and contributed 19, and was not out when the stumps were drawn, the score being 95 for 6 wickets.

For our opponents the most successful bowlers were Collins and Leslie; for us Blaker, while Street's bowling was very steady.

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
R. E. Olivier, c. Dury, b. Collins	0	not out	19
E. L. Clapham, b. Hornsby...	0	c. Streatfield-Moore, b. Collins	9
F. Street, c. and b. Leslie ...	21	c. Lear, b. Streatfield-Moore	19
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Collins...	17	b. Collins	14
F. G. Oliver, c. Maynard, b. Collins	11	c. Hornsby, b. Collins	0
H. R. Blaker, b. Leslie	0	b. Leslie	19
P. Armitage, run out.....	0	c. Lear, b. Leslie ...	3
E. V. Rutherford, b. Collins	0	} did not bat	
A. E. Balfour, b. Collins	0	}	
E. C. Daniel, b. Collins	1	not out.....	8
C. C. Sharpe, b. Collins	0	} did not bat	
L. C. Paget, not out	0	}	
Extras.....	15	Extras.....	4
<hr/>		<hr/>	
	65		95

BUTTERFLIES.

First Innings.

A. M. Streatfield-Moore, Esq., b. Blaker ...	15
E. H. Maynard, Esq., c. Clapham, b. Paget	21
W. E. W. Collins, Esq., b. Blaker.....	4
S. S. Dury, Esq., b. Barwell	19
C. F. M. Leslie, Esq., b. Blaker.....	4
J. H. Hornsby, Esq., c. Clapham, b. Blaker	35
H. N. Lear, Esq., b. Blaker	1
P. H. Coxo, Esq., b. Blaker	10
C. Awdry, Esq., b. Street	15
W. R. Sheldon, Esq., l.b.w., b. Street	0
C. J. Philips, Esq., not out	4
Extras	17
	144

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Collins	14.2	7	21	7
Hornsby	7	0	20	1
Leslie	7	2	9	2

Second Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Collins	11	7	13	3
Hornsby	12	4	25	0
Dury	5	0	14	0
Streatfield-Moore ...	7	0	31	1
Leslie.....	4	0	9	2

Leslie bowled a wide.

BUTTERFLIES.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Street	22	9	39	2
Blaker	18	5	38	6
Oliver	6	1	16	0
Paget	5	0	25	1
Barwell	8	3	19	1

Blaker bowled a wide.

WESTMINSTER *v.* M.C.C.

THIS match took place 'Up Fields' on Saturday, June 9, in very showery weather, and ended in yet another defeat of the School by 159 runs. Barwell won the toss, and sent Olivier and Clapham to the wickets. At 4 Olivier was bowled. Clapham was then bowled without adding to the score, and Barwell came in; but he too was dismissed first ball—3 wickets down for 4 runs. Oliver then joined Lambert, but at 11 Lambert was bowled. Blaker, Daniel, and Armitage also failed to make any stand, and 8 wickets had fallen for 25 runs. Then Street, who had only just come up-fields, went in and saved the innings from being an utter disgrace. He and Rutherford (who was batting very steadily in spite of a nasty blow from the ball in the mouth) had raised the score above 50 at luncheon time. On resuming play, Rutherford was shortly bowled, and Sharpe went in, and after some steady play was caught, leaving the total at 66, Street being not out for an excellent innings of 23.

The Visitors then sent in Francis and Twynam, who with very free hitting soon knocked up a big

score, and had put together 83 runs before they were separated, Twynam being caught by Oliver at cover-point. France then went in, but was soon dismissed, and Clerke took his place, and he and Francis further raised the score to 138, when Francis was well caught by Blaker in the deep field after a brilliant innings of 86. The rest of their innings calls for little remark, except a steady innings for 21 by Wilkinson, and the innings closed for 225, leaving the Visitors victors by 159 runs.

