



The Elizabethan.

Vol. XII. No. 9. WESTMINSTER, DECEMBER 1907. Price 6d.

THE FAMULUS.

WE welcome an old friend back, and although his name is changed, our welcome is none the colder for that. Forty-seven years ago the 'Eunuchus' was superseded by a Plautine play, an innovation that was looked upon with small favour by most Old Westminsters at the time. It is not unlikely that the return of the old play will also be somewhat unpopular with a certain number of Old Westminsters now. We assure them of our sympathy, at the same time reminding them that the 'Trinummus' has had a very short period of ascendancy: it has only been acted nine times since the last performance of its rival. The latter, on the contrary, was acted thirty-one times during the century and

a half which ended with the first winter of the Crimean War, a winter of deepest anxiety and gloom. Westminster took as grave a view of the situation as was only natural in a school that owned four out of the eight Field-Marschals of the time. The 'Epilogus in Eunuchum' for 1854 opens with the lines:

*Assuetos non hora sales nec inania poscit:
Mos cedat vetus, et mens graviora petat.*

It is obvious, therefore, that in spite of the apparent novelty of our re-christened play to the greater part of the audience, it has yet all the weight of old traditions and associations on its side. Westminster is essentially a conservative school, and it is natural and right that those old traditions should weigh very heavily in the balance. If their weight cannot turn the scale,

there is one more most important consideration: we feel convinced that nobody, upon reading through the 'Famulus,' can deny its enormous superiority to every other play in our cycle. None of the others is so well adapted in every way to the stage. And of the others, we believe we may assert, without fear of contradiction, that the 'Trinummus' is the poorest in this respect, although the sycophant's scene is well worth going very far to see.

It is interesting to recall the fact that it was the 'Eunuchus' which saw the introduction of the true Attic dress by Dr. Williamson. Before 1839 Phaedria, for instance, wore the frock-coat, hat, and boots of modern days, and his brother Chaerea the full uniform of the Guards. It is strange to think of now; and yet only ten years before the change the Prologue to the 'Phormio' consisted of a sturdy and specious defence of the modern costume then worn. We read that the new dresses were very much applauded; while our warmest sympathy is excited by the note which follows to the effect that 'owing to the negligence of the Covent Garden fleshing makers and sandal maker, the First Night's Play was put off from the Wednesday to the Monday following.' It seems rather a pity that the dresses, which had been specially ordered to suit the cast of the 'Eunuchus,' should only have been used four times in that play. In 1851 Prince Albert honoured the last representation but one of the 'Eunuchus' with his presence. It was in the Epilogue of this year that some slight stir was caused by the appearance of Thais, Pythias, and Dorias dressed in 'Bloomers.' A certain lady construed this as an attack on herself, but fortunately showed considerable good sense in the letter which she wrote to the *Times* on the subject, and no unpleasantness ensued.

We feel that some apology is due to those of our readers who are well acquainted with this ancient history. Our excuse is that the majority of our readers are almost certainly ignorant of what should prove interesting to several of them. In conclusion, we are happy to think that our last year has seen the re-introduction of a play so full of old associa-

tions and memories; a play, moreover, which has been most truly described as 'the liveliest and most witty of the six comedies of Terence which still survive.' We are confident that it will justify its return. In the hope that it may interest a few, at least, of our readers, we append the Cast of 1854:—

<i>Phaedria</i>	E. H. WODEHOUSE.
<i>Parmeno</i>	J. F. DICKSON.
<i>Thais</i>	C. E. FISHER.
<i>Gnatho</i>	R. M. FREEMAN.
<i>Chaerea</i>	H. L. HARRISON.
<i>Thraso</i>	G. F. WELLS.
<i>Pythias</i>	H. L. THOMPSON.
<i>Chremes</i>	G. A. À BECKETT.
<i>Dorias</i>	J. P. INGRAM.
<i>Dorus</i>	W. B. COLLIS.
<i>Sanga</i>	W. W. FOLLETT.
<i>Sophrona</i>	T. K. GASKELL.
<i>Laches</i>	J. L. SIM.

The parts of Pythias and Laches were to have been acted by H. Salwey and L. V. Williams, respectively.

WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

Omitted from our last issue:

- COTTON, SIR SYDNEY JOHN, b. 1792, d. 1874. Lieut.-General.
 COWPER, SPENCER, b. 1713, d. 1774. Dean of Durham.
 CREWE, LORD JOHN, b. 1742, d. 1829. Whig politician.

Continued from our last issue:

- DAKINS, WILLIAM, left 1586, d. 1607. Scholar and divine.
 DARBY, JOHN NELSON, b. 1800, adm. 1812, d. 1882. Founder of the sect of 'Darbyites.'
 D'ARCY, ROBERT, 4th Earl of Holderness, b. 1718, d. 1778. Diplomatist.
 DASENT, SIR GEORGE WEBBE, b. 1817, adm. 1830, d. 1896. Scandinavian scholar.
 DEE, ARTHUR, b. 1579, d. 1651. Alchemist.
 DEMAINBRAY, STEPHEN CHARLES TRIBOUDET, b. 1710, d. 1782. Electrician and astronomer.
 DEVISME, LOUIS, b. 1720, K.S. 1735, left 1739, d. 1776. Diplomatist.

DIBBEN, THOMAS, K.S. 1692, left 1696, d. 1741.
Latin poet.

DILKE, Sir CHARLES WENTWORTH, Bart., b. 1810,
adm. 1819, left 1826, d. 1860. Among the first to
propose the International Exhibition.

DOLBEN, Sir GILBERT, Bart., b. 1658, K.S. 1671,
left 1674, d. 1722. Judge.

DOLBEN, JOHN, b. 1625, left 1640, d. 1686.
Archbishop of York.

DOLBEN, Sir JOHN, Bart., b. 1684, K.S. 1700, left
1702, d. 1756. Divine.

DOLBEN, WILLIAM, left 1603, d. 1631. Preben-
dary of Lincoln; Bishop designate.

DOLBEN, Sir WILLIAM, Bart., b. 1726, K.S. 1740,
left 1744, d. 1814. Politician; abolitionist.

DOMVILLE, SILAS, b. 1624, d. 1678. Anti-
quary.

DONKIN, Sir RUFANE SHAW, b. 1773, adm. 1782,
left 1787, d. 1841. General.

