



The Elizabethan.

Vol. VIII. No. 6.

WESTMINSTER, JULY 1895.

Price 6d.

OF FAGS.

FAGGING is, in truth, to-day but an empty name, but a dim semblance of what it was in those days which we are wont vaguely to term the 'good old times.' Your fag of to-day is not an overworked person. He grows fat with his lack of occupation; he has nothing to do but enjoy himself, a privilege of which he avails himself freely. A present day fag cannot realise what fagging was half a century ago; he has grown satiated with his own importance, and looks upon his fagship as a profitable sinecure, all play and no work. Such is the genus fag of our day, loth to perform even the small duties required, and only performing them for the sake of the 'bun' at the end, or perhaps from sheer necessity. But there is still such a thing left as a willing fag. We excogitated him only the other day, and regarded him for some time with incredulity, inclined to misdoubt

us of his sincerity, or else his sanity. However, everything grows commonplace with custom, and he has ceased to cause us any amazement.

But there is one description of fag which is, alas, too common. And yet he means well. He will come upon you on all occasions and at every opportunity; he is always lying in wait for you at every turn, determined to do you some service, or what he imagines to be a service. What a truly awful infliction he is! If you cannot manage to draft him off into the service of somebody else, you will never enjoy a moment's peace of mind. The most annoying part of it is that he is always looking for reward, and you are generally so much at his mercy that you are obliged to show him small favours, while all the time you are consumed by the desire of hurling something at his defenceless head, whether in the shape of dictionary or ruler. Hurl it you may, he will but assume a pained look of injured innocence for the rest

of the day, and will meanwhile wait upon you with the same assiduity as before.

There is absolutely no escape from him. After much long-suffering, borne with a truly noble fortitude, we have come to the conclusion that it were better to have no fag at all; it is a case of *embarras des richesses*, which is, being interpreted, the officious fag. And it is for this reason, and this only, be it rightly understood, that we would counsel the abolishing of fagging; not from any false or foolish notion that it is bad or harmful for those who enter a public school with fear and trembling, yet at the same time with a determination to do nothing they do not wish to do, and a firm established opinion that they are above fagging altogether: for them we would venture to prescribe an extra dose. It is merely from a consideration of our own personal comfort. There is a limit to everything, and we would far rather shift for ourselves, than be continually subject to this kind of thing. *Le jeu ne vaut pas la chandelle*. For an object whereon to waste money, temper, and much words, without receiving any proportionate advantage, recommend us to a fag of the present generation.

IN A CUPBOARD.

I DISCOVERED them in a cupboard, these six old and dusty volumes of various size and age. Where they came from I cannot tell. It may be from the Old Library, though how they arrived at their present resting place is a puzzle. They were lying among old casts of the play, bills, files, cricket and football cards, and, above all, dust, when I first saw them. Their contents are varied. The first that I open is a German Paraphrase of the Psalms with tunes, printed at Zürich in the year of grace 1637. The tunes are French, the rhyming is German—very German. Dr. Ambrose Lobwasser is responsible for the latter. There is a magnificent picture of David, with his harp on the ground, kneeling. Beside him is a giant sunflower, and an angel in a cloud seemingly offers him the choice of a crown of gold or of thorns. In the background are a city on the very pinnacle of a steep hill, a waterfall, and a stag running away. In the middle foreground are the letters C. M. fe(cit). The book is bound in leather with leathern clasps, that are very well made and

very hard to undo. At the end there are Christmas and other hymns also with tunes.

The next seems to be a notebook; at any rate it is in manuscript, and illegible at that. The title alone is clear. *Questiones prooemiales logice*. After that it is utterly illegible. Whether it is written in English or German or any known language is beyond me. The writing is painfully neat. Headings to pages here and there are legible, and it would seem on closer inspection that the notes are in some kind of shorthand. The title to one page, for instance, is *An intensio sit motus continuus*; to another *Quid sint indivisibilia*. An answer to this last question would be instructive. There is no name or date in the book; only on the inside of the cover are the letters S. S. and W. The book is bound roughly in vellum and has ties in front.

Next appears a *Rhetorices* of Vossius in five books, 3rd edition, in 1640. It has a vignette on the title-page of a man digging in full sunshine, with the motto *Fac et spera*. This is, when compared with the others, quite clean, and does not seem to have been much used. The binding—again vellum—seems newer than the book itself.

Now comes a French book—a Tract on the Court and instruction to courtiers. This is slightly earlier, being published in 1631. It contains a list of fifty-two authors quoted in the text. This list is comprehensive, and among others includes Euripides, Theognis, S. Augustine and Julian the Apostate, Horace, Juvenal, and Xiphilin, whoever he may have been.

There is also a French Paraphrase of the Psalms, published sixteen years later than its German representative. At the end, instead of hymns, are the services for the Sacrament and for marriage, also a list of prayers, with an index arranged for every day. The book looks quite modern outside, having evidently been rebound.

Last, but not least, there is a bulky quarto, published by Elzevir in 1652, containing seven authors of ancient music. It is dedicated to Christina, Princess of the Suedes, Goths, and Queen of the Vandals, &c., by one M. Meibomius. It contains Introductions to Harmony, by various authors—Aristoxenus, Euclid, Nicomachus, and Gaudentius—with three other works on music. There are two prefaces, *Lectori erudito* and *Lectori benevolo*. The work begins with the Te Deum, styled *Canticum S.S. Ambrosii et Augustini*, set to music. Follows Aristoxenus in Greek and Latin. Various charts are interspersed throughout the book,

unintelligible to the ordinary reader, with figures suspiciously like Euclid's propositions, especially as the title 'Theorem' appears consistently. However that may be, this Euclid is no more intelligible to me than his better known namesake, and I close the book with a feeling of relief. I am sorry I kept that big book to the last. The Euclid has left quite a bad taste in my mouth. I find a phrase continually running in my mind, of no meaning to me: *si intervallum multiplex*. Has it anything to do with Bk. VI. Prop. 1? I fear lest it may; I put back the book where I found it and try to think no more of it.

WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

NO. 45. HENRY BENNETT, EARL OF ARLINGTON.