The fielding of our eleven did not show much further improvement, and the batting seemed weaker than ever. Our fellows do not seem to put any life into their hitting; they appear to think it enough to prevent the ball from knocking down their stumps, though they are not very successful even in that. Probably, if they were to put a little more confidence into their batting, we should not have to record so many defeats.

WESTMINSTER.

R. E. Olivier, b. Hay	1
E. L. Clapham, b. West	3
A. G. Lambert, b. Hay	6
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Hay.....	0
F. G. Oliver, c. Clerke, b. West	11
H. R. Blaker, b. Hay	0
E. C. Daniel, b. Hay	2
P. Armitage, b. West.....	0
E. V. Rutherford, b. Nash	6
F. Street, not out	23
C. C. Sharpe, c. France, b. Nash	5
Extras	8
	66

M.C.C.

A. J. Francis, Esq., c. Blaker, b. Oliver ...	86
H. J. Twynam, Esq., c. Oliver, b. Barwell	33
Capt. Hayhurst France, c. Daniel, b. Clapham	2
Sir W. Clerke, c. Armitage, b. Street	26
F. West, Esq., b. Oliver	2
A. M. Hemsley, Esq., b. Street	0
E. H. Nash, Esq., b. Street	12
Hay, c. Blaker, b. Street	3
E. B. Wilkinson, Esq., c. Street, b. Clapham	21
West, b. Clapham	19
Pentecost, not out	2
Extras	19
	225

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

WESTMINSTER.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Hay	18	8	29	5
West, of Northampton	9	6	12	3
F. West, Esq.....	3	0	5	0
E. H. Nash, Esq. ...	5.2	2	12	2

M.C.C.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Oliver	13	2	38	2
Street	25	3	69	4
Blaker	8	0	30	0
Barwell	11	0	49	1
Clapham.....	5.1	0	18	3

Oliver bowled three wides.

WESTMINSTER v. LORDS AND COMMONS.

THIS match was played 'Up Fields' on Saturday, June 16. Barwell won the toss, and sent in Clapham and Street to face the bowling of Herbert and Rawlin. Clapham was almost immediately caught at point, while the score was only 1. Barwell then joined Street, and things began to look a little brighter until, when the score was at 14, Street was bowled. Barwell was soon afterwards dismissed by a catch, and Olivier was badly run out. The next six runs only put in 7 runs, and of these Rutherford made 4. The batsmen seemed to have no confidence whatever, and came out almost immediately one after the other, and all seemed to be completely nonplussed by Herbert's lob bowling. The innings closed for the miserable total of 22, the smallest number made at Westminster for several years.

For our opponents, Mills and Lord Lewisham went in first, against the bowling of Oliver and Street. The first two wickets fell pretty quickly, but then Mills and Jeffreys got together, and runs came freely, and these two were batting until lunch. After lunch, Jeffreys gave an easy chance—his first ball—but owing to some misunderstanding among the fielders it was missed. Soon after, he was caught for 17, he and Mills having raised the score to 50. The next four wickets only contributed a few runs, when Mills was well stumped by Barwell. His innings of 29 was very useful to his side. The next two wickets did not increase the total, and there seemed some chance of getting them all out under the hundred, but Rawlin and Gladstone made a big stand for the last wicket. Gladstone began to hit directly he came in, but gave two easy chances when the score was 105. Rawlin's innings of 35 was also very quickly hit up, but he, too, was missed before he had made many runs. After raising the score to 149, Oliver got rid of Rawlin, and we went in again to save a single-innings defeat. Clapham and Street went in first again, and seemed about to stay, when Street was bowled for 12. The next three wickets only added 3 runs. Daniel then came in, but had to retire shortly after, being badly cut over the eye. Clapham was then bowled, after having stayed a long time for his 6. The next two wickets fell at the same total, when Sharpe joined Blaker, and it seemed as if they were going to make a stand, but Blaker was caught, and Sharpe was soon afterwards bowled. The innings closed for 42. We were defeated by an innings and 85 runs. For us, Paget was the most successful, taking 6 wickets for 49, and Street bowled steadily all through the innings. For them, Herbert in the first innings and Rawlin in the second were the most successful bowlers. Street's score of 12 was the only one of double figures on our side. Several catches were missed by out fieldsmen at the end of their innings, but otherwise the fielding was fair. Nothing could atone for the disgraceful batting display of all our team.