DONNE, JOHN, b. 1604, left 1622, d. 1662. Mis-
cellaneous writer.

DOUGLAS, FREDERICK SYLVESTER NORTH, b.
1791, left 1805, d. 1819. Author.

DOVE, HENRY, b. 1640, left 1658, d. 1695.
Archdeacon of Richmond.

DOVE, JOHN, b. 1561, left 1580, d. 1618.
Divine.

DOWDESWELL, WILLIAM, b. 1721, d. 1775.
Politician.

DOWDESWELL, WILLIAM, b. 1761, adm. 1770, d.
1825. General; print collector.

D'OYLE, Sir CHARLES WILLIAM, b. 1770, d. 1842.
Lieut.-General.

D'OYLY, Sir JOHN, Bart., b. 1774, adm. 1787,
K.S. 1788, left 1792. Resident of Kandy.

D'OYLY, SAMUEL, K.S. 1697, left 1700, d. 1748.
Translator.

DRUMMOND, ROBERT HAY, b. 1711, d. 1776.
Archbishop of York.

DRURY, JOSEPH, b. 1750, K.S. 1765, left 1768,
d. 1834. Head Master of Harrow.

DRYDEN, CHARLES, b. 1666, K.S. 1680, left 1683,
d. 1704. Translator; Chamberlain to Pope
Innocent XII.

DRYDEN, JOHN, b. 1631, adm. 1642 (?), left 1650,
d. 1700. Poet.

DRYDEN, JOHN, b. 1668, K.S. 1682, left 1685,
d. 1701. Writer.

DUKE, RICHARD, b. 1658, K.S. 1670, left 1675,
d. 1711. Poet and divine.

DUNDAS, Sir DAVID, b. 1799, adm. 1810, K.S.
1812, left 1816, d. 1877. Statesman.

DUPORT, JAMES, b. 1606, left 1622, d. 1679.
Dean of Peterborough; Master of Magdalene College,
Cambridge.

DUPPA, BRIAN, b. 1588, left 1605, d. 1662. Bishop
of Winchester.

DWIGHT, SAMUEL, b. 1669 (?), K.S. 1686, left
1687, d. 1737. Physician.

DYER, JOHN, b. 1700 (?), d. 1758. Poet.

DYOTT, WILLIAM, b. 1761, d. 1847. General.

Historical Notes.

THE following extracts are taken from the Reports
published by the Historical Manuscripts Commission
on the manuscripts in the possession of the Duke of
Portland. We reserve two extracts for our next
number.

*John Philips to Edward Harley (afterwards Earl of
Oxford) at Mrs. Beresford's house in
Little Dean's Yard.*

1707, April 28, Christ Church, Oxford.

'As soon as I received your letter I enquired
about the nature of the Westminster gratulatory
verses, but could not meet with a satisfactory account,
nor so much as one copy that might be of use as an
example. However, I have procured at last some
verses which (as far as I am able to guess, who am a
stranger to Westminster method) may pass tolerably
for a King's Scholar; you may expect them on
Wednesday night.'

Mrs. Beresford's house, it may be noted, stood on
the site of what is now Grant's, No. 3 Little Dean's
Yard.

Abigail Harley to Edmund Harley, at Oxford.

1709. [Concerning the Sacheverell riot.]

'Mrs. Beresford sent to desire Neddy might be
fetched hither, they (the mob) threatened to tear her
house (at Westminster School) down, and broke all
the windows. One is gone for him. . . . P.S. Neddy
is just come home well.'

*Francis Gastrell, Bishop of Chester (O.W.) to the
Earl of Oxford.*

1718, May 25, Christ Church.

'What the premier director of Westminster School
[Bishop Atterbury] has done lately; how he removed
the Election into Jerusalem Chamber; put down the
election dinner; ordered that no mention should be
made of Bishop Smalridge in the speeches or verses,
&c., I presume your Lordship has heard, since nothing
but the Southsea has been more talked of in all parts
of the Town. The cause about the chamber will be
heard in a week's time.'

*Abigail, Countess of Kinnoul, to her father, the
Earl of Oxford.*

1719-20, Feb. 18, London.

'About a fortnight ago some of the Westminster
Scholars acted a play called "The Orphan." Lord
Erskine's part was Monimia and Tommy acted
Cordelio, the page; they both did their parts mighty
well. Mr. Prior made a prologue which Tommy

spoke as well as I could wish. He told me he would send you a copy of it, which I hope he has done.'

Tommy was afterwards the 8th Earl of Kinnoul.

Benjamin Aycrigg to the Earl of Oxford at Wimpole.
1731, Oct. 23.

'This morning about 4 o'clock a fire broke out in Ashburnham House and consumed the greatest part of the Cottonian Library. I was present the whole time, and saw a few cases preserved and some few books which were flung out of window; but the whole house may be said to be consumed. The fire began, as Dr. Bentley told me, in a stove chimney which had a wooden jamb in it, and was first discovered by himself who, being waked by his lady's coughing, perceived a smell of smoke.'

The late William Hunter Baillie (O.W.), who left the School in 1813, and died in 1895, was fond of relating his reminiscences of Westminster. Here is one of them:—'In the year 1813 some boys stole the figure of a Highlander from the door of a tobacconist. Having no use for it, and being afraid to return it, they buried it in Fighting Green.'

ORATIONS: PLAY TERM, 1907.

THE first trial of Orations took place on Friday afternoon, November 8. Several promising candidates were seized with tremors at the last moment and only four appeared before the expectant school. The piece selected was the King's soliloquy in 'Hamlet,' Act III., sc. iii. The competitors were of very even merit, the difference between the best and the worst being only 3 marks in 30. On this trial Usher and Bonner were judged equal first. Benvenisti was excellent in distinctness and expression, but made many slips in accuracy. Boulton was letter-perfect, but not so good in elocution. Usher was good all through; but Bonner, while a little superior to the rest in distinct enunciation, lost marks, as usual, by false emphasis.

School Notes.

EARLY in November the Photographic Society held an Exhibition in the old Lecture Room. Prizes were offered for various kinds of photographs, and some really excellent prints were submitted. We had intended to give a more detailed account, but want of space forbids.

The first trial of Orations was held Up School on Friday afternoon, November 8, C. G. Usher and C. B. Bonner being bracketed first. The competition was very close, as may be judged from the account which we print elsewhere.