THERE are few periods of English history of which the average reader has a better general idea than the 17th century, and this is not unnatural, for the political events of that age have a peculiar interest and animation. But this very familiarity breeds severe and one-sided judgments on the statesmen who attempted to stem the currents of events. In saying this, I merely utter the result of my personal experience, for I find myself inclined to condemn Arlington rather for holding Popish doctrines, which he had every right to do, than for using political power to gratify personal vanity and private enmities—a course of action which few casuists would be hardy enough to defend. I do not wish to underestimate the evil results which would have ensued if the sons of Charles I. and their 'ultramontane' councillors had been successful in the policy which they initiated, but at the same time it must not be forgotten that according to their lights they were only carrying out their plain duty. Arlington was held to have been largely instrumental in procuring the reconciliation of the royal brothers with the Roman See, and consequently incurred an unpopularity which even now clings to his memory; but even if this were so—and it is extremely doubtful whether he preceded or followed the princes into the Roman Communion—it was not an act of purposeless villainy, as some of our historians would seem to imagine, but simply the performance of an obvious duty. We should esteem Arlington more highly if he had imitated the frank and bold conduct of James rather than the timid hypocrisy of Charles, but a profession of Romanism at that period involved dangers which only a prince of the blood could hope to avoid.

Henry Bennett (or Bennet, for he lived at an age when the 'taste and fancy of the speller' had free scope with proper names and owed allegiance to no one even in ordinary English words) was the second son of John Bennett, doctor of laws, and

was born at Arlington, in Middlesex, in 1618. His grandfather, Sir John Bennett, was a 'civilian' of some repute, an Old Westminster, like his grandson, and, I fear it must be added, a judge who if not corrupt performed all the actions of a corrupt one. On Brackley's death he is said to have offered as much as thirty thousand pounds for the reversion of the Privy Seal, but Bacon, unfortunately for his reputation, was preferred. They competed for a preferment and were united in their fall; while Bacon's 'unauthorized receipts,' to give them no harsher name, were engaging the attention of the Commons, it was discovered that Bennett had not neglected his opportunities as judge of the prerogative court of Canterbury. His impeachment was interrupted by the dissolution of Parliament; but he was summoned before the Star Chamber and condemned to penalties among which a fine of twenty thousand pounds was not the most severe. It seems, however, to have been the only one exacted; though, looking at the enormous value of money in those days, the payment of such a sum requires to be attested by stronger evidence than we have before us; the rest of the punishment was certainly remitted. The 'Dictionary of National Biography' expresses a charitable but rather unwarranted belief that Bennett was innocent; but the arguments which have been employed to clear the fair name of Bacon will serve as well or ill for his fellow-culprit.

After this digression, which I must ask the reader to pardon on the score that Bennett was an O. W. of some note, even if he may not aspire to a place in the series on his own merits, I will return to his grandson. I need scarcely say that Henry Bennett followed in his grandfather's footsteps and came to Westminster; but in connection with his school-life I can add nothing more, nor would it be safe to do so, pending the publication of Mr. Russell Barker's work on Busby, which would afford my readers so tempting an opportunity of convicting me of errors. From Westminster he proceeded to Christ Church, 'with intention to be a divine and parson of Arlington, a village near Brentford,' as Evelyn notes; but before his studies were concluded it became exceedingly doubtful whether there would long be a Church of which he could be a minister. He was at Oxford during the earlier years of the Civil War, where in 1643 he was taken into the employment of George Lord Digby, who perhaps had contributed more than any other of the King's advisers to embitter the strife between the Sovereign and his people and to ensure the ultimate triumph of the latter. Bennett also served as a volunteer in the King's army, and at a skirmish near Andover, in Hampshire, received 'an honourable wound in the face,' a deep sword-cut across his nose, which compelled him to wear a black patch upon it for the rest of his life. Soon afterwards he went abroad 'for the remainder of the war,' as one biographical notice most unkindly phrases it, though the courage he displayed at Andover, and the position of his wound, forbids us to draw any con-

clusions adverse to his personal bravery. Abroad, however, he remained, and after the King's death entered the service of his exiled sons. In 1654 he became Secretary to the Duke of York, and in this capacity was sent in 1658 to Madrid on an errand of the gravest import—the exiles then having some hopes that Don Louis da Haro might bestir himself on their behalf. It was at Madrid that Bennett acquired those formal and pretentious manners which excited so much ridicule at the Court of the Restoration.

Possibly it was there that he was reconciled to the Church of Rome, but this point is involved in complete mystery. It is certain that he died a Roman Catholic, but equally clear that he lived the greater part of his life as a conforming member of the Church of England; but this may have arisen from policy. The same motive may explain his sumptuous restoration of the parish church at Euston, though he told Evelyn 'that his heart smote him that after he had bestowed so much on his magnificent palace there, he should see God's House in the ruin it lay in.'

When the Restoration was an accomplished fact—though Don Louis da Haro had done nothing to accelerate it—it was some time before Bennett returned to England. It was commonly reported—and North accredits the report by his contradiction of it—that he was kept abroad by fear of Lord Colepepper, who, having seen him in a Catholic church with Charles II., had declared that either his head or Bennett's should fly for it.

But when Bennett at last plucked up courage to re-visit his native land—if it was indeed fear which kept him away from it—he was not long before he experienced the gratifying effects of Royal favour. Clarendon was ordered to return him to Parliament for one of the Cornish boroughs which had been enfranchised for no other purpose than to give the Sovereign a voice in the councils of the nation; and Clarendon obeyed, though protesting that Bennett knew as much about the laws of China as he did of the Constitution of England. Had a rejoinder been required it might have been retorted that the Parliament then sitting had no intention of being bound either by the letter or the spirit of former laws—as it sat only to contrive new ways of strengthening the royal prerogative; but without any such argument the mere repetition of the royal wish was sufficient, and Bennett took his seat for the borough of Callington. At least, we may presume he did so; for though the actual record of his election seems to have been lost, we hear of him constantly as a member of the House, and we possess the official record of the election of a new burgess in place of Sir Henry Bennett, created Baron Arlington.

The new member does not seem to have particularly distinguished himself, though he seems to have been active on committees; but he is never recorded to have opened his mouth in debate, which perhaps gave his colleagues reason to think that, like the parrot, 'he thought the more.' A contemporary has recorded of him that he was a ready and fluent speaker

on occasion—though if he did not speak in the House it is difficult to see how he could have established that reputation, as public dinners were not yet recognised, and election speeches were generally drowned in the cat-calls of the hustings. Bennett, however, had no need of Parliamentary reputation to advance him—the King's favour in those days was the only, if not a very trustworthy, road to promotion; and the King's favour he possessed—rather, one would imagine, on account of the partiality for Roman doctrine which they both struggled to conceal, than for any esteem or liking which Charles felt for Bennett personally; although, if we can trust the scandal of the time, Bennett more than once went culpable lengths to secure Royal favour.