WESTMINSTER.

<i>First Innings.</i>		<i>Second Innings.</i>	
F. Street, b. Herbert	5	b. Rawlin	12
E. L. Clapham, c. Rawlin, b. Herbert	1	b. Rawlin	6
C. S. W. Barwell, c. Beckett, b. Rawlin	8	b. Rawlin	0
F. G. Oliver, run out	1	b. Herbert	3
R. E. Olivier, b. Rawlin	1	b. Herbert	0
E. C. Daniel, c. and b. Herbert	1	retired hurt	3
E. V. Rutherford c. and b. Herbert	4	b. Herbert	3
H. R. Blaker, b. Herbert.....	0	c. Herbert, b. Rawlins	5
A. E. Balfour, b. Rawlin.....	0	b. Rawlin	0
C. C. Sharpe, b. Herbert.....	1	b. Rawlin	6
P. Armitage, b. Rawlin	0	not out	2
L. C. Paget, not out	0	b. Rawlin	1
Extras.....	0	Extras.....	1
	<u>22</u>		<u>42</u>

LORDS AND COMMONS.

Lord Lewisham, c. Armitage, b. Oliver	6
Hon. C. Mills, st. Barwell, b. Paget	29
C. Lambert, Esq., b. Street	7
A. F. Jeffreys, Esq., c. Oliver, b. Paget ...	17
A. Fellowes, Esq., c. Barwell, b. Paget.....	4
R. T. Reid, Esq., b. Street	0
A. W. Jarvis, Esq., c. Olivier, b. Paget.....	5
Hon. S. Herbert, c. Sharpe, b. Clapham ...	0
E. W. Beckett, Esq., c. Daniel, b. Paget ...	3
G. Baird, Esq., c. Oliver, b. Paget.....	6
H. J. Gladstone, Esq., not out	21
Rawlin, b. Oliver	35
Extras	17
	<u>149</u>

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

<i>First Innings.</i>				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Herbert	10	4	11	6
Rawlin.....	9.1	4	11	4
Street	19	8	41	2
Olivier	7.1	0	20	2
Paget	13	0	49	6
Clapham	5	1	12	1
Blaker	4	0	10	0
<i>Second Innings.</i>				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Herbert	9	2	20	3
Rawlin.....	8.3	3	21	7

THE CONCERT.

THE Concert given Up School on June 1 served as a most successful introduction to the new room, which was then first used in its altered form for this purpose. Even before the removal of the shell, the dimensions of School were by no means contemptible, but the effect was greatly impaired by the succession of platforms and horseshoe desks, which broke the side lines of the building; but in its altered and renovated condition, Westminsters may proudly boast that they possess a schoolroom which cannot be

matched either in size, or, we may venture to add, in elegance, by any of our public schools. Some apprehension was expressed before the Concert that its acoustic properties would be deficient, owing to the nature of the flooring; but considering the great length of the room, and the crowded audience, which must have numbered some eight hundred persons, it was remarkable how distinctly the words and music were heard, even at the far end by the entrance door.

Music at Westminster, under the energetic guidance of Mr. Ranalow, and the fostering care of the authorities, has assumed a very different position to what it held fifteen or twenty years ago, as is sufficiently proved by the enterprise shown by the boys in undertaking a work of so considerable difficulty as Sir John Stainer's 'Daughter of Jairus,' with which the performance commenced. The Choir of over fifty was ably assisted by a professional orchestra, an addition which undoubtedly lent great finish to the Cantata. The effect produced by the 'Mustel' organ, played by A. A. Markham, should also be noticed; in certain passages it was extremely fine.

