



# The Elizabethan.

Vol. XIII. No. 9. WESTMINSTER, DECEMBER 1910. Price 6d.

## COMMEMORATION.

Commemoration was held this year in Abbey on the traditional date, November 17. The form of service was the same as on the last occasion two years ago, when the *Benedictus* was substituted for the *Te Deum*, and the hymn *Gloriosi Salvatoris* was inserted. As a rule the singing of the chants on this occasion leaves much to be desired. In order to try and remedy this defect, the practices held this year were considerably more numerous than previously. We do not venture to suggest that the singing was by any means perfect. It would be absurd to expect such a thing when an untrained choir attempts Gregorian chants. But on many sides we have heard it said that the service was better rendered this year than for some years past. Even if in

places the time of the choir did not coincide with that of the organ, yet there was always a good volume of sound, which is more than we can boast of sometimes. As usual the best singing was heard in the last hymn *Salvator mundi*

After the service the Headmaster and Mrs. Gow held a Reception up School. Owing to the narrow entrance to School it is of course impossible to avoid some crowding on the steps leading up there. However, everything possible had been done to minimise this, and all the arrangements worked perfectly smoothly.

Taken as a whole Commemoration may be called a great success. There is, however, one point in connection with the Service in Abbey to which we would like to refer. We mean the fearful and wonderful mixture of pronunciations to be heard within the space of one short hour. We ourselves know what we have to expect.



but to a stranger it must be positively bewildering. The Westminster pronunciation is, we are told, not suitable for singing. For this one occasion we are to adopt the old monastic pronunciation. Some interpret this to mean that they are to speak Latin as if it were modern Italian. Others feel that their duty in this respect is done when they have pronounced every *c* they come to as if it were *ch*. However, if this pronunciation were to be used throughout the whole service, it might be possible to arrive at a conclusion as to what it really is, and so to get some kind of consistency. But this is not allowed us. After singing two psalms in this way, we come to the Lesson. This is read in a manner that to some extent resembles our own pronunciation, but in every case the true quantity of the vowels is faithfully preserved. Later on comes the Commendatio. Here we meet yet another method, not unlike the previous one, but with certain characteristic differences. After a hymn in which the pseudo-Italian style mentioned above is well to the fore, several prayers follow. In these we get the true pronunciation of modern Italian. Finally comes the Blessing, which varies, but usually inclines to the method of the prayers. Throughout the whole service the Westminster pronunciation is not once heard.

The ways out of the difficulty seem to be two. For preference let the whole service, chants and all, be in our own pronunciation. If the claims of music make this impossible in the case of the chants, let us at any rate be consistent, and adopt the Italian pronunciation for chants, Lesson, Commendatio, hymns and Blessing. And if this is to be done, let us vary our singing practices with lessons in modern Italian. Doubtless for these suggestions we shall be accused of being wise after the event. Let us rather consider ourselves as in good time for the preparations for Commemoration, 1912.

#### TRANSLATION.

"We are not here to sell a parcel of boilers and vats, but the potentiality of growing rich beyond the dreams of avarice."—*Johnson on the sale of Thrale's brewery.*

#### IDEM LATINE.

Labrane, res viles, hic venum ac dolia itura ?

Crede mihi, haud ideo fitur hasta solo.

Attalicas spe si quis opes praecepit avara,

Haec emat : hinc summam vincet avaritiam.

#### School Notes.

WE learn with deep regret that the Dean, feeling his health unequal to the strain of London life, has been translated to the Deanery of Wells.

The Mission Offertory on All Saints' Day amounted to £4 os. 1d. At Commemoration £26 5s. 2d. was collected.

There was an exeat from 3.30 P.M. on Friday, November 4, lasting till 9.30 A.M. on Tuesday, November 8.

In the first trial for Orations, held up School on November 25, the first place was awarded to K. D. Murray.

The following is the Football Card filled in to date :—

1910.		
Sat.	Oct. 1	v. Clapham Rovers. (Lost, 0-1).
	2nd XI.	v. O. WW. A. (Lost, 1-3).
Wed.	Oct. 5	v. Old Bradfield Boys. (Lost 0-1).
Sat.	" 8	v. Old Wykehamists. (Drawn, 1-1).
"	" 15	v. Casuals. (Drawn, 1-1).
"	2nd XI.	v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI. (Won, 4-2).
"	Oct. 22	v. Old Brightonians. (Lost, 2-4).
"	" 29	v. Old Foresters. (Drawn, 1-1).
"	2nd XI.	v. O. WW. A. (scratched).
Wed.	Nov. 2	v. Outcasts. (Won, 8-4).
Sat.	" 12	v. Kenley. (Won, 4-2).
"	" 19	v. Old Westminsters. (Drawn, 1-1).
"	2nd XI.	v. King's College A. (Won, 3-2).
"	Nov. 26	v. Lancing Old Boys.
"	2nd XI.	v. Old Foresters A.
Sat.	Dec. 3	v. Old Berkhamstedians.
"	" 10	v. R.M.A. Woolwich.
"	2nd XI.	v. Old Cranleighans 2nd XI.
Wed.	Dec. 14	v. Royal Engineers.
Sat.	" 17	v. Emeriti.