On Friday, November 15, the Head Master gave a lecture Up School on 'Weather Charts and Prophecies,' which proved most instructive, being illustrated by some very interesting slides.

On Wednesday, November 20, the Cadet Corps formed a Guard of Honour to the Duchess of Albany, at the opening of the Infants' Hospital in Vincent Square. The Corps was afterwards provided with tea inside the Hospital.

On Friday, November 22, Canon Jephson gave a most entertaining and enjoyable lecture on 'Six Thousand miles' travel in America.' The lecture and the slides were intensely appreciated, and we are glad to take this opportunity of repeating the Head Master's words of thanks.

A few members of the Corps attended a Field Day arranged by the Inns of Court at Richmond Park, on Saturday, November 23.

The following is the Football Card, filled in to date:—

1907		
Sat.	Sept. 28	<i>v.</i> Old Lancing Boys. (Lost, 1-5.)
"	Oct. 5	<i>v.</i> Old Westminsters. (Lost, 1-5.)
"	2nd XI.	<i>v.</i> O.W.W. (A) (Drawn, 0-0.)
"	Oct. 12	2nd XI. <i>v.</i> King's Coll. (A) (Lost, 0-3.)
Wed.	Oct. 16	<i>v.</i> Old Brightonians. (Lost, 0-6.)
Sat.	" 19	<i>v.</i> Casuuls. (Lost, 0-6.)
Wed.	" 23	2nd XI. <i>v.</i> Oxford House. (Drawn, 0-0.)
Sat.	" 26	<i>v.</i> R.M.A. Woolwich. (Lost, 0-4.)
"	Nov. 2	<i>v.</i> Emeriti. (Drawn, 1-1.)
"	Nov. 23	<i>v.</i> Brasenose Coll., Oxon. (Scratched.)
Wed.	" 27	<i>v.</i> C.O.W.W. (Won, 6-1.)
Sat.	" 30	<i>v.</i> Old Felstedians.
"	Dec. 7	<i>v.</i> Old Berkhamstedians.
"	" 14	<i>v.</i> Old Etonians.
1908.		
Sat.	Jan. 18	<i>v.</i> King's College, Cambridge.
"	2nd XI.	<i>v.</i> O.W.W. (A)
"	Jan. 25	<i>v.</i> Casuuls.
"	Feb. 1	<i>v.</i> Beckenham.
Wed.	" 5	<i>v.</i> Mr. S. S. Harris's XI.
Sat.	" 8	<i>v.</i> Exeter Coll., Oxon.
"	2nd XI.	<i>v.</i> King's Coll. (A)
Wed.	Feb. 12	<i>v.</i> Old Westminsters.
Sat.	" 15	<i>v.</i> Lincoln Coll., Oxon.
Thurs.	" 20	<i>v.</i> Winchester College (at Winchester).
Sat.	" 22	<i>v.</i> R.M.C. Sandhurst.
"	2nd XI.	<i>v.</i> O.W.W. (A)
"	Feb. 29	<i>v.</i> Charterhouse (at Vincent Square).
"	Mar. 7	<i>v.</i> Old Aldenhamians.
"	" 14	<i>v.</i> Mr. L. A. M. Fevez's XI.
"	" 21	K.S.S. <i>v.</i> T.B.B.

The following is the card of the Debating Society for Play Term 1907, filled in to date:—

Oct. 3.—'That this House would approve of Reform in the House of Lords.' Proposer, S. McKenna; Seconder, D. M. Low; Opposer, D. J. Jardine. (Lost by acclamation.)

Oct. 10.—‘That this House would welcome a re-introduction of Lawn Tennis at Westminster.’ Proposer, A. E. F. Wood; Seconder, R. M. Barrington-Ward; Opposer, J. C. Gow. (Lost by acclamation.)

Oct. 17.—‘That this House would welcome a Reform in the Powers of Local Bodies.’ Proposer, K. R. H. Jones; Seconder, E. D. Adrian; Opposer, G. G. Williams. (Carried, 18-7.)

Oct. 24.—‘That this House approves of Mr. Chamberlain’s Fiscal Proposals.’ Proposer, F. G. Turner; Seconder, A. G. Hinchliffe; Opposer, W. B. Harris. (Carried, 12-11.)

Oct. 31.—‘That this House approves of the Court of Criminal Appeal.’ Proposer, A. S. R. Macklin; Seconder, H. J. Davis; Opposer, E. W. Williamson. (Carried, 16-5.)

Nov. 7.—‘That this House approves of Compulsory Military Training.’ Proposer, T. W. Ferguson; Seconder, C. C. Treatt; Opposer, L. E. Tanner. (Lost, 10-13.)

Nov. 14.—‘That this House deprecates the Sale of Co-education.’ Proposer, E. S. Wood; Seconder, J. S. Heaton-Ellis. Opposer, R. H. Williams. (Lost by acclamation.)

Nov. 21.—‘That this House deprecates the Sale of South Wales Coal to Foreign Powers.’ Proposer, E. C. Clarke; Seconder, C. B. Bonner; Opposer, R. E. C. Gordon. (Lost, 4-13.)

Nov. 28.—‘That this House would approve of a Limit of 300 Acres on Estates in this Country.’ Proposer, G. P. Chapman; Seconder, F. G. Hobson; Opposer, B. S. Horner.

THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL *v.* CASUALS.

PLAYED Up Fields on Saturday, October 19, and resulted in another defeat. The visitors kicked off from the Church end at 2.50, with the wind behind them. The ground was wet and rather slippery; and at the Guards’ Hospital end pools of water had formed. For the first quarter of an hour play was fairly even, though the School inside-forwards, as usual, threw away some opportunities. The visitors then opened their score through their inside-left, after Treatt had saved a hot shot. Ten minutes latter Tupper ran through with ease and added a second goal (0-2). Ferguson made a good run down the wing, but failed to centre, and after this slight relief of pressure, the School defence was once more tested for some time, and at length Toyne added a third point. Just before the interval the same player scored again (0-4). The School made a good start in the second half, Summerhays being conspicuous. Our shooting, however, was again at fault, although Flinn had difficulty in saving a shot by Formilli. After some twenty minutes’ successful defence on our part, a fifth goal was rushed through by Roper from a scrimmage in the goal-mouth. It was not long before Tupper added a sixth goal; but for the last twenty-five minutes our goal was kept intact.