'The Daughter of Jairus' has not, as far as we know, been very often performed in public, though the duet 'Love Divine' is well known as a gem worthy to compare with Spohr's 'Children learn that love to cherish,' from 'God, thou art great.'

There is, perhaps, an unusual amount of recitative in the Cantata, which was well and clearly delivered on this occasion by A. W. F. Guy, the other solo parts being sung by Messrs. H. Schultz, F. Pownall, and W. S. Rawson. The latter's rendering of the tenor solo 'My hope is in the Everlasting' was admirable. The chorus descriptive of 'the wailing' is a clever piece of writing of its kind, and was most effectively given; but probably the finest part of the work is the closing chorus 'To Him who left His throne on high,' the performance of which was really excellent; and though one of the audience was heard to murmur 'It's not as good as the Handel festival!'—an opinion which is not likely to be controverted—we may safely say that the execution of this number would have done credit to any trained professional choir.

The second part of the programme consisted of a selection of solos and part-music. Of the former we would specially mention Mr. Pownall's rendering of 'Droop not, young lover,' and of 'To Anthea,' which he sang as an encore. The magnificent voice of this soloist is too well known to old and present Westminsters to need any commendation from us. It will suffice to say that he 'brought down the house.' Guy was also enthusiastically received in his song 'Tell me, my heart,' and the audience would have insisted on an encore had he not been obliged to sing again immediately afterwards in Leslie's favourite trio 'Memory.' Guy has a voice of great compass and sweetness, which has been exceptionally well trained. Another well-remembered old Westminster, E. Bray, gave Frederick Clay's 'I'll sing thee songs of Araby'

in finished style; and W. S. Rawson sang very well a charming ballad by a comparatively young, but rising, composer, Arthur Somervell, entitled 'Mary Morrison.' We must also mention the admirable rendering of Moszkowski's 'Danses Espagnoles,' for two violins, by F. Y. Eccles and H. V. Anthony. The latter especially is a promising player. In our opinion, however, the gems of the second portion of the Concert were the two-part song, 'The May Bells,' by Mendelssohn, and the trio 'Rest thee on this mossy pillow,' by Smart, which were sung by the trebles and altos of the School Choir. Great care must have been devoted to secure the finish which so remarkably distinguished these two exquisite compositions; and the way in which they were rendered reflects high credit on the Choir-Master, Mr. Ranalow. A most successful concert was brought to a close by the singing of 'God save the Queen' by the united performers, who were then invited to supper at the Head-Master's house.

The following was the full programme:—

PART I.

THE DAUGHTER OF JAIRUS.

A SACRED CANTATA.

(Composed by JOHN STAINER, Mus. Doc. Oxon.)

1. INTRODUCTION.
2. CHORAL RECITATIVE 'In that day.'
3. RECITATIVE... 'Behold, there cometh' ... C. A. MADGE,
H. SCHULTZ, F. POWNALL, Esq., and tenors and basses.
4. SONG... 'My hope is in the Everlasting'
W. S. RAWSON, Esq.
5. { RECITATIVE 'Then Jesus cometh' ... A. W. F. GUY.
 { CHORUS The wailing ... Trebles and altos.
6. RECITATIVE... 'And when Jesus' ... A. W. F. GUY.
7. CHORUS ... 'In the death of a man' Tenors and basses.
8. { RECITATIVE 'But when Jesus' A. W. F. GUY.
 { CHORUS 'Awake, thou that sleepest.'
9. DUET ... 'Love Divine'
A. W. F. GUY and E. BRAY, Esq.
10. CHORUS ... 'To Him Who left.'

PART II.