#### 1911.

Sat.	Jan. 21	v. Old Aldenhamians.
	2nd XI.	v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI.
Wed.	Jan. 25	v. Old Westminsters.
Sat.	" 28	v. Beckenham.
"	2nd XI.	v. Old Cranleighans 2nd XI.
"	Feb. 4	v. Old Etonians.
Wed.	" 8	v. Mr. S. S. Harris's XI.
Sat.	" 11	v. Casuals.
	2nd XI.	v. O. WW. A.
"	Feb. 18	v. Old Felstedians.
"	2nd XI.	v. King's College A.
"	Feb. 25	v. Charterhouse (at Godalming).
Tues.	" 28	v. Christchurch.
Sat.	Mar. 4	v. Winchester (at Vincent Square).
"	" 11	v. An Eton Eleven (at Vincent Square).
"	" 18	v. Mr. L. A. M. Fevez's XI.
Wed.	" 22	v. K. SS. v. T. BB.



## THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL *v.* OLD  
BRIGHTONIANS.*(Lost, 2-4.)*

THE opening stages of this game, played up Fields on October 22, were greatly in favour of the visitors, who pressed hard, testing Hill with some very hot shots. Eventually the School forwards relieved the pressure and play was transferred to the visitors' half, where Edgar put in a good shot which was well saved. The visitors again attacked, and this time their centre-half scored with a low ground shot. The School defence was now having plenty to do, but managed to keep the visitors from scoring again before half-time. The second half once more found their forwards all round our goal, and although Hill brought off some good saves, their inside right managed to add a second goal. The School forwards then broke away, and Rudd ran down and scored a good goal. The Old Brightonians answered with two more points, scored in quick succession, then Hume got away and scored a good one for the School. The School defence held out well against a very hot lot of forwards, and Hume and Rudd were the best in the attack.

Teams :—

## WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

K. Hill ; G. C. Formilli, R. F. Potter ; F. W. Pink, A. C. Edgar, R. R. Rawson ; J. W. McCulloch, R. C. Cooke, G. B. F. Rudd, H. N. Hume, C. V. Miles.

## OLD BRIGHTONIANS.

B. Collbran ; L. F. Duckworth, H. G. L. Richards ; L. F. Dower, A. L. Corbett, A. I. Carr ; S. A. Wheeler, M. H. Clarke, Rev. A. H. Belcher, C. E. Hoffmeister, R. W. Dower.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL *v.* OLD  
FORESTERS.*(Drawn, 1-1.)*

This match was played up Fields on Saturday, October 29. From the start the School at once pressed, and before long a good pass to Cooke enabled that player to outpace their backs and score a fine goal. The School continued to have the best of the game, and McCulloch missed scoring by shooting at the goalkeeper. The visitors then took up the attack and missed an easy chance of scoring. Once more the ball was taken into their half, and until half-time our forwards were all round their goal, but failed to score. Half-time 1-0. From the restart the visitors attacked and forced several

corners, from one of which they nearly scored. The pressure was then relieved by Cooke, who, after a good run, put the ball back to Rudd, who threw away an easy chance by miskicking. The visitors then monopolised the game and should have scored several times. However, just before the whistle went, their inside left scored a lucky goal from long range.

It was a game of missed opportunities, our forwards throwing away chance after chance through bad shooting ; Rudd was especially bad in this respect. The defence was not good, neither the backs nor the halves showing good form.

Teams :—

## WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

K. Hill ; G. C. Formilli, R. F. Potter ; F. W. Pink, A. C. Edgar, R. R. Rawson ; F. E. Robinson, R. C. Cooke, G. B. F. Rudd, J. W. McCulloch, C. V. Miles.