For the School none of the forwards showed up particularly well, except Summerhays. Gow again played a hard-working game, but did not pass well enough; Reed was very good at back, and got through a great deal of useful work; while Treatt

brought off some excellent saves, though he gave away three goals by weakness in fisting out.

Teams:—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

C. C. Treatt (goal); C. G. Reed and K. R. H. Jones (backs); A. W. Peacock, J. C. Gow, and A. E. Rice-Oxley (half-backs); J. W. Ferguson, G. C. Formilli, J. Geare, C. B. Bonner, and D. L. Summerhays (forwards).

CASUALS.

O. S. Flinn (goal); J. Goodman and F. J. Seedorf (backs); W. Gilliatt; S. C. Mount, and R. H. Meers (half-backs); D. P. Wippell, S. M. Toyne, G. W. Tupper, R. G. Roper, and G. F. Dixon (forwards).

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL 2nd XI. *v.* OXFORD HOUSE.

This match, which was played Up Fields on Wednesday, October 23, resulted in a goalless draw. The forwards gave perhaps the worst exhibition that has been seen this term. Heaton-Ellis and Summerhays both played a fairly good game, but the three other forwards did nothing but throw away apparently certain goals. The School defended the Church end for the first half, and kept the ball in front of the visitors’ goal nearly all the time; time after time shooting a couple of yards over the cross-bar. The visitors broke away every now and then, and our defence did not look very sound. After the interval much the same kind of play was witnessed. Towards the end of the match the visitors’ inside-left got clean away, and made a very good run down the field. Fortunately, however, Wood proved equal to the occasion, and brought off another good save a short time after. After a few more useless attempts to score on the part of our forwards, time came with the score 0-0. For the School, May played a hard-working game, and Wood, though not tested much, was safe. The visitors’ goalkeeper played very soundly; their inside-left was also conspicuous.

Teams:—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

A. Wood (goal); C. G. Reed and J. W. Ferguson (backs); A. W. Peacock, T. May, and E. C. Clarke (half-backs); J. S. Heaton-Ellis, G. C. Formilli, J. Geare, C. B. Bonner, and D. L. Summerhays (forwards).

OXFORD HOUSE.

A. G. Wilken (goal); M. H. Stone and A. J. Sherwood (backs); Rev. W. Vincent, R. Bell, and E. G. Reid (half-backs); Rev. J. D. P. Davison, J. P. Steer, A. W. Chute, H. Hammond, and F. P. Hughes (forwards).

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL *v.* R.M.A. WOOLWICH.

Played Up Fields on Saturday, October 26, and resulted in a defeat. Harris was at length able to play, and appeared at outside-right as his left leg was

still far from sound. The ground was in good condition, and an excellent game was expected. Harris lost the toss, and the School kicked off from the Church end at 2.45. The forwards showed considerably more confidence and dash than before, and although the visitors' centre-forward scored in the first ten minutes, their defence was given all it could do to prevent us from scoring time after time. Harris made several excellent runs up the field, and his centres should have been turned to better account. Summerhays was also conspicuous, and the inside forwards were far better than before. The defence, however, was not playing well together, and a misunderstanding between Jones and Gow let the visitors' centre-forward through, who had no difficulty in scoring. The School then gained two or three corners, all of which, however, were cleared successfully. Time after time we seemed certain to score, and certainly had the better of the game until half-time. Immediately after the restart, however, the visitors added a third point to their score, and this seemed to knock the heart out of the School team. The ball was kept down at our end for most of the rest of the game, and we were lucky not to have more than one goal scored by their centre-forward put through. Time, found us beaten 0-4. For the School, Reed played an excellent game at back; but the rest of the defence, with the exception of Gow, were very poor. Harris and Summerhays were the best of the forwards.

Teams :—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

C. C. Treatt (goal); C. G. Reed and K. R. H. Jones (backs); A. W. Peacock, J. C. Gow, and A. E. Rice-Oxley (half-backs); W. B. Harris, G. C. Formilli, J. Geare, C. B. Bonner, and D. L. Summerhays (forwards).

R.M.A. WOOLWICH.

Huleatt (goal); Robson and Dennis (backs); Foster, Green, and Comportz (half-backs); Rhodes, Greig, Foot, McKay, and Anne (forwards).

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. EMERITI.

This match, which provided a good game, although the result was most disappointing, was played Up Fields on Saturday, November 2. The visitors lost the toss, and kicked off from the Church end at 2.5. The first half was very even. After more than twenty minutes give and take play the visitors gained a corner, from which the ball was headed through (0-1). Gow now did yeoman service at centre-half, and fed his forwards well. The School attacked strongly, but Treatt meantime was called upon to save some shots, Brydone being conspicuous in the visitors' attack. Five minutes before the interval Summerhays equalised from a centre by Harris (1-1). The second half saw a considerable change in the game. The School practically penned the visitors up in their half of the ground. Reed and Gow both

played an excellent game in the defence, while Summerhays was the most prominent of the forwards. Our shooting, was as usual, weak; and the result on the play was extremely disappointing.

Teams :—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

C. C. Treatt (goal); C. G. Reed and J. W. Ferguson (backs); K. R. H. Jones, J. C. Gow, and A. E. Rice-Oxley (half-backs); C. S. Dicker, G. C. Formilli, J. Geare, D. L. Summerhays and W. B. Harris (forwards).

EMERITI.

E. J. Brand (goal); A. G. P. Baines and J. P. May (backs); P. R. E. Smith, H. S. Law, and W. L. Whitaker (half-backs); E. Johnston, T. F. Bowman, R. M. Brydone, J. P. Elsdon, and R. B. Cooke (forwards).

JUNIOR HOUSE MATCHES.

	K.S.S.	A.H.	G.	H.B.B.	R.	Pts.
K.S.S. . . .	—	L 1-5	L 1-4	D 0-0	W 1-0	3
A.H.	W 5-1	—	W 4-0	W 6-0		6
GRANT'S . . .	W 4-1	L 0-4	—		W 9-0	4
H.B.B.	D 0-0	L 0-6		—	W 2-0	3
RIGAUD'S . .	L 0-1		L 0-9	L 0-2	—	0

THE LEAGUE.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	Pts
A	—	L 0-3	L 0-1		W 5-0		L 1-2		0
B	W 3-0	—	W 1-0	D 1-1					3
C	W 1-0	L 0-1	—	W 6-0					4
D		D 1-1	L 0-6	—			W 3-1		1
E	L 0-5				—	L 2-4	L 0-3	L 0-8	0
F					W 4-2	—		W 1-0	4
G	W 2-1			L 1-3	W 3-0		—	W 3-1	4
H					W 8-0	L 0-1	L 1-3	—	0

Captains:—

A.—E. S. Wood.	E.—G. L. Troutbeck.
B.—H. G. Hill.	F.—J. Goodall.
C.—H. J. Davis.	G.—H. F. Rawson.
D.—R. H. Williams.	H.—K. D. Murray.