- CHORUS ... 'The Miller's wooing' ... Eaton Fanning.
SONG ... 'I'll sing thee songs of Araby' ... Clay.
E. BRAY, Esq.
- TWO-PART SONG 'The Maybells' ... Mendelssohn.
SONG ... 'Droop not, young lover' ... Handel.
F. POWNALL, Esq.
- (Encore) ... 'To Anthea' ... Hatton.
- VIOLIN DUET Danses Espagnoles (Nos. 4 and 5) M. Moszkowski.
F. Y. ECCLES and H. V. ANTHONY.
- TRIO ... 'Rest thee on this mossy pillow' ... H. Smart.
CHORUS ... 'The Miller' ... Macfarren.
SONG ... 'Mary Morrison' ... A. Somervell.
W. S. RAWSON, Esq.
- SONG ... 'Tell me, my heart' ... Sir H. Bishop.
A. W. F. GUY.
- TRIO ... 'Memory' ... H. Leslie.
A. W. F. GUY, F. C. DAVIDSON, C. S. W. BARWELL.
- SONG ... 'The Ride' ... Molloy.
F. POWNALL, Esq.
- TRIO AND CHORUS 'The Chough and Crow' Sir H. Bishop.
- GOD SAVE THE QUEEN.

THE LITERARY SOCIETY.

ON May 18 and 25, the Society read 'Richard II.,' E. L. Clapham taking his seat as a new member on the first night. The principal parts were taken as follows:—

<i>King Richard</i>	F. STREET.
<i>Queen</i>	A. E. BALFOUR.
<i>Bolingbroke</i>	C. S. W. BARWELL.
<i>Duke of Norfolk</i>	W. BUCHANAN.
<i>Duchess of Gloucester</i>	R. E. OLIVIER.
<i>Aumerle</i>	MR. RAYNOR.
<i>John of Gaunt</i>	A. R. KNAPP.
<i>Duke of York</i> }	MR. GRENFELL.
<i>Bushy</i> }	
<i>Bagot</i>	A. A. MARKHAM.
<i>Green</i>	
<i>Duchess of York</i> }	J. B. W. CHAPMAN.

The Concert prevented any meeting on June 1, but on the 8th the following read the chief parts in 'School for Scandal.'

<i>Sir Peter Teazle</i>	A. R. KNAPP.
<i>Sir Oliver Surface</i>	J. H. CUMING.
<i>Charles Surface</i>	H. T. WHITAKER.
<i>Joseph Surface</i>	MR. RAYNOR.
<i>Sir Benjamin Backbite</i>	F. STREET.
<i>Mrs. Candour</i>	R. E. OLIVIER.
<i>Snake</i>	C. S. W. BARWELL.
<i>Lady Teazle</i>	MR. GRENFELL.
<i>Lady Sneerwell</i>	W. BUCHANAN.
<i>Moses</i>	E. L. CLAPHAM.
<i>Maria</i>	A. E. BALFOUR.
<i>Roxley</i>	J. B. W. CHAPMAN.
<i>Crabtree</i>	C. L. C. AVELING.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

SACK (SACK-WHEY).—The following extract from a conversation between the Rev. Mr. Haweis and a wine merchant (published lately in the *Globe*) may interest your readers. 'What is the *sac*, or the Canary *sac*, which so delighted Falstaff?' 'The Canary *sac* is nothing but dry, or *sec*, Canary, a golden, rich wine, sweet, but not treachly sweet. It is now mostly made in Portugal, but formerly in the Canary Isles.' BOSPORUS.

LIFE.

Like bubbles on an ever-rolling stream,
Like figures darkly visioned in a dream,
We are—and we are gone.

Like dewdrops on the fresh green morning grass,
We tremble for a moment ere we pass
Into the great unknown.

G. G. S. G.

AFTER HORACE, ODES I. 5.

Maiden, who the lover slender
Courting thee with promise tender
In the grot where roses render
Perfume sweet?

Who the youth that blindly presses
To his doom? For whose caresses
Dost thou bind thy golden tresses
Plainly neat?