The Visitors did not leave their names.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL *v.* THE  
OUTCASTS.*(Won, 8-4.)*

This match was played up Fields on Wednesday, November 2. The visitors lost the toss and kicked off from the Church end. Soon after the start Rudd opened the scoring with a good shot. Our forwards continued to bombard their goal, and Cooke and Miles both added points. Directly afterwards Robinson broke away and passed back to Cooke who scored again, whilst before half-time the same player, taking advantage of a through pass from Rudd, scored a fifth. Half-time 5-0. The second half was more evenly contested, and the visitors' forwards, who were combining much better, scored twice. Edgar then added to the School's account with a long shot, and soon after two more were added by Cooke and McCulloch. Before time the visitors got through twice and scored each time. Despite the fact that the opposing defence was weak the School forwards are to be congratulated on a very good display. The defence was quite sound in the first half, but inclined to be slack in the second.

Teams :—

## WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

K. Hill ; G. C. Formilli, R. F. Potter ; F. W. Pink, A. C. Edgar, R. R. Rawson ; F. E. Robinson, R. C. Cooke, G. B. F. Rudd, J. W. McCulloch, C. V. Miles.

## OUTCASTS.

C. J. V. Weigall ; J. S. Hughes, H. W. Cavendish ; D. M. MacManus, C. Wreford-Brown, H. Hughes-Onslow ; G. J. P. Senhouse, G. R. Godson, B. Tuff, K. L. Gibson, C. Lomax.



WESTMINSTER SCHOOL v. KENLEY.

(Won, 4-2.)

This match was played on Saturday, November 12, and resulted in a win for the School by 4-2. From the start our forwards pressed, and, had it not been for bad shooting, must have scored several times. The Kenley attack could not get together in the first half, and were not very dangerous. Half-time 0-0. From the re-start Kenley attacked and from a miskick by Rawson their inside right opened the score with a good shot. Soon after their outside right scored again off a centre that came right across the goal. This reverse seemed to have a good effect on the School forwards, who, by means of clever combination and good shooting, scored four goals in a remarkably short space of time. Rudd opened the score with a good shot, whilst Hume scored three of the best. Although Cooke did not actually score, he played very well in the second half and was instrumental in the scoring of more than one goal. The team as a whole were bad in the first half, but improved immensely in the second.

Teams :—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

B. M. Hallward ; G. C. Formilli, R. F. Potter, ; F. W. Pink, A. C. Edgar, R. R. Rawson ; J. W. McCulloch, R. C. Cooke, G. B. F. Rudd, H. N. Hume, C. V. Miles.

KENLEY.

A. G. Sandell ; F. E. Robinson (sub), A. Lockie ; C. N. Cooper, S. Potter, P. R. T. Owen ; R. C. McKenny, W. C. Morgan, G. B. Hall, L. P. Grant, E. F. Lawrence (sub).

WESTMINSTER LEAGUE.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	
A	—		W 3-0	D 0-0							= 3 points
B		—		D 1-1		L 0-4	W 3-0				= 3 points
C	L 0-3		—		L 1-7	L 0-3					= 0 points
D	D 0-0	D 1-1		—	W 5-0						= 4 points
E			W 7-1	L 0-5	—						= 2 points
F		W 4-0	W 3-0			—		D 1-1			= 5 points
G		L 0-3					—	W 1-0		L 0-3	= 2 points
H						D 1-1	L 0-1	—	W 3-0		= 3 points
I								L 0-3	—	L 0-5	= 0 points
J							W 3-0		W 5-0	—	= 4 points

Captains :—

A	A. K. Gilmour.	F	R. H. Walpole.
B	M. Holroyd.	G	C. J. Brooks.
C	J. M. de Slubicki.	H	J. C. Hobson.
D	R. S. Partridge.	I	C. A. M. Faure.
E	J. M. Troutbeck.	J	W. T. R. Rawson.

JUNIOR HOUSE MATCHES.

	K.SS	A.H	R	G.	H.BB.	Points
K.SS . . .	—	W 2-1			L 0-1	=
A.H. . . .	L 1-2	—	W 5-0		W 1-0	= 4
Rigaud's . .		L 0-5	—	W 8-2	L 2-3	= 2
Grant's . . .			L 2-8	—	L 0-5	= 0
H.BB. . . .	W 1-0	L 0-1	W 3-2	W 5-0	—	= 6

SCHOOL FIVES TIES.

In the second round of the School Ties

C. A. M. Faure and J. C. Hobson	} beat (2-0)	R. S. Partridge and B. M. Hallward
D. F. Jerrold and R. R. Rawson		} beat (2-1)
A. C. Edgar and R. H. Walpole	} beat (2-0)	
G. C. Formilli and H. N. Hume		} beat (2-1)



## O.T.C.

THERE have been two Field Days this term. The first was in Epping Forest on Saturday, October 22.