But one great obstacle stood in his path—to wit, the Chancellor Clarendon, who regarded Bennett with peculiar distrust and dislike. It was not merely the envious hostility of one formal and punctilious statesman for another who surpassed him on his own peculiar ground of form and punctilio, nor was it merely the outcome of religious differences, for Clarendon was the self-constituted protector of the Church of England, and a real devotee of the somewhat eccentric politics which that Church then inculcated on all its true sons, while Bennett, whatever his profession, was a Romanist at heart. But whatever the origin of the dislike, it undoubtedly existed, and was sufficiently obvious to engage Bennett heart and soul in undermining the Chancellor's power, and in this object he was ultimately successful.

It would be attaching too much importance to Bennett's efforts to say he contributed mainly, or even largely, to Clarendon's fall, but he did his best; and the best, as we all know, can do no more. The real causes of that political revolution are sufficiently plain to every student of history; but what intrigue could do to hasten the catastrophe was assuredly done, and by Bennett among others.

His own claims to political advancement were not great, if we except one all-important factor, the Royal favour. We have seen that he made no figure in Parliament. He seems to have been a moderately good man of business, with a very keen eye to his own interest, and an excellent linguist. Added to this, he possessed a knowledge of foreign politics very rare in this country at that date; but England meddled so little in Continental affairs, and when she did so, guided her course so entirely by a few preconceived notions and antiquated prejudices, that a knowledge of foreign politics was rather a disqualification for office than not, as leading its possessor to run counter to popular taste and to form plans which were beyond the comprehension of his associates. If we add to this that Bennett was honestly and firmly determined to do all he could to forward the interests of the cause of the Papacy in England, we can understand that few statesmen have ever entered office so well endowed with the power of exciting popular odium against himself.

(To be continued.)

School Notes.

SIR WALTER PHILLIMORE has awarded his English Essay prize to E. H. Waterfield; he has also *pro hac vice* awarded a second prize to C. R. Beaven.

We must congratulate the School Eleven on four consecutive victories, two being won by substantial margins of over a hundred runs.

The following is the cricket card filled in up to date:

	PLAYED AT VINCENT SQUARE.	WESTMINSTER	OPPONENTS
May 4, Sat.,	v. Incogniti (lost by 62 runs)	60-41 (4 wkts.)	122
" 11, "	v. Blackheath (lost by 142 runs and 4 wickets)	75-47 (8 wkts.)	217 (6 wkts.)* (inn. decl. clsd.)
" 18, "	v. Kensington Park (lost by 176 runs)	99	275
" 25, "	v. Lords and Commons (lost by 8 runs and 4 wickets)	191	199 (6 wkts.)
June 1, "	v. Upper Tooting (lost by 4 runs)	149	153-62 (5 wkts.)
" 7 & 8, Fri. & Sat.,	v. Charterhouse (lost by an innings and 66 runs)	173-130	369
" 22, Sat.,	v. Old Carthusians (won by 107 runs)	151	44-130 (6 wkts.)
" 26, Wed.,	v. West Kent (won by 12 runs)	112-129	100
" 29, Sat.,	v. Oxford University Authentics (won by 121 runs)	186	65-121 (0 wkt.)
July 6, "	v. M.C.C. (won by 19 runs)	141	122-44 (5 wkts.)
" 13, "	v. Old Westminsters (lost by 69 runs)	94-36 (0 wkt.)	163
" 29, Mon.,	Q.SS. v. T.BB.		

The Form Cup ties have been won by the Under Shell, who defeated the VIIth and London Matriculation Form in the final. The losers had not their full team.

We beg to congratulate F. J. Plaskitt on representing Oxford, and R. F. Doherty Cambridge University at lawn tennis.

We congratulate C. F. Watherston and E. P. Garrett on obtaining first classes in Mathematical Moderations at Oxford.

The Town Boy cricket shield has this year been won by Rigaud's. A report of the final tie will appear in the next number.

We congratulate R. Waterfield (O.W.) on obtaining his half blue for shooting at Oxford.

The following O.WW. have retained their seats at the general election: Right Hon. J. Lowther for Cumberland (Penrith); Col. Howard Vincent, C.B., for Sheffield (Central); and W. M. Tomlinson, Esq., for Pres'on.

The special work for the Mure Scholarship is as follows: Professor Seeley's 'Ecce Homo,' chps. 1-12; 'Walpole' by Rt. Hon. J. Morley; Professor Jebb's 'Introduction to Homer.'

The passage for the Ireland (Latin Verse) prize is Wordsworth's 'Laodamia,' from 'But if thou goest, I follow . . . ' to the end.

The following were the successful minor candidates at the Challenge:

Wood	*Brockman.	Williams.
*Stevens.	Kirby.	*Wells.
Browning.	Jacob.	Downes.
Goodhart.	Kennedy.	Adams.
	Quin.	

The following obtained exhibitions:

Davies-Colley.	*Bennett.
----------------	-----------

We congratulate Mr. H. W. Lush Wilson (O.W.) on becoming a Queen's counsel.

THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER v. CHARTERHOUSE.

The annual match with Charterhouse was this year played Up Fields on Friday and Saturday, June 7 and 8, and resulted in the decisive defeat of Westminster by an innings and 66 runs.

The wicket that had been prepared for the match was the best that could be procured under the circumstances; but owing to the long-continued drought it was unavoidably fiery and soon liable to crumble. Under these conditions, winning the toss of course meant a great deal, and this piece of good fortune fell to Charterhouse, who naturally decided to take first innings. The weather was all that could be desired: the sky was cloudless, but there was just enough breeze to prevent the heat being oppressive.

It was just thirteen minutes to twelve when Hancock and Anderson, the first pair of batsmen, walked to the wickets to oppose the attacks of Fisher and Probyn, the first-named bowling from the pavilion end. Four singles came from the first five overs, and then Anderson scored a 3 and Hancock a 4 off Fisher. After each batsman's score had reached 11, Hancock began to score much the faster of the two, and at 41 More relieved Fisher, but no diminution was effected in the rate of scoring, 50 being hoisted after thirty-three minutes' play. For this Hancock was mainly responsible; by the aid of a 5 off Fisher, four 4's, and minor items he made his individual score 46 to Anderson's 13, and with another 5 off More he reached his 50 in forty minutes. When his score was 26 Fisher had hit his off-stump, but the bail was not dislodged, and, profiting by his escape, he continued to hit freely all round the wicket. At 67 Whittow went on for More, but met with no success; Murray, however, who now displaced Probyn, was more fortunate, as in his first over he clean bowled Anderson, who had made 18 out of 77 scored during his stay. With W. Barrington in, the 100 appeared fifty-six

* Elected from the School.