DEBATING SOCIETY.

At a meeting held on October 17, the motion was discussed 'That this house would welcome reform in the powers of Local Bodies.'

The PROPOSER (the President) said that he would first draw attention to municipal services. Mr. Chamberlain had said in 1902, that the profits gained by these services might lower the rates. He would propose that monopolies for tramway services, &c., should be given to companies, with various kinds of restrictions imposed. The municipal councils would thus run no financial risk. If Town Councils themselves go in for productive services, they will let unproductive ones go to ruin; and they have quite enough to do without managing gas supplies, &c. It has been found that electric light is worked more cheaply over large areas; these works should be entrusted to a committee of local bodies. He wished to draw attention to the increase of 2s. in the £ in the rates since 1885. The auditors of the accounts of these councils often pass over illegal items. They can prevent the recurrence of such items, but can take no active steps. The reforms he proposed were: the nationalisation of Local Bodies—this would take the burden of rates off the poorer districts; the better systematising of central control; and the rousing of ratepayers to make constant and determined attempts to prevent superfluous rates.

The OPPOSER (G. G. Williams) said that under the Proposer's reforms, the rates would still remain at the same figure; that much local debt is caused by Parliament, and that if the ratepayers did rouse themselves to prevent superfluous rates, no reform in Local Bodies would be effected. They have to present their laws to Parliament, and in poor districts an allowance is given by the Government. Parishoners can, if they wish, appeal against the methods of rates, and all accounts are audited yearly or half-yearly.

The SECONDER (E. D. Adrian) said that bye-laws should be codified. He drew attention to many absurd bye-laws. The board of guardians should be reformed; seeing that cases are known of men becoming guardians whose rates were paid by others for them; and these men try to get their brothers into the workhouse! Parish Councils and Municipal Borough Councils are not subject to Local Government Boards; whilst County Councils are incompetent, and build lunatic asylums costing £250,000. A class of professional lunatics is actually coming into existence.

The VICE-PRESIDENT said that lunatics were always examined carefully before being admitted into

any asylum. It is ridiculous to accuse the doctors of connivance. Besides, even lunatics who are sane nine months in the year, should be well catered for during that time. He said that the PROPOSER had proposed no sound reforms.

The PROPOSER said that representatives should be chosen to administer gas and other public services, and pointed out the thin formality of Local Bodies having to ask the opinions of the ratepayers.

The motion was then put before the House and carried by 18 votes to 7.

The House met on October 24, to discuss the motion 'That this House approves of Mr. Chamberlain's Fiscal Proposals.'

The PROPOSER (F. G. Turner) said that there was no doubt that these proposals were best for the country. Germany and the United States were flourishing under a Protective Tariff, and even if they ceased to flourish, they would never go back to Free Trade. Moreover, these countries were in the constant fear of England adopting Protection. Why should England not have fair trade? Dumping in a most serious form had been going on for years. Every nation was taking advantage of our inaction. If we adopt Mr. Chamberlain's proposals, revenues will become greater and income-tax will decrease. Protection means prosperity for the country. Colonies should have preference above all; this would stimulate colonial agriculture and bind together the Empire.

The OPPOSER (the Vice-President) said that revenues cannot be increased by Protection. Dumping was no menace to trade. Our revenue had not increased through Canada. If there is preference, there must be a tax on food. Why do other countries undersell England? Because they sell cheaper. Under Protection the employer will pay higher for his labour, and the labourer will have to pay more for his upkeep. He would now quote Trade Returns for the past few years; our export trade is twice that of Germany and six times that of the United States. Shipping trade has increased. England is the best paid and most regularly paid nation in the world. London, owing to Free Trade, is the centre of the world's commerce.

The SECONDER (A. G. Hinchliffe) in a somewhat inaudible maiden speech, demonstrated the fallacy of several of the OPPOSER's remarks.

The PROPOSER, in winding up the debate, said that Australasia chose Protection and has prospered ever since. The motion was then put before the House, and was carried by 12 votes to 11.

A meeting was held on October 31, when the following motion was discussed: 'That this House approves of the Court of Criminal Appeal.'

The PROPOSER (A. S. R. Macklin) pointed out that before the institution of the Court of Criminal Appeal there had been no form of appeal in criminal

cases except one to the Home Secretary, whereas in civil cases there has always been a right of appeal. A common jury is far inferior to one composed of nine efficient Judges. It is preposterous to urge the expenditure of time and money as a reason against the fuller execution of justice. Fees are no reason against this court, for the defendant is provided with counsels; appeals will only be entertained under special circumstances. A jury, knowing that there is an appeal above them, will take still more care about their verdict.

The OPPOSER (H. J. Davis) said that a jury need not be unjust simply because it is illiterate, and the court is totally superfluous. Where are appeals to stop? If there is an appeal for the defendant, there should also be one for the prosecutor. A man with sufficient money can simply run his case. He added that under this court common juries will naturally become careless.

The SECONDER (E. W. Williamson) said that a jury will surely become more careful, if they have the thought of discredit incurred by a reversal of their sentence in the court of appeal. If there is an appeal in civil cases and the appeal stops there, why should criminal appeal not stop at the same place also? If France and other countries have such a court, why should not we also? After some further remarks by the SECRETARY, the VICE-PRESIDENT, W. H. C. HARDY, E. C. CLARKE, and the PRESIDENT, the PROPOSER concluded the debate by saying that learned judges are the men most capable of settling points of law, and take no notice of the papers. He added that an appeal will soon be obtained for the prosecutor.

The PRESIDENT then put the motion before the House, and it was carried by 16 votes to 5.

House Notes.