The school contingent formed part of a force made up of London schools, including St. Paul's, City of London, University College School, Felstead and Aldenham. The opposite side consisted of the London University O.T.C. and of the Hon. Artillery Company. Our side assembled at Waltham Cross and advanced to attack the enemy, who were reported near Theydon Bois. Owing to the lack of cavalry, we never had much information of the enemy's numbers and whereabouts. We eventually got into touch with his main body, but as he did not bar our advance, we left a small force to deal with him and pushed on. So successful were we in this, that the umpires had to create a new situation. We altered our line of march, and eventually had to deploy our whole force to drive the enemy from his position.

As far as fighting was concerned, the day was not a success. One half-company of Westminster had a lot of work to do; and the other half-company was at one time employed in advanced guard work. It was in this respect that the day was most instructive, but it must be confessed that we were probably the only company to benefit by this instruction, and that cadets generally like to have a little fun mixed with the serious work.

We cannot offer any detailed comments on the work of the Westminster contingent, but it is only fair to say that the march discipline was excellent.

The second Field Day was arranged for Wednesday, November 16, at Milford, near Godalming.

Charterhouse, Harrow and Wellington, under Major Stevens, K.R.R., were opposed to Eton, Winchester and Westminster, under Major Corkran, Grenadier Guards.

Our side had to defend the ridge running from Mousehill Down to Rodborough Hill. The enemy attacked us in great force on our right; but we should probably have succeeded in holding Rodborough Hill until our reinforcements arrived.

It was pleasant to notice that much more care was taken by the scouts in sending back information; while in our own contingent the handling of the sections and squads was much improved.

The marching, especially at Milford, was again good.

The following statements may be of interest:—

The numbers of the Corps are somewhat larger than they were last term.

The band and buglers are very active at present, and send forth various musical notes at intervals.

## THE DEBATING SOCIETY.

THE Society met on October 27 to debate the motion: 'That this House would approve of the abolition of Boy Scouts.'

The Proposer (the VICE-PRESIDENT) considered the subject from two points of view, that of military and that of civil advantage. He urged that their training would be useless in time of war, while their function of improving the character of the boys was better performed by other agencies. Finally the inevitable breakdown of class distinction was likely to engender Socialism. His remarks were rather disjointed, but gave a fair outline of the objections to the movement.

The Opposer (R. R. RAWSON), in a convincing speech, showed a very thorough grasp of his subject. The true object of the movement was not military and had a wider significance than the Proposer would seem to suggest. After giving some examples of scouts from his personal experiences, he dealt shortly with the Proposer's objections.

If his remarks were more coherent and were not invariably prefaced with 'well' or 'now,' he might turn out a very able speaker.

The Seconder (R. H. WALPOLE), in an amusing but superficial speech, attacked the minor details of the movement of Boy Scouts, but left untouched the main issue. It was, he said, merely doing work hitherto performed by Social Clubs, and therefore was unnecessary.

After a few remarks by A. K. GILMOUR, the President asked the House if the debate should be continued till 6.30. For some unknown reason the House replied in the negative, and on the motion being put rejected it by acclamation. Several speakers were desirous of continuing the debate, which fully maintained the improvement noticed in the previous one, and it was unfortunate the question had to be left half discussed.

The House met on Thursday, November 3, to discuss the following motion: 'That this House deplores the Spirit of Mr. Roosevelt's European Speeches.'

The Proposer (R. S. PARTRIDGE), said that Mr. Roosevelt had had no experience of European politics, and that there was no call for his speeches, in which he merely proposed that England should copy the United States. His remarks were short but sensible, and discussed only a small part of the actual motion.



The Opposer (G. P. ANTROBUS) devoted most of his speech to contradicting the Proposer's conclusions. Mr. Roosevelt was the one person to criticise England owing to the improvement he had effected in American politics. The speech had not in it enough that was original to make it really good.

The Secunder (G. C. LOWRY) remarked that 'Charity began at home,' and that Mexico needed reform far more than England. Let Mr. Roosevelt turn his attention to the atrocities perpetrated there.

J. W. N. SMITH thereupon pronounced the last speech utterly irrelevant, and denied that Mr. Roosevelt's speeches were derogatory to British rule.

A. K. GILMOUR pinned his faith to Mr. Roosevelt and urged that his criticisms should not be scorned as coming from an outsider.

J. G. BARRINGTON-WARD interpolated the statement that the Guildhall speech had, previous to its delivery, been sanctioned by several Ministers of the Crown.