minutes from the start. At 113 a double change was tried in the attack, Fisher superseding Whittow at the pavilion end, while Murray gave way to More. Both batsmen, however, still played with the utmost confidence, and so quickly did they score that 150 appeared on the board after play had been in progress just half as many minutes, the last fifty runs having been added in nineteen minutes. Martin had been given an over at 143, but eleven runs were scored from his first four deliveries, and Fox and Probyn went on. The run-getting now slackened somewhat, but Hancock completed his century in an hour and three-quarters amid cheers, the total then being 193. Van der Gucht relieved Fox, but the second hundred quickly appeared, and at twenty-five minutes to two, when the luncheon interval was taken, the score was 205 for one wicket, Hancock and Barrington being not out 107 and 46 respectively. On the game being resumed at a quarter to three Fisher and Probyn bowled. Thirty-one were added in fifteen minutes, and then Barrington was run out. He had played excellent cricket for his 54, which was made in very good style, and included one 5, two 4's, and five 3's. Wreford-Brown was the next comer, but Hancock still did most of the run-getting, hitting Probyn for 4 and 5 in one over. At 258 Murray again went on, and once more met with success, as when the score had reached 268 he clean bowled Hancock with a slow yorker. The retiring batsman had been at the wickets two hours and twenty minutes, during which time he had scored 151 without giving anything that could be called a chance, though more than once he narrowly missed being bowled, and, as mentioned above, Fisher on one occasion early in his innings hit his wicket without dislodging the bail, while when he had made 74 he might perhaps have been stumped. Though his style was not so good as Barrington's, he had made his runs by free hitting, his chief hits being four 5's, fifteen 4's, and ten 3's. R. E. S. Barrington now joined Wreford-Brown, and the rate of run-getting became considerably slower. When Wreford-Brown had only made a single he was badly missed in the slips off Murray by Fisher, who might possibly have caught and bowled Barrington when he had scored 7. Runs still came steadily, and the third hundred was passed at a quarter to four with only three wickets down, and it looked as if Charterhouse would not be all out before the morrow, but when 30 more had been added the batting completely collapsed. Whittow went on again at 330, and in his first over got Barrington caught at mid-on by Martin. Without any addition Wreford-Brown was well caught at cover-point by Van der Gucht off More, who had resumed at the pavilion end. The wicket was now crumbling, and the remaining batsmen showed a total inability to play More's bowling. Austen was stumped at 337, and though Hulton attempted to stay the rot, More clean bowled Mort at 355, and six runs later Wallace shared the same fate. Hulton left at 364, and Good fell to a catch by Fisher in the long field

off More, and the innings, which had lasted three hours and three-quarters, closed shortly before a quarter to five for 369. The tail, though evidently trying to do their best on a wicket that was becoming difficult, made a very poor show against the bowling of More, who disposed of the last six batsmen for an addition of only 39 runs. When put on for the third time he had an exceptionally fine analysis: 9 overs and 2 balls, 6 maidens, 5 runs, and 6 wickets. None of the seven other bowlers tried met with any success, though Fisher had hard luck in just missing the wicket on several occasions. The extras were far too many in number, Moon allowing no fewer than 55 byes; otherwise the fielding with a few exceptions was very creditable, Van der Gucht and Beasley being especially noticeable.

After an interval of a quarter of an hour Charterhouse took the field, and at five minutes to five Moon and Probyn began batting, the bowling being entrusted to Wallace and Austen. Probyn began well by cutting Wallace for 5, but then Moon was unfortunately run out, owing to a mistake of Probyn's, without having scored; this was a piece of very bad luck. The vacancy was filled by Van der Gucht, who seemed in good form and began by hitting Wallace for 4. The score soon reached 24, when Probyn, who was responsible for half this number, was bowled by Wallace. Fisher now joined Van der Gucht. Nineteen runs were added, and then Good, who had gone on for Austen, dismissed Fisher. Beasley came in and played a steady game, leaving Van der Gucht to do all the run-getting. When 67 runs had been scored in rather over an hour, Good got Van der Gucht caught at the wicket for a very well played 29. Fox and Beasley played out time, the score at the drawing of stumps being 71 for four wickets; Fox had not scored, and Beasley had made a couple of singles, for which he had been in half an hour.

Play on the second day began at twenty minutes past eleven, when Beasley and Fox, the over-night not-outs, continued the innings against the bowling of Wallace and Good. Both batsmen played carefully for a time, and at 93 Jackson relieved Wallace. The separation, however, came from the other end, Good getting Fox leg before when he had made 11, the total being 94. Beasley and More were now together, and at five minutes to twelve the hundred was signalled, as the result of nearly two hours' batting. More soon settled down to hit, and quickly caught up Beasley. The bowling was frequently changed, Austen, W. Barrington, and Hancock all having a turn. At length Wallace went on, and almost immediately succeeded in getting Beasley caught at point at 146, the partnership having yielded 52; he had played very well for his 27, which had occupied him just over an hour and a half. More was the next to go, as a single later he was well caught by Wreford-Brown at cover-point for a hard-hit 28, the fieldsman taking the ball low down. Blaker did not add to the score, but Whittow gave some trouble before being bowled by Wallace. No one else did anything, and the venture, which lasted

just over two hours and three-quarters, closed for 173. Six bowlers were tried, of whom Wallace, Good, and W. Barrington alone took wickets.

The follow-on was begun after a quarter of an hour's interval by Moon and Probyn, the bowling being entrusted to Wallace and Good. Moon quickly scored 17 out of the first 18 runs, his best hit being a fine on-drive for 5 off Wallace. Disasters, however, now began, as Probyn was clean bowled by Good for 1, and without alteration in the score Wallace succeeded in bowling Moon, the score at lunch-time being 18 for two wickets. The first ball after lunch proved fatal to Fisher, and things looked very black, especially as ten runs later Van der Gucht, after making 6, was bowled by Good. Four for 28 was a wretched start, but once more Beasley came to the rescue. Profiting by an escape at the hands of Mort when he had made 4, he hit determinedly. Wallace now came in for some severe punishment; Beasley hit him very finely to leg for 6 and in the next over for a couple of 4's, causing 50 to be telegraphed when the innings had lasted forty minutes. This brought on Jackson for Wallace, but the separation came from the other end, Good getting Fox caught at point, and half the wickets were thus down for 54. More again rendered Beasley valuable assistance, and 23 were added, when W. Barrington, who had relieved Good, bowled Beasley for an invaluable innings of 30. More did not stay much longer, as with the score at 88 he was caught for 13. Whittow and Murray gave considerable trouble, though the last-named was missed by Mort when he had made 2, and the 100 was reached with seven wickets down, the last 50 having occupied half an hour. At 113 Good resumed bowling, and six later with a good ball got rid of Whittow for 19. The innings now quickly ended; Blaker after making 5 was caught off a full pitch from Mort, and a good catch at third man by Jackson got rid of Murray, the whole side being out in an hour and three-quarters for 130, leaving Charterhouse victorious as stated. Good bowled very well, though he was a good deal aided by the wicket, which caused his balls to keep low. The Charterhouse fielding, with the exception of W. Barrington, Wreford-Brown, and Jackson, was not as good as usual, several catches being dropped, in which Mort was the principal offender; and the wicket-keeping on both sides was far below the standard of last year.