K.SS.—Our Juniors have not done well this year: they have lost two games, drawn one, and won the last by a solitary goal. The chief reason for their failure is their lack of weight and size. In their last three games they certainly played up better, and there was far less listlessness than was, in the first game, only too noticeable in many cases. Heartiest congratulations to C. G. Usher on being bracketed first in Orations; he has been out of school for some time, but we hope to see him back again soon. We must not forget to congratulate D. M. Griffin on his brilliant successes at the photographic exhibition; also A. E. F. Wood, E. S. Wood, and H. N. Wood on securing the first three places in the Chess tournament. Fives Ties have not been progressing so well. The Literary Society has finished 'Twelfth Night,' and is half-way through 'The Winter's Tale.' The

play is very near, and lockers are on the point of being moved. We are sorry Mr. L. E. Barrington-Ward (O.W.) did not lead the Edinburgh University XV. to victory against Oxford. In conclusion, our best wishes follow A. E. F. Wood to Cambridge.

ASHBURNHAM.—Hearty congratulations to our Junior team on their three successive victories. In our first game we beat H.BB (6-0); this was followed by another victory over College (5-1); while our latest success has been to vanquish the strong Grantite team (4-0). We have great hopes that the Junior Cup may at last find a resting place in Ashburnham. The whole team has played well throughout, the majority of our goals having been scored by L. C. Denza and C. J. Tyson. The Fives Ties are progressing apace and should be finished before long. We must not forget to congratulate Mr. D. J. Jardine (O.W.) on his success in the fencing at Cambridge. O. V. Thomas and A. W. Hincliffe are going up for Trinity Scholarships; we wish them the very best of luck. Congratulations are due to C. C. Treatt on his successes in the Photographic Society's Exhibition.

GRANT'S.—A somewhat uneventful month has ended in a disastrous defeat of our Juniors at the hands of Ashburnham. They played a slack and listless game, and quite failed to reproduce their excellent form against Rigaud's a fortnight before. The Cup is almost sure to go to our conquerors, but we expect to come in a good second. Speaking of football, we must congratulate S. D. Graham on his maiden appearance for the School. Yard Ties have reached the semi-final round, and will for once be finished in good time. The Literary Society has been reading 'Richard II.,' 'The Scheming Lieutenant,' and 'The School for Scandal,' and the House is well represented in the Shakespearean Society. According to the latest publishers' lists, Grantite bards seem to have been exerting themselves, Mr. H. Watt (O.W.) having written a book of poems entitled 'Myths about Monarchs'; while the prolific and versatile Mr. W. B. Nichols (O.W.) has composed a second series of his 'Firelight Fancies.' In conclusion, we wish E. D. Adrian a pleasant week at Cambridge, and hope that his profound learning will be fully recognised by the Trinity examiners.

H.BB.—Our attention has been drawn to the fact that in our last notes we forgot to congratulate Hardy and Circuit on their promotions in the Cadet Corps—the former to Sergeant and the latter to Lance-Sergeant. We have some more congratulations to offer. First, to Summerhays, on receiving his Pink and Whites after the match *v.* Emeriti; and, secondly, to Dicker, on playing for the team in the same match. Our Juniors, we are sorry to say, when opposed to Ashburnham, failed to keep up the form they dis-

played against Rigaud's, and met with a severe defeat. They have still two more matches to play, and we trust will do better in these. While on the topic of football, we must not fail to state how pleased we are to see Messrs. A. P. Davey and H. Plaskitt (O.W.W.) representing Middlesex regularly; we hear that the former especially played a very fine game against United Hospitals. Our only representative in Orations was Bonner, but he acquitted himself so nobly that we are able to congratulate him on being bracketed first. The pursuit of photography does not seem to be neglected in the House, as far as we can judge from the results of the Photographic Society's Exhibition: we noticed that Hardy, Williamson, Gray, and Elkin were all amongst the prize-winners. We have heard it said that the Racquets Challenge Cup (singles) will probably be won for the second year in succession by a member of this House. May this report be correct! The good news has just reached us that Mr. G. J. Hunter (O.W.) gave such a fine exhibition in the Freshmen's Foils at Cambridge that he got into the final round without once being hit; we congratulate him heartily.

RIGAUD'S.—Our first duty is to condole with our Juniors on suffering a defeat against Grant's; but as our goalkeeper and two others were away, we had little hope of success. We have yet to face Ashburnham, against whom we hope to make a better fight, as we shall have two of the three back.

We have to congratulate T. G. May on receiving his House colours, and on playing again for the School.

We have finished the first round of the Wooden Racquets Competition, and are well on our way in the second.

In Fives Ties no progress has been made, but we expect to finish the first round by the end of this term. There are several promising players up the House.

At the Sub-Target and Morris Tube our shooting has been very successful. Many good scores have been made at the former, and several possibles at the latter.

Old friends are always welcome, but we were especially pleased to see Mr. Failes up the House again.

Old Westminster.

MR. C. J. B. HURST, Counsel to the Foreign Office, has been created a Companion of the Order of the Bath.

Mr. H. F. Jacob and Mr. J. B. Whitmore have passed the Final Examination of the Law Society.

Mr. Richard Waterfield has paid a Life Composition to THE ELIZABETHAN.

Births.

On November 15, the wife of the Rev. Philip Armitage, of a son.

On November 24, the wife of Lewis Herbert Winckworth, of a daughter.

Marriages.

JEWESBURY: WILLIAMSON.—On November 2, Reginald Charles, son of the late Dr. C. F. Jewesbury, of Gloucester, to Caroline Oliphant, daughter of the late Oliphant Williamson.

KENNEDY: GREENHILL.—On November 14, William Theodore Kennedy, to Florence, only daughter of the late F. M. Greenhill, of Longham, Wimborne.

Obituary.

WE have to record the death of Lieut.-Colonel Herbert Eyre Robbins, only son of the late Colonel N. E. Robbins, of the Queen's Bays. Born in 1849, he was admitted in 1860. He entered the Royal Marines, and retired a few years since. He died on November 4.

We have also to record with much regret the death of Robert William Daker Harley, who was born in 1846, and was at the School from 1860 to 1865. Under the will of the Countess of Oxford he succeeded to the Brampton Bryan estate, the ancient seat of the eminent house of Harley, and he was High Sheriff of Herefordshire in 1883. He married in 1878 the Hon. Patience Annie Rodney, only daughter of the sixth Lord Rodney. He died on November 13. His younger son left last Election, having been for the previous twelve-months head of Rigaud's.

The death of Herman George Gwinner occurred on November 22. He was elected to Cambridge in 1882, and was afterwards of the Northern Circuit.