L. RICE OXLEY, in a few well balanced periods, appealed to historical precedents to prove the failure of such interference. Mr. Roosevelt was merely vainglorious, a remark to which Mr. HOLROYD lent his concurrence, adding the testimony of the ex-President's failure in American Colonisation.

E. H. GRAY added further historical examples.

The SECRETARY pointed out several errors of previous speakers, and contended that the Guildhall speech was only sanctioned because its suppression would have given rise to false impressions about the true state of Egyptian affairs.

The recapitulatory character of these remarks roused a storm of indignation in the breast of

D. F. JERROLD, who, in considering the internal condition of Egypt, saw no need for the 'strong' policy, but rather for one of freedom.

With his usual candour J. W. N. SMITH flatly denied the truth of the whole of this last speech.

The PRESIDENT introduced the analogy of school-life to international affairs, and said interference could not be justified.

A. K. GILMOUR doubted this, and the motion was then carried by acclamation.

The debate, though it produced a plentiful crop of speakers, was hardly a good one. Not a little of what was said was not strictly relevant to the motion, and there were signs of a deplorable tendency to substitute contradiction for argument.

The Society met on November 10 to debate the following motion: 'That in the opinion of this House the theory of the "Divine Right of Rulers" is antiquated.'

The Proposer (A. R. I. MELLOR) found some difficulty in understanding the wording of the motion. Divine Right was, he thought, the invention of priests who wanted to support a monarch. Finally no authenticated instance was quoted of the conferring of this right by Heaven. The form of his arguments was better than the matter.

The Opposer (L. RICE-OXLEY), said that the theory must be held if good order is to be maintained. Facts were worse than useless in a debate of this sort; and in a moving peroration he dwelt on the dignity of the royal funeral that we saw last May, and the Coronation that we hoped to see next June. His rhetoric left little to be desired, and was far superior to anything delivered during this session. His speech, however, appealed rather to the feelings than to the intellect.

The Secunder (D. M. MORRIS), in a rather disjointed speech, thought the theory was merely an excuse for injustice. He cited the Stuarts as an example of this.

D. F. JERROLD thought the King should be regarded as the 'soul' of the nation and revered as emblematic of all that is best in it. He was very fluent, but failed properly to force home his arguments.

L. RICE-OXLEY, in reference to the preceding speech, had always considered the 'soul' as a divinely inspired thing.

A. K. GILMOUR said that a divine king could not be overthrown with impunity, as had been done in France and Portugal. His great emphasis made his remarks appear more conclusive than they were.

J. W. N. SMITH, in his ordinary dramatic manner, disputed this statement.

The SECRETARY pointed out the inconsistency of the acts of one infallible ruler being cancelled by his equally infallible successor.

A. R. I. MELLOR thought the excellence of an institution was no proof of its divine origin.

After a number of scattered remarks by the former speakers, assisted by M. HOLROYD and W. L. SELBY, the motion was carried by 14 votes to 12

The following is the list of Debates for Play Term, 1910:—

Sept. 29.—Impromptu Debates.

Oct. 6.—'That in the opinion of this House no man is worthy of condemnation on Circumstantial Evidence alone.' Proposer, J. M. de Slubicki; Secunder, R. C. Cooke; Opposer, H. A. G. Phillimore. Lost by acclamation.

Oct. 13.—'That in the opinion of this House a system of International Spying is justifiable.' Proposer, B. H. Lunn; Secunder, C. V. Miles; Opposer, O. I. Burgess. Ayes, 12; Noes, 11.



*Oct. 20.*—‘That this House approves of Lieut. Allan Sutor’s recent protest against the Army System.’ Proposer, A. C. Edgar; Seconder, E. L. Hawke; Opposer, K. D. Murray. Carried by acclamation.

*Oct. 27.*—‘That this House would welcome the abolition of Boy Scouts.’ Proposer, G. C. Formilli; Seconder, R. H. Walpole; Opposer, R. R. Rawson. Lost by acclamation.

*Nov. 3.*—‘That this House deplores the spirit of Mr. Roosevelt’s European Speeches.’ Proposer, R. S. Partridge; Seconder, G. C. Lowry; Opposer, G. P. Antrobus. Carried by acclamation.

*Nov. 10.*—‘That in the opinion of this House the theory of the Divine Right of Rulers is Antiquated.’ Proposer, A. R. I. Mellor; Seconder, D. M. Morriss; Opposer, L. Rice-Oxley. Ayes, 14; Noes, 12.

*Nov. 24.*—‘That this House deplores the modern tendency to speed.’ Proposer, F. C. Doherty; Seconder, E. A. Meyer; Opposer, E. H. Gray. Ayes, 8; Noes, 9.