CHARTERHOUSE.

H. R. B. Hancock, b. Murray.....	151
C. A. Anderson, b. Murray	18
W. B. L. Barrington, run out	54
O. E. Wreford-Brown, c. Van der Gucht, b. More	27
R. E. S. Barrington, c. Martin, b. Whittow.....	23
W. A. E. Austen, st. Moon, b. More.....	5
C. B. Hulton, h.w., b. More	11
G. M. Mort, b. More	1
S. G. Wallace, b. More	2
F. Good, c. Fisher, b. More	2
L. G. Jackson, not out	4
Byes 55, leg-byes 16...	71
Total.....	369

WESTMINSTER.

<i>1st Innings.</i>		<i>2nd Innings.</i>	
L. J. Moon, run out	0	b. Wallace.....	17
S. C. Probyn, b. Wallace	12	b. Good.....	1
C. Van der Gucht, c. Anderson, b. Good	29	b. Good.....	6
C. D. Fisher, b. Good	7	b. Wallace.....	0
H. O. C. Beasley, c. Jackson, b. Wallace	27	b. W. Barrington	30
W. F. Fox, l.b.w., b. Good	11	c. Wallace, b. Good	3
R. E. More, c. Wreford-Brown, b. W. Barrington.....	28	c. W. Barrington, b. Wallace	13
A. Whittow, b. Wallace.....	13	b. Good.....	19
R. N. R. Blaker, b. W. Barrington ..	0	c. Jackson, b. Mort	5
R. E. Murray, not out.....	0	c. Jackson, b. Good	13
G. F. Martin, b. Wallace	2	not out	2
Byes 33, Leg-byes 8, Wides 3... 44		Byes 18, Leg-byes 2, Wide 1	21
Total.....	173	Total.....	130

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

CHARTERHOUSE.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. C. Probyn	23	2	71	0
C. D. Fisher	28	7	82	0
R. E. More	17.2	9	26	6
A. Whittow	13	3	33	1
R. E. Murray	18	4	50	2
G. F. Martin	1	0	11	0
W. F. Fox	4	0	17	0
C. Van der Gucht	3	1	8	0

WESTMINSTER.

1st Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. G. Wallace.....	22	8	46	4
W. A. E. Austen	7	1	21	0
F. Good	20	11	26	3
L. G. Jackson.....	10	3	16	0
W. B. L. Barrington	10	6	13	2
H. R. B. Hancock.....	2	0	7	0

Good delivered 1 and Barrington 2 wides.

2nd Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
F. Good	16.3	2	36	5
S. G. Wallace.....	15	4	43	3
L. G. Jackson	4	0	14	0
W. B. L. Barrington	9	5	10	1
G. M. Mort.....	2	0	6	1

WESTMINSTER v. OLD CARTHUSIANS.

On Saturday, June 24, we gained an easy victory over a good team of Old Carthusians. Beasley was away, and his place was filled by Kirkpatrick. Westminster lost the toss, and took the field at five minutes to twelve, Probyn and Fisher bowling to Dames-Longworth and Crossman. Disasters began early for the visitors, as only five runs had been registered when Crossman succumbed to a ball from Probyn. Stanbrough came in, only to be bowled by Fisher for a single with the addition of seven runs to the score. Wilkinson and Dames-Longworth remained together for a short time, but after Fisher had bowled Wilkinson at 24, an entire collapse ensued. At 26 Dames-Longworth left for a very lucky 18, in which he gave five chances—he should have been once stumped, twice run out, and twice caught, being missed by Moon at the wicket and Murray at slip. The remain-

ing batsmen failed signally before Probyn and Fisher (who was bowling magnificently). Nine wickets were down for 44, and as Wreford-Brown had not yet arrived, the venture closed at a quarter to one. Probyn secured five wickets for 27, and Fisher four for 14. Moon and Probyn started our innings to the bowling of Baker and Crossman. After scoring ten out of the first fourteen runs, Probyn was easily caught and bowled, and Van der Gucht came in. It soon became evident that an easy win was in store for Westminster, the score at lunch-time being 44 for one wicket—Moon not out 20, Van der Gucht not out 10. The first ball after lunch Van der Gucht hit for 4, thus winning the match. Griffith now relieved Crossman, but met with no success, the batsmen playing with the greatest confidence. Several bowling changes were tried, and at last Wilkinson bowled Van der Gucht for an excellent 29. With More in Moon soon caused the 100 to appear, but ten later Baker again went on and at once bowled More for 0. Fisher did not stay long, being stumped off Wreford-Brown, who had just arrived. At 125 Moon's long innings was brought to a close by a catch at wicket off Baker. His 70 was in every way an excellent display of batting, and included two 5's, five 4's, and five 3's; his only mistake was a chance to Ralli at the wicket when he had made 20. Wreford-Brown and Baker speedily dismissed the rest of the batsmen, and the innings realised 151. Wreford-Brown had the best analysis with four wickets for 17, while Baker claimed five for 53. The second innings of the visitors began even more disastrously than the first, Wreford-Brown, Griffith, and Stanbrough being all out with the total 7. A timely stand, however, was made by Wilkinson and Baker, and at 35 Probyn gave way to More. Both batsmen hit well till the score reached 68, at which total Baker was bowled by More. Gabriel quickly knocked up 12, and was then caught at mid-on; while at 92 Ralli was run out. Birch and Wilkinson then made another stand, and were still together at the drawing of stumps, the total then being 130 for six wickets; Wilkinson was not out with 53 to his credit, in which were four 5's, one 4, and two 3's. The match thus resulted in a substantial win for Westminster by 107 runs.

OLD CARTHUSIANS.

First Innings.

F. Dames-Longworth, b. Probyn	18
D. Crossman, b. Probyn	1
M. H. Stanbrough, b. Fisher	1
L. R. Wilkinson, b. Fisher	4
T. B. Gabriel, b. Fisher	1
C. Wreford-Brown, absent	0
E. Anderton, l.b.w., b. Probyn	0
R. E. Birch, c. Probyn, b. Fisher	4
W. B. Baker, .b. Probyn	3
R. C. Griffiths, not out	3
S. P. Ralli, b. Probyn	6
Byes 2, Leg-bye 1	3
Total	44

Second Innings.

b. Probyn	0
not out	53
c. More, b. Whittow ..	12
st. Moon, b. Probyn	5
not out	19
b. More	32
b. Fisher	2
run out	0
Byes 2, Leg-byes 4, Wide 1	7
Total (6 wks.)	130

WESTMINSTER.