Correspondence.

FROM OUR OXFORD CORRESPONDENT.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—Since you have honoured me with a request to give you some account of Old Westminsters at Oxford, I will endeavour to comply with your invitation to the best of my ability. A great many familiar spirits have left us, and the gaiety of nations is consequently undergoing a partial eclipse; but we are pleased to welcome others, who will doubtless employ every effort to emulate their predecessors.

A long roll of honours unfolds itself. We must congratulate first of all Mr. W. A. Greene on his election to a law fellowship at All Souls. In the school of modern history Mr. E. C. Cleveland Stevens, Mr. E. A. Bell and Mr. M. Shearman secured seconds; while Mr. D. C. Morrison and Mr. O. C. Chapman were placed in the third class. In 'Greats' Mr. G. W. Phillips took a second, and in English Literature Mr. K. N. Colville another. In Mathematical Moderations Mr. O. H. Walters took a second, and Mr. G. M. Rambaut a third. Mr. J. K. Hepburn, after taking a class in geology, has retired to Germany to investigate the state of the forests there. The list of those who defeated their examiners in the pass school is creditably long; too long, in fact, to be reproduced here.

In other fields we have no reason to be dissatisfied with ourselves. Mr. O. H. Walters played in the Seniors' match this term, and Mr. S. C. Edgar in the Freshmen's. Mr. Walters and Mr. Oldham are respectively Captain and Secretary of the House A.F. Club; while Mr. R. E. Nott-Bower and Mr. Edgar are regular players.

Mr. R. W. Reed is the first whip of the House Beagles, and many Old Westminsters are very regular followers.

Mr. H. I. P. Hallett is Junior Treasurer of the Union, and astonishes all by his vehemence and unbiassed rhetoric. In the Debating Clubs we have many prominent members gifted beyond the average standard with powers of ingenious misrepresentation. Before we forget it, we must mention that Mr. G. M. Rambaut and the brothers Waterfield have been playing hockey regularly for the House.

Last term we had a most successful O.W.W. dinner, at which the Dean kindly presided, and the Head Master was one of the guests of the evening. All thanks are due to Mr. A. G. R. Henderson for organising it, and we hope that before many years have elapsed it will be repeated with equal success.

Great things are expected of our new Westminster scholars, and there is no reason why both in Honour Moderations and in the Final Schools we should not claim our fair and accustomed share of the honours.

We are interested to hear of the new departure in this year's play, and hope the 'Famulus' will be accompanied by even more than the ordinary success.

And so, Sir, with every good wish for the forthcoming year, we will close this letter.

Yours, &c.,
EX AEDÆ CHRISTI.

FROM OUR CAMBRIDGE CORRESPONDENT.

To the Editor of the 'Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—We note with much satisfaction the large number of freshmen the School has sent up to Cambridge this year. So far we have discovered in addition to Birchall, Maxwell, and Marks, who were elected to Trinity, Hodgson and Jardine at the same college; Hunter at Trinity Hall; Baptie and Dillon at Christ's; Huelin and Macgregor at Caius; Davidson at Pembroke; Davy at Jesus; Vey at Clare; and Horsley at Selwyn. Against these we have to set the loss of Boag, Hubback, Gardner, Bottomley, and Nichols, the last-

named of whom was with us at the beginning of this term, but left for the superior attractions of the Post Office. Hubback has contrived to spare time from his new academic duties to come up once or twice this term. We have also received flying visits from R. P. Mears, who is taking up engineering in India, and H. F. Jacob who has just taken his Solicitor's Final.

Birchall and Davidson played in the Freshmen's Match, and the former has since played for the 'Varsity v. New Crusaders. Philby holds the exalted office of Vice President of the Trinity Magpie and Stump Debating Society, and it was, we believe, largely owing to his efforts that that Society gave a most successful Smoking Concert the other day. Pearson is now captain of the University Cross-Country Team.

The C.O.W.W. Smoker is to be held on Wednesday, Nov. 20th, and seems likely to prove thoroughly successful, as we hear that the tickets are selling rapidly. We regret, however, that the absence of Bottomley renders us more dependent on external aid.

As regards forms of recreation, some of us play soccer for the Trinity Scythians (see Thucydides II. 97 ad fin.), some play hockey, some fence, and one or two are Mounted Infants. This we think exhausts what news we have to record. With best wishes for the success of the 'Famulus' (sic).

Yours &c.,
TRIN. COLL. CANT.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—I think the following extract from a letter in the 'Orrery Papers' may interest your readers. The letter was written by John 5th Earl of Cork and Orrery (1707-1762) to the Reverend Mr. Ferreebe, his chaplain, and is dated 27 Novr. 1738.

'... Now for Dr. Nichols, Fitzgerald and Vinny Bourne, They are Sir just the same we remember 'em twenty years ago: time makes no impression on a true Westminster, as Nan the Pye Woman and Old Barker can testify. By the by old Barker is succeeded by a young Barker as old as himself, fatt, toothless and jolly, alter et idem; not that old Barker is not still as great a Man as he was, He has only withdrawn himself from the fatigue of attending the lower school and is become the Upper Master of the Bowling Alley. Ld. Boyle is placed in the midst of these pieres of antiquity like a young Bacchanal amidst the Fauni and Sileni of the age. He seems to flourish so well that when I go to Ireland, if I can bring my heart to part with my two dear Boys, I will fix down Hammy in the same rich soil. . .'

From this it seems clear that the writer was himself an Old Westminster and so should be added to your list of O.W.W. mentioned in the Dictionary of National Biography. Mr. Ferreebe seems to be Michael Ferreebe, admitted Q.S. in 1718, aged 13, and elected to Ch. Ch. Oxon. 1722, who would be a contemporary of the Earl's.

Dr. Nichols is, of course, the head master who was under master when Ferreebe and the Earl were at School; Fitzgerald is Thomas Fitzgerald, elected to Trinity College, Camb., with Vinny Bourne in 1714 and like him Usher at School. 'Ld. Boyle' was the Earl's eldest son Charles, born 1729, who predeceased his father in 1759. 'Hammy' is Hamilton 6th Earl of Cork who was elected head to Ch. Ch. in 1748 and who was noted for his acting of 'Ignoramous' in 1747. I am Sir,
Yours, &c.

DIGNUS.

The Vicarage,
Dedham,
Essex.

November 12, 1907.