Oft, alas! when vainly pleading
Will he mourn thy vow misleading,
Oft to gods no longer heeding
Will he pray;

When the troubled waters thicken
By the darkening storm-wind stricken,
Oft his tender heart will sicken
With dismay.

Fondly in the hour of leisure
He thy golden smile doth treasure,
Deems thee loving beyond measure,
Constant, true;

Little dreams that thy devotion
Is a transient emotion,
Fickle as the breeze that ocean
Loves to woo.

Hapless they that see thee smiling
As an untried sea beguiling;
Shipwrecked, from thy wanton wiling
I did flee;

And yon hallowed shrine retaineth
My soiled clothing, that remaineth
Tribute to the god that reigneth
O'er the sea.

SCRIBA.

Correspondence.

FROM OUR OXFORD CORRESPONDENT.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—I must apologise for leaving out the name of T. E. T. Shore from among the O. W. W. who obtained Second Class Honours in Moderations. The most important thing lately has been the 'Eights.' R. H. Williams rowed for the House, which made three bumps, and was at the end fourth on the river; C. F. Rogers rowed for Jesus', which made one bump; and C. F. Freeman rowed for University.

In the cricket line Aris made 98 for Hertford against Bradfield School, and Gibson has been bowling very successfully for University.

Believe me, yours truly,

BOSPORUS.

Oxford, June 8.

LORD CARTERET'S ANCESTRY.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—May I be allowed to correct what is very likely only a printer's error in the notice of my ancestor, John, Lord Carteret, in the May number of *The Elizabethan*. His mother's grandfather was Sir *Bevil* not Sir *Bevys* Granville. Possibly the writer won his money over Sir *Bevys* for the Derby of 1879, and was thinking of his triumph.

I am, yours faithfully,

JOHN C. THYNNE.

Little Cloisters, Westminster Abbey, June 2, 1888.

PHOTOGRAPH OF BIG SCHOOL.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—It may interest some of your readers to know that Mr. Wright, jun., at the office of the Clerk of the Abbey Works, has a photograph (12 × 10) of Big School with all the horse-shoes up. It was taken before Dr. Scott left, and I can recommend it as a good photograph. Mr. Wright will be very pleased to supply anyone with a copy for the sum of two shillings.

I am, yours truly,
PHOTO.

St. Peter's College, Little Dean's Yard, S.W.

SCHOOL SCIENTIFIC COLLECTIONS.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—Why are the cabinets containing coins, &c., outside the Scott Library always locked up so that no one can examine the contents? If the authorities are afraid of their being lost if left open, cases with glass tops might be provided for them at comparatively small expense.

I am, yours truly,
X. Y. Z.

Our Contemporaries.

WE beg to acknowledge the receipt of the following: *The Wellingtonian*, *Durham University Journal*, *Felstedian*, *Rossallian*, *Cambridge Review*, *Raven*, *Carthusian*, *Meteor*, and *Ousel*.

ERRATUM.

In the report of the Mission meeting, page 253, left-hand column, bottom line, for H. W. Waterfield, read H. Waterfield.

NOTICES.

All contributions to the July number of *The Elizabethan* to be sent in by July 9 to the Editor, St. Peter's College, Westminster.

All other communications must be addressed to the Secretary of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster, and on no account to the Editor or printers.

The yearly subscription to *The Elizabethan* is 4s. It is requested that all subscriptions now falling due, or not yet paid up, should be forwarded to F. STREET, Treasurer of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster. Post-Office Orders to be made payable at the Broad Sanctuary Post Office, Westminster.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his correspondents.

Contributions cannot be inserted unless they are written on one side of the paper only.

A few photographs of the cast of 'The Phormio,' 1887, may still be had on application to the Captain, St. Peter's College, Westminster.

** A special extra number of *The Elizabethan* will be issued gratis to subscribers, containing an account of the Mission movement as far as it has been carried up to the present time.

Morcat.