L. J. Moon, c. Ralli, b. Baker	70
S. C. Probyn, c. and b. Baker	10
C. Van der Gucht, b. Wilkinson	29
R. E. More, b. Baker ..	0
C. D. Fisher, st. Ralli, b. Wreford-Brown	7
W. F. Fox, b. Wreford-Brown	2
A. Whittow, c. Crossman, b. Wreford-Brown ...	9
R. E. Murray, st. Ralli, b. Wreford-Brown	7
R. W. Blaker, not out	5
G. F. Martin, b. Baker	2
H. J. Kirkpatrick, st. Ralli, b. Baker	0
Byes 7, Leg-bye 1, Wide 1, No-ball 1	10

Total 151

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

OLD CARTHUSIANS.

First Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. C. Probyn	12.4	2	27	5
C. D. Fisher	12	5	14	4

Second Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
C. D. Fisher	10	1	32	1
S. C. Probyn	6	2	13	2
R. E. More	12	5	30	1
R. E. Murray	3	1	12	0
A. Whittow	6	1	16	1
H. J. Kirkpatrick	3	1	9	0
W. F. Fox	3	1	11	0

WESTMINSTER.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
W. B. Baker	20	7	53	5
D. Crossman	7	0	26	0
E. Anderton	6	0	20	0
L. R. Wilkinson	5	2	8	1
C. Wreford-Brown	14	6	17	4
R. C. Griffith	75	3	15	0

WESTMINSTER v. WEST KENT.

West Kent were met on Wednesday, June 26, and after an exciting match were beaten by 12 runs. In this match Martin stood out for Young, and Beasley and Van der Gucht being absent their places were taken by Berens and Stamp. Fisher won the toss and elected to bat first, sending in Moon and Probyn to face the bowling of E. Berens and Major Dalbiac. Moon soon began to hit, but at 14 he lost the company of Probyn, bowled by E. Berens for 2. More seemed to be in good form; and at 22 Dalbiac gave way to Hurlbutt. The change was not at first successful, as the new bowler's first two deliveries were punished for 5 and 4, but in his next over he clean bowled Moon for 19, the total being 38. With Fisher as a partner More continued to hit, making two splendid drives for 5 off Berens. At 71, however, he was bowled for a capital innings of 25. Fox stayed with Fisher while 19 runs were added, but was then bowled without scoring. Four wickets were now down for 90, but none of the remaining batsmen could do much, the last six wickets being all captured for an additional 22 runs. Fisher was eighth to leave for a very well-played 25. The innings thus closed for 112. It will be seen that extras were responsible for

29 of our score. Hurlbatt and E. Berens shared the wickets between them, the former having slightly the best analysis with five wickets for 37. The visitors started their innings after lunch, Captain Bowles and Cornwallis opposing Probyn and Fisher. They began wretchedly, as Cornwallis was bowled by Fisher without a run on the board, and directly afterwards Spottiswoode succumbed to Probyn for a single. Major Dalbiac came in evidently determined to hit, but after having driven Probyn for 3 and hit Fisher for 4 and 6 in one over, he was caught and bowled by Fisher for 13, the total being 14. Disasters did not end here, as five later Captain Bowles was bowled by Probyn for 2. A timely stand was now made by Watney and E. Berens, the former especially hitting with vigour. The score rapidly mounted, and at 61 More took the ball from Fisher; the separation, however, was brought about from the other end, Probyn bowling Watney at 70 for an extremely useful innings of 37. Oliver, Hurlbatt, and Martin, who was playing as a substitute, were all speedily got rid of, the eighth wicket falling at 75. The brothers Berens seemed likely to prove troublesome, but at 84 E. Berens was smartly run out for an invaluable 24. Waring hit up 9, but was then out leg before wicket to Probyn, who secured 5 wickets for only 24 runs. The whole side were thus dismissed for 100. Moon and Fox opened our second innings, E. Berens and Hurlbatt bowling. Fox left at 4, but with More in Moon scored rapidly till his partner was caught at slip at 20. Fisher came next, but Moon still did most of the scoring, till at 42 he was out leg before for 30 to Spottiswoode, who had gone on with lobs for Hurlbatt. Probyn and Fisher made a short stand, bringing on Cornwallis for E. Berens at 50, but at 75 four wickets fell, Probyn, Fisher, Murray, and Whittow. Blaker and Young then added 22, Young, who did not seem at home with Spottiswoode's lobs, being caught at slip off Cornwallis for 10. Blaker left at 112 for a good innings of 23; Stamp and Berens added 17 for the last wicket. At 129 Stamp was bowled by a lob, and stumps were then drawn.

WESTMINSTER.

<i>First Innings.</i>		<i>Second Innings.</i>	
L. J. Moon, b. Hurlbatt.....	19	l.b.w., b. Spottiswoode	30
S. C. Probyn, b. E. Berens...	2	c. R. Berens, b. Cornwallis	15
R. E. More, b. Hurlbatt ...	25	c. Hurlbatt, b. E. Berens	1
C. D. Fisher, b. Hurlbatt ...	25	c. and b. Spottiswoode...	23
W. F. Fox, b. E. Berens ...	0	b. E. Berens.....	1
A. Whitton, b. E. Berens ...	0	c. Oliver, b. Cornwallis	0
R. E. Murray, b. E. Berens	4	c. Waring, b. Spottiswoode	0
R. N. Blaker, b. E. Berens..	4	b. Cornwallis	23
F. Young, not out	4	c. Hurlbatt, b. Cornwallis	10
W. A. E. Stamp, st. R. Berens,			
b. Hurlbatt	0	b. Spottiswoode	11
A. Berens, c. Waring, b.		not out	6
Hurlbatt	0	Byes 6, l.-bye 1, wides 2	9
Byes 27, leg-byes 2 ...	29		
Total.....	112	Total	129

WEST KENT.

Captain Bowles, b. Probyn	2
A. W. Cornwallis, b. Fisher	0
W. H. Spottiswoode, b. Probyn	1
Major Dalbiac, b. Fisher	13
C. N. Watney, b. Probyn	37
E. Berens, run out	24
F. G. Oliver, b. Probyn	0
E. Hurlbatt, c. and b. More	0
G. F. Martin (sub.), b. More	0
R. Berens, not out	4
E. L. Waring, l.b.w., b. Probyn	9
Extras	10
Total.....	100

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
E. Berens	18	5	40	5
Major Dalbiac.....	3	1	6	0
E. Hurlbatt.....	14 ¹	5	37	5

Second Innings.