*Dec. 1.*—‘That in the opinion of this House the French Revolution has not been justified by its results.’ Proposer, J. W. N. Smith; Seconder, D. F. Jerrold; Opposer, A. K. Gilmour.

*Dec. 8.*—‘That this House would welcome a reform in the School Press.’ Proposer, J. B. Calkin; Seconder, C. E. M. Faure; Opposer, J. M. Troutbeck. Postponed.

*Dec. 15.*—‘That this House would welcome the Nationalisation of Railways.’ Proposer, M. Holroyd; Seconder, W. L. Selby; Opposer, J. G. Barrington-Ward.

---

## NATURAL HISTORY SOCIETY.

---

THE Secretary read an instructive paper before the Society on Wednesday, October 26, on the ‘Senses of Insects.’ He gave a brief description of the ‘sense-hairs’ of insects, and then dealt with the senses of touch, taste, smell, hearing, sound, and sight in turn, illustrating them with the interesting experiments of naturalists, as Lord Avebury Forel, Lehmann, Newport, etc. He discussed the value of such observations, and described in particular how the bombardier beetle, the death-head hawk moth, and several other species create their sound, giving interesting details, and concluded with a short discussion as to the use of ocelli.

On Saturday, October 29, W. B. W. Durrant lectured before the Society on the ‘Cuckoo.’ He described in great detail the size, the habits, and the life-history of European and American cuckoos, and adduced many pieces of evidence in support of his views. He gave instances of the theories and observations of various naturalists about the provision the cuckoo makes for its offspring, and the care it takes to find a suitable nest for depositing the egg. After some intelligent questions on the subject of the paper, the meeting adjourned.

R. S. Partridge, on Saturday, November 12, read an extremely interesting paper on the ‘Wild Animals of North India,’ or, as he corrected the title in his preface, the ‘Animals of Sport of North India.’ He proceeded to describe the habits of the elephant, the tiger, the leopard, the bear, and numerous other animals, describing in some cases the methods of capture, and adding greatly to the interest of his paper by the frequent introduction of incidents, which were often personal. He reverted to his original title towards the end of his paper to give the Society several details about the poisonous snakes of North India, and their methods of attacking their victims.

H. A. G. Phillimore, on the following Saturday, read a paper on ‘Felidae.’ He first of all mentioned the names of the various members of the cat-family, and then gave a detailed description of each species, and the methods they employ to secure their prey, and that man uses to secure them. He was careful to point out the peculiar construction of the eyes, which is confined to this class, and to note the various circumstances of each member. Though his delivery was somewhat awkward, and though his lecture echoed slightly of its predecessor, it was none the less full of interest and amusement, and this effect was increased by the wonderful drawings that he circulated during his paper.

---

## House Notes.

---

K.SS.—With no play to look forward to we have less than usual to occupy our thoughts at present. Fives and Chess Ties offer a solace to some of us, and they are well on their way to completion. The Literary Society, after filling up the one week before the exeat with the ‘Critic,’ has finished ‘As You Like It’ and is half way through ‘Much Ado About Nothing.’

We were very glad to see that Mr. W. F. Lutyens proved quite invincible in the Freshmen’s Sports at Oxford, winning the Half Mile, Mile, and Two Miles. We trust he will escape the injuries which seem to dog so many of our athletes at the House. In a humbler sphere our juniors have distinguished themselves by a fine victory over Ashburnham. Unfortunately in the previous match against Home Boarders they had shown poor form and been beaten. However, we hope they will now make up their minds to win the Cup.

ASHBURNHAM.—There is little to record this month save the doings of our Juniors, who, after gaining a fine victory over House Boarders,



sustained a wholly unexpected defeat at the hands of College. For this, however, injuries were mainly responsible, both Kann and Plaskitt being *hors de combat*. We wish them a speedy recovery. Nor must we omit to mention the belated entry of Lunn into the Upper. Macculloch has been playing regularly for the 1st XI., and the House has been well represented in the 2nd XI. and the Colts. The Fives Ties are in abeyance, but all hope of finishing them is not abandoned. Finally we wish the best of luck to Smith and Selby in the coming examinations at Oxford.

GRANTS.—Since our last notes nothing of great importance has happened. R. Rawson, Miles, Hume and Potter seem regular members of the first, and Hobson of the second eleven. Hume is developing into a prolific scorer of goals—a very welcome change.

Our Juniors have again been worsted, this time by Rigaud's. However, as we said last time, 'nil desperandum.' This quotation reminds us that many Grantites are on the point of undergoing Scholarship examinations at the 'Varsities. We trust they will reap fruit according to their labours.