DEAR SIR,—During the whole of my Westminster time I kept a somewhat elaborate diary. I have looked through that for the spring of 1870, and can see no trace of the chairing

of Mr. Otter, nor do I remember it. I well remember Mr. Rawson's, and still believe, as I did in 1883, that he was the last liberty boy chaired.

May I add with what pleasure I read my old friend Professor E. V. Arnold's letter, and I believe he is quite right about the state in which Scott left the finances; in truth, I knew this state all through Scott's time.

There is no doubt some explanation, not likely now to be discovered, of Rutherford's report. As I am writing may I add a reminiscence of Scott. He fancied, alas! wrongly, that his Masters had his knowledge of every subject taught in the school.

One afternoon he came into school and saw me sitting with no boys to teach—I suppose my class were in some classical 'trial.' He impulsively said: 'James has a very large class: you had better take half,' and off he went and sent half to me.

I found they had a passage of Homer to do. As I had not read Homer since my Marlborough days, I was not very happy, determined to put the top boys translating, and hoped they were well prepared.

As soon as Scott was out of the room James came across and asked if I had prepared the passage—part of the account of the shield of Achilles in, I think, the 18th Book of the Iliad. I said I had not. He said, 'It is a very difficult passage, and I have been hard at work for an hour at it. You had better send the boys back.' I did so, and luckily Scott did not come in again that hour. He was also up at work, and ready to take mathematics, French, German, or natural science without notice if free.

Faithfully yours,
C. A. J.

Of course in the letters you kindly publish *report* should be *reports* and *list lists*.

To the Editor of the 'Elizabethan.'

SIR,—I am sorry to have to trespass again upon your space, but Professor Arnold's last letter demands an answer. I have never suggested that the defenders of the Westminster pronunciation are so many as the advocates of the 'restored' pronunciation; neither, I must confess, do they parade their views much before the Classical Association or in the classical journals. But I still say that 'many distinguished scholars' approve and practise, if they do not preach, the Westminster pronunciation. One of its staunchest supporters, unhappily, is no longer here to corroborate me. Dr. Rutherford was no lover of mischievous traditions; he defended our pronunciation on its merits, not because it was traditional at Westminster. If Dr. Rutherford is gone, others remain. I cannot expect Professor Arnold to think much of the views of any except professional scholars (if I may use the term without offence), so I will not refer him to His Majesty's Judges, the majority of whom certainly are on my side; but, for example, at the high table of his own college at Cambridge he would find one or two scholars, whom he would have to admit to be among the most pre-eminently distinguished of the present day, strongly in favour of the Westminster pronunciation.

It is vastly kind of Professor Arnold not to 'wish to be hard on' me for my 'venture upon the connection of *vanus* with *vain*.' I said, and I repeat, that '*vain* is obviously akin to *vanus*'; because I omit, as irrelevant, the connection of *vain* with the French *vain*, am I to stand convicted of being content with 'second-best standards of knowledge'? Of course if he means that *vain* is not akin to *vanus*, I must respectfully differ from him on a simple question of fact. If he means that *vain* is not akin to *vanus*, because it is derived through the French, I regret having used the work *akin* in a sense which he does not understand—but my point remains unaffected.

Professor Arnold does not reply to the other questions raised in my letter. Perhaps he does not 'wish to be hard on me,' or perhaps he is 'wise in his silence.'

Yours &c.,
X. ED.

[Correspondence on this subject must now cease.—ED.]

DR. SCOTT'S WORK AT WESTMINSTER.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—May I add a few words to what Mr. Jones and Dr. Arnold have written on the above subject.

Most people will share Dr. Arnold's doubt as to the statement contained in the article in your October number that 'in 1883 the School was bankrupt' even when explained by the subsequent words 'it was in debt and had no prospect of paying its debts.' The numbers in that year were larger than they were for several years afterwards, and the School property had recently been considerably increased. Moreover, it appears from the Head Master's Report for 1883 (referred to by Mr. Jones) that considerable alterations were in progress in Ashburnham House, which the Governors could hardly have undertaken at a time when there were no funds and no prospect of funds to pay for them. The same Report also shows that the present arrangement of that House as to class-rooms was then already in working order, and that the building of the stone staircase and the opening through the old doorway on the west wall Up School were about to be taken in hand.

As to the buildings, the note appended to Mr. Jones's letter on page 115 is wrong in stating that 'the buildings between School and the Scott Library on the site of Mr. Turle's house were all put up after 1883.' In the Head Master's Report (written, of course, before Scott left in August of that year) is the following statement: 'The rebuilding of the premises between Ashburnham House and the School is now in progress.' And the Elizabethan Club Report, dated June 18 of the same year, says: 'The house formerly in the possession of Mr. Turle, which abuts on Ashburnham House, is being rebuilt.'

It must be presumed that the statement on page 89 as to the 'invention' of dinner in Hall applies to the Town Boy dinner, as, of course, K.S.S. always dined there. But it appears from the Head Master's Report for 1872 that Town Boys began to dine in Hall about that year.

The remark that 'Rutherford when he opened school at Westminster received a good deal of bad advice' is true enough, and some of the advice in question came from an ex-Head Master. But that ex-Head Master was not Scott.

Yours faithfully,
W. A. PECK.

Lincoln's Inn, November 23, 1907.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

London.

November 22, 1907.

DEAR SIR,—May I encroach upon your space with a suggestion? Why, in a term not devoted to the time-honoured Latin Play, should not K.S.S. and also T.B.B. act an English Play written by an O.W.? With the glorious names of Ben Jonson, Dryden and Colman—to mention no others—among Westminster Playwrights, there can be no doubt as to the quality of the pieces. 'Every man in his Humour' or 'Volpone,' 'Cleomenes' (acted at Westminster in 1695), or 'Don Sebastian,' and 'The Heir-at-law' would make an imposing and interesting sequence. To conclude, I need not remind you that English Plays were once acted by the School.

I am,
Yours faithfully,
W. B. NICHOLS.

Our Contemporaries.

WE acknowledge with thanks the receipt of the following:—*The Eton College Chronicle* (3), *The Fettesian*, *The Harrovian*, *The Salopian*, *The Wycombe Abbey Gazette*, *The King's College School Magazine*, *The Olavian*, *The Blue*, *The Tonbridgean*, *The County Gentleman*, *The Pauline*, *The Haverfordian*, and *Our Boys' Magazine*.