E. Berens	8	2	9	2
E. Hurlbatt	4	2	14	0
W. H. Spottiswoode ...	15	1	55	4
A. W. Cornwallis	10	2	33	4
E. L. Waring	1	0	9	0

WEST KENT.

S. C. Probyn	15	5	24	5
C. D. Fisher	8	1	37	2
R. E. More.....	6	0	29	2

WESTMINSTER v. M.C.C. AND GROUND.

On Saturday, July 6, M.C.C. brought a strong team against us, but after a very exciting finish had to retire defeated by 19 runs. We were still handicapped by the absence of Van der Gucht. Twelve a side were played, Stamp appearing as twelfth man for us, while Martin played substitute for the visitors. Winning the toss Farmer decided to take first innings, which was opened at twelve o'clock by Rowley and Storer; Fisher and Probyn, as usual, were the bowlers. Only seven runs had been scored when Rowley was clean bowled by a yorker from Probyn. Holman arrived and was soon at work, hitting Probyn for 5, and Fisher for 4 and 2; Storer, on the other hand, was twenty-five minutes without scoring. When the total had reached 44 Holman was bowled by Fisher, and Burton arrived; with ten runs added, however, Storer skied one of Probyn's to cover-point and was well caught by Beasley; the Derbyshire wicket-keeper had been in nearly an hour for nine runs. With his score at 6 Burton had two escapes, Moon letting him off at the wicket and Berens at short leg. At 64 More relieved Fisher and at once bowled Turner for a single. Farmer came and quickly ran up 19, hitting a 5 and three 4's, but at 93 he was bowled by Probyn, Duckworth sharing the same fate four runs later. With six wickets down for 97 the adjournment for lunch took place. Subsequently no one could do anything with Probyn's bowling, the last five wickets being captured for the addition of only 25 runs. Burton was ninth out for

a useful 32. Probyn's analysis was very fine, his eight wickets costing a little over four runs apiece. Moon and Fox opened our innings, to the bowling of Needham and Burton. Runs came fairly fast, but at 24 Moon was smartly caught and bowled by Burton for 12. More soon showed that he was in good form by quickly scoring ten runs off Burton, who, however, at 39 got Fox caught at mid-on. Fisher now came in and with More added 29 before being out leg before wicket to Holman, who had gone on for Needham. After ten more runs had been added Beasley was unfortunately run out, and three later Probyn fell to an easy catch at point, making five wickets down for 81. With Whittow in, More continued to do most of the run-getting, and when the hundred appeared with only five wickets down an easy victory seemed in prospect. Now, however, a series of misfortunes ensued. At 102 Whittow was clean bowled, and Murray, after scoring 9, left at 113, while three later, More had the mortification to be out in a very unfortunate manner; he played a ball from Needham on to his leg, and was walking away, when, happening to turn round, he saw that the ball had just rolled on and knocked off the bail. He had played a sterling innings of 54, the best he has ever played for the School; he was in just over an hour and hit five 4's and eight 3's. Wickets were now falling with alarming rapidity, and when the ninth wicket fell at 118 things looked ominous. However, with a cut for 4 Blaker made the match a tie, but Stamp was immediately afterwards run out. At length, however, Blaker managed to make the winning hit—a 2 to leg off Needham; after this he increased his score to 18 before being bowled by Burton, Berens being not out 6. The innings thus closed for 141. Needham secured four wickets for 38 and Holman two for 18. Going in a second time the visitors began disastrously, losing four good wickets for four runs. Turner and Duckworth made a stand and took the score to 44 before the latter was bowled for 14, Turner remaining not out 24. Stumps were then drawn. Probyn again bowled well, taking three wickets for 20; in the match he possessed the excellent record of 11 wickets for 55. Score and analysis:—

M. C. C.		Westminster.	
<i>First Innings.</i>		<i>Second Innings.</i>	
E. Rowley, b. Probyn.....	4	b. Probyn	3
W. Storer, c. Beasley, b. Probyn.....	9	b. Probyn	0
B. W. Holman, b. Fisher	26	not out	24
Burton, l.b.w., b. Probyn	32	c. Young, b. Fisher.....	1
J. Turner, b. More	1	Fisher.....	1
J. H. Farmer, b. Probyn	19	b. More	14
G. H. Duckworth, b. Probyn	0	c. Blaker, b. Probyn	0
E. L. Lucas, b. Probyn.....	8		
H. C. Blaker, b. Probyn	3		
G. F. Martin (sub.), c. Blaker, b. Probyn	3		
Hon. J. Powys, b. More	3		
Needham, not out	0		
Eyes 13, leg-byes, 1 ..	14	Bye 1, leg-bye 1	2
	122		44

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.

L. J. Moon, c. & b. Burton.....	12
W. F. Fox, c. Needham, b. Burton	12
R. E. More, b. Needham.....	54
C. D. Fisher, l.b.w., b. Holman	11
H. O. C. Beasley, run out	5
S. C. Probyn, c. Blaker, b. Holman.....	0
A. Whittow, b. Needham.....	2
R. E. Murray, b. Needham	9
R. N. B. Blaker, b. Burton	18
F. Young, c. Turner, b. Needham	0
W. A. E. Stamp, run out.....	0
A. Berens, not out	6
Byes 9, leg-byes 3	12

Total 141

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

M. C. C.

First Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Probyn	23.3	11	35	8
Fisher	16	4	46	1
More	7	2	27	2

Second Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Probyn.....	11	3	20	3
Fisher	9	3	17	1
More	2	1	5	1

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Needham	22	9	38	4
Burton	29	4	73	3
Holman	8	2	18	2

WESTMINSTER v. OXFORD UNIVERSITY AUTHENTICS.

This match, which was played Up Fields on Saturday, June 29, resulted in a creditable victory for Westminster by 121 runs. A start was not effected till five minutes past twelve, when Westminster, having lost the toss, took the field, Van der Gucht being still away. It soon appeared that Probyn and Fisher were in form, as Theobald and Rowley both left at 8, and Oliver six runs later. Porch and Wilkinson remained together for a short time, but at 29 the latter was clean bowled by Fisher, a fate which also overtook Britten-Holmes without a run being added. Porch, who was playing extremely well, could get no one to stay with him, and the side were all out for 65, Porch carrying out his bat for 37. Probyn got 5 wickets for 31, Fisher 3 for 25, and More 2 for 0. Moon and Fox began our innings to the bowling of Porch and Theobald. Ten runs came off Porch's first over, Fox hitting him to leg finely for 7, and the total reached 35 before the first wicket fell, Moon being the first to leave for 20. Fox left a single later,