Yard Ties and Fives Ties are rather slack just now.

Hume is in the throes of Certificate A: we wish him all success.

HOME BOARDERS.—The last month has been productive of no very startling news. Mr. H. Gardiner Hill (O.H.B.) has represented Cambridge University at golf with no little success. Robinson has played for the School at outside right, where he was obviously out of place. In Juniors we succumbed to Ashburnham, although our opponents were playing only ten men most of the time; but we managed to defeat Rigaud's (3-2). Congratulations are due to Lang on House Colours, and on registering four goals for the Colts. Fives Ties are going on at the astounding pace of one tie per month, and we should be at the final about this time next year. Hallward, at the time of writing, has re-appeared for the School, and we have four members of the 2nd XI.

In conclusion we wish E. H. Gray every success in his imminent Army competitive examination, and also those up for scholarships at the Varsities.

RIGAUD'S.—We must begin this month's notes by congratulating our Junior Team on their decisive victory over Grant's Juniors by 6 goals to 1, but in the matches with Ashburnham and Home Boarders their luck was out; in the former our opponents used us very roughly, seriously injuring

two of our players—Bremner and Osman—within a few minutes; to these unfortunate players, especially the former, who showed great promise, our sympathy and condolences go. Congratulations are also due to MacManus on passing his 'Army Qualifying' and to Hill on his Thirds. Mr. A. G. Leck (O.R.) has returned from foreign parts and was up Fields the other day. Commemoration brought back to us many O.R.R. whom we were very pleased to see. Yard Ties have been started, we are pleased to record, and will soon be in full swing.

### Old Westminsters.

IN the Freshmen's sports at Oxford, Mr. W. F. Lutyens won the half-mile, the mile, and the two miles. His time for the half mile was his best. Mr. H. G. Hill has been playing golf for Cambridge.

In the first round of the Dunn Cup Old Westminsters beat Old Wellingburians by 5 goals to nil.

### Births.

ON Oct. 1, the wife of W. S. Osborne, of a son.

On November 7, the wife of the Rev. Algernon A. Markham, of a son.

On November 14, the wife of Algernon Rivers Woodbridge, of a daughter.

On Nov. 23, the wife of E. W. D. Colt-Williams, of a daughter.

### Correspondence.

To the Editor of the 'Elizabethan.'

Trinity College, Cambridge.

November 18, 1910.

DEAR SIR,—I was much interested in reading in your last issue the letter which calls attention to the Exhibitions at Corpus and St. John's Colleges. A few days later, one of the authorities of the former College, who had had his attention drawn to the letter mentioned, told me that he had looked up the statute relating to the two (not two annual—as your correspondents state) Exhibitions at Corpus.



As a result of his investigation, he found that the said Exhibitions must in the first place be given to scholars from Canterbury, and then *in default* to scholars from Westminster. But, as there are always candidates from Canterbury, these Exhibitions are in fact valueless to Westminster. If you are publishing no official communication on the point, I thought this information might be of interest. I am, sir,

Yours truly,

FRANCIS G. TURNER,

*To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'*

8 Bolton Gardens, S.W.

November 1910.

DEAR SIR,—The following may be of interest to some of your readers. I have been unable to trace the exact date of the match, but it must have been in about 1870-71.

ETON AND HARROW *v.* THE WORLD.

On Tuesday last, December 31, a match was played at Westminster, between a team composed of representatives from the two great schools of Eton and Harrow, and a select band from the other homes of football, under the captaincy of W. J. Dixon of Westminster renown. At the commencement the Schools were placed at a great disadvantage, only nine of their body appearing at their appointed time, while their adversaries "came to the scratch" with a full team of thirteen. The Schools having won the toss, elected to kick up to the Lodge goal, with a slight breeze in their favour, and at ten minutes to three o'clock the ball was thrown into the air. The superior weight of the World team at once drove the Schools back to their lines, and a desperate rush, in which J. E. Tayloe and W. J. Dixon were chiefly conspicuous, carried the ball down to the edge of the Schools' goal, the defence of which was entrusted to the care of A. F. Kinnaird and Q. Hogg. Speedily kicked off from behind, the ball travelled once more into the centre of the ground, where it was kept by the resolute efforts of the two Schools for some time, until a well judged kick by C. M. Tebbut, who, after a good run down the side, gave a chance to M. Muir-Mackenzie, who passed unmolested into the heart of the Schools goal. The claim of "off side" made by the Schools was too just to admit of any dispute, and the goal thus did not prove any benefit to the World. The Schools, who had up to this time shown some first class back play, now made an unfortunate slip, and the ball, which ought easily to have been stopped by the goal-keeper from a long kick by C. M. Tebbut, was at length landed between the poles. After the change of goals the fight was recommenced, but although the runs of J. R. Sturgis and C. Tait occasionally approached the neighbourhood of the World goal, the numbers against which they had to contend proved too great to admit of any success, and when time was called at four o'clock, neither party had been able to secure any further score, the victory resting with the World by one goal to none. For the Schools, whose representatives played throughout a most plucky uphill game, J. R. Sturgis, Q. Hogg, A. F. Kinnaird, and J. B. Martin were most prominent. For the World, W. J. Dixon and J. E. Tayloe (forward), and J. P. Nichols and S. H. West (back), did good service. The following is a list of the players:—

Eton and Harrow: A. F. Kinnaird (captain), Eton; J. R. Sturgis, Eton; C. Tait, Eton; Q. Hogg, Eton; C. W. Alcock, Harrow; J. B. Martin, Harrow; V. K. Shaw, Harrow; A. Taber, Harrow; and R. N. Ferguson (E. Whittaker).

The World: W. J. Dixon (captain), Westminster; J. P. Nichols, Westminster; E. W. Wylde, Westminster; S. H. West, Westminster; J. E. Tayloe, Westminster; N. P. Eastwick, Westminster; E. Dasent, Westminster; K. Muir-Mackenzie, Charterhouse; M. Muir-Mackenzie, Charterhouse; C. M. Tebbut, Wanderers; A. Baker, Wanderers; J. M. Hartung, C.C.C.; and L. Clocte, C.C.C.

Yours, etc.

R.B.G.O.W.

#### OLD WESTMINSTERS FOOTBALL AND CRICKET CLUB.

*To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'*

The Window House,

Claygate, Surrey.

October, 1910.

DEAR SIR,—

*Annual Dinner.*

At a General Meeting of the Club held on the 28th ultimo, it was decided to hold the Annual Dinner on December 17 next at the Gaiety Restaurant, Strand, W.C., at 7.30 P.M. Tickets 6s. 6d. each, exclusive of wine, can be obtained from the Hon. Treasurer, Mr. L. A. M. Fevez, of Angel Court, Friday Street, E.C., and must be applied for before December 10. All Applications for tickets must be accompanied by a remittance.

I shall be glad to hear whether you, or any guest you may bring to the Dinner, will contribute to the Musical Programme.

Yours faithfully,

REGINALD S. SUMMERHAYS,

*Hon. Sec.*

#### Our Contemporaries.

WE acknowledge with many thanks the receipt of the following:—

*Blundellian, Brighton College Magazine, Carthusian, Cheltonian, Cliftonian, Edinburgh Academy Chronicle, Eton College Chronicle (2), Lancing College Magazine, Malvernian, Marlburian, Meteor, Newtonian, Pauline, Salopian, Tonbridgian, Trinity University Review, Working Men's College Journal, Wykehamist.*

#### 'THE ELIZABETHAN' CLUB.

THIS Club was founded in the year 1864, and consists of Old Westminsters. Its objects are to promote intercourse among Old Westminsters and to preserve the associations and further the interests and prosperity of the School. The subscription to the Club is 10s. 6d. per annum, or on the payment of £3 3s. all future subscriptions may be compounded for.

Old Westminsters who wish to join the Club should communicate with the Hon. Secretary, A. C. NESBITT, Esq., 5 Stone Buildings, Lincoln's Inn, W.C.



NOTICES.

All contributions to the February number of THE ELIZABETHAN should reach the Editor at 3 Little Dean's Yard, Westminster, not later than January 20.

Contributions must be written on one side of the paper only.

Correspondents must enclose their names with contributions, not necessarily for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

Back numbers are obtainable of the Editor, price 1s. each.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary, 3 Little Dean's Yard, Westminster.

The terms of subscription to THE ELIZABETHAN are as follows (payable in advance):—

	£	s.	d.
ANNUAL (payment in advance) . . . . .	0	4	0
TRIENNIAL " . . . . .	0	10	0
LIFE COMPOSITION . . . . .	5	0	0
" " (after the age of 30) . . . . .	4	0	0
" " ( " 40) . . . . .	3	0	0
" " ( " 50) . . . . .	2	0	0

Subscriptions now due should be forwarded at once to J. SARGEANT, Esq., Little Dean's Yard, Westminster School, S.W. (not addressed 'The Treasurer').

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his contributors or correspondents.

Floreat.