but More and Fisher soon put the result beyond doubt in spite of bowling changes, the winning hit being a fine off-drive by More off De Winton. At 77 Theobald resumed and at once got three wickets without another run being scored. More was bowled for a merry 26 made up of a 5, three 4's, and three 3's, Beasley played on—a piece of very bad luck, and Probyn was caught at cover-point. Fisher and Whittow were now associated and a capital stand was made; the hundred was quickly passed, and it was not till the score had reached 127 that Whittow was bowled, the partnership for the sixth wicket having yielded 50 runs. Murray failed to score, but Blaker stayed some time before being caught at point for 10. Young proved another useful partner for Fisher, who was playing splendidly. After reaching 50 he hit vigorously and was ninth to go, being well caught in the long field at 186, the ninth wicket having put on 40 runs. His 79 was a grand display of sound cricket, his only mistake being a chance to the bowler when he had made 52; his chief hits were three 5's, one 4, six 3's, and nine 2's. Berens was bowled first ball, and the innings closed for 186. Of the seven bowlers tried, Theobald was much the most successful with 5 wickets for 48. Porch's fielding and Headlam's wicket-keeping were also worthy of notice. The visitors went in a second time for fifty minutes, and in that time De Winton and Rowley scored 121 against our change bowling without being parted. Rowley, who made 80 not out, gave a magnificent display of hitting, among his hits being two 6's, two 5's, and seven 4's.

OXFORD UNIVERSITY AUTHENTICS.

1st Innings.		2nd Innings.	
G. R. Theobald, b Fisher	4		
E. Rowley, b. Probyn.....	2	not out	30
R. E. Oliver, b. Probyn.....	0		
L. R. Wilkinson, b. Fisher	5		
R. P. Porch, not out	37		
E. Britten-Holmes, b. Fisher.....	0		
R. C. de Winton, l.b.w., b. Probyn...	1	not out	80
A. E. Stamp (sub.), b. More	0		
C. Headlam, b. Probyn	6		
J. F. Johnson, b. More	1		
C. S. Parker, b. Probyn.....	0		
Byes 7, Leg-byes, 2...	9	Bye 1, Leg- byes 10 ...	11
	65		121

WESTMINSTER.

L. J. Moon, b. Wilkinson	20
W. F. Fox, c. and b. Wilkinson	14
R. E. More, b. Theobald	26
C. D. Fisher, c. Theobald, b. De Winton	79
H. O. C. Beasley, b. Theobald.....	0
S. C. Probyn, c. Rowley, b. Theobald	0
A. Whittow, b. Theobald	20
R. E. Murray, b. Theobald	0
R. N. Blaker, c. Britten-Holmes, b. Porch.....	10
F. Young, not out	6
A. Berens, b. Porch	0
Byes 10, Leg-bye 1.....	11
Total	186

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

WESTMINSTER.

1st Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. C. Probyn	15	3	75	5
C. D. Fisher	12	3	25	3
R. E. More.....	2'4	2	0	2

2nd Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
A. Whittow.....	5	0	32	0
R. E. Murray	2	0	26	0
A. Berens.....	4	0	11	0
W. F. Fox	4	0	35	0
R. E. More.....	3	0	6	0

OXFORD UNIVERSITY AUTHENTICS.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
R. P. Porch.....	14'1	2	36	2
G. R. Theobald	25	7	48	5
L. R. Wilkinson.....	13	4	21	2
R. C. de Winton	9	3	36	1
J. F. Johnson	1	0	9	0
C. Headlam.....	5	2	20	0
R. E. Oliver	4	1	5	0

FIRST ROUND OF THE SHIELD MATCHES.

RIGAUDS v. ASHBURNHAM.

This match resulted in a win for Rigauds by an innings and 102 runs. Rigauds won the toss and sent in Probyn and Blaker to the bowling of Barnett and Beveridge. In the latter's second over Probyn was dismissed for a single. More joined Blaker and a stand was made, but with his score at 15 Blaker was leg before wicket to Beveridge. More continued to hit with the greatest vigour, and the score mounted fast. He received some considerable support from Whittow, Armstrong, and Simonds, who all stayed a long time for their runs. More was at last caught for a magnificent 75, which included ten 4's, four 3's, and three 2's. Soon afterwards the innings closed for 140, a result which, so far, reflected great credit on Ashburnham. So much cannot be said of their batting display. Requiring 20 runs to save the follow-on, they were all dismissed for 13, and in their second attempt fared but little better, only totaling 25. This result was due largely to the good fielding of the winners, one smart piece of stumping by Whittow being particularly noticeable. More and Probyn bowled unchanged in both innings with marked success.

RIGAUDS.

S. C. Probyn, b. Beveridge	1
R. N. R. Blaker, l.b.w., b. Beveridge	15
R. E. More, c. Haweis, b. Lecky	75
K. R. Barnes, l.b.w., b. Beveridge.....	0
A. Whittow (capt.), c. Raikes, b. Beveridge.....	9
A. Berens, b. Barnett	0
C. F. Armstrong, run out.....	11
B. Willett, b. Lecky.....	0
G. Simonds, c. Lecky, b. Haweis	12
K. H. Stenning, c. Beveridge, b. Haweis.....	4
J. W. Lord, not out	0
Byes 12, Leg-bye 1	13

Total..... 140

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Barnett	11	1	46	1
Beveridge	10	1	55	4
Lecky	5	1	19	2
Haweis	4	2	8	2

ASHBURNHAM.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
S. H. Langston, b. R. E. More	0	b. More.....	0
E. F. Colville, b. Probyn	1	st. Whittow, b. More	0
H. C. Lecky, l.b.w., b. Probyn	0	b. Probyn	0
R. O. Barnett, c. Blaker, b. More	0	b. Probyn	3
W. Kennedy, b. More	0	not out	0
H. W. Beveridge, c. Stenning, b. Probyn	5	c. Armstrong b. Probyn	0
A. R. Astbury, b. More	0	c. Probyn, b. More	0
H. S. Haweis, c. Blaker, b. More	0	b. Probyn	5
A. W. Raikes, b. Probyn	3	run out	0
A. H. Guy, c. Simonds, b. Probyn	2	b. More.....	3
D. Young, not out	0	b. Probyn	2
Byes 2	2	Byes 9, L.-byes 3	12

Total 13 Total 25

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Probyn	6	4	5
More	5	1	5
More	8	3	13
Probyn	8	8	0

House Notes.

ASHBURNHAM.—In the Seniors we were drawn against the ultimate winners of the Shield and suffered defeat by an innings. Rigauds batted first on a somewhat fiery wicket and were disposed of for 140, More contributing a hard-hit 75. We then failed miserably before the bowling of Probyn and More, and could only amass 38 in the two innings, 13 in the first and 25 in the second. Our batting was very feeble, but our bowling was not at all bad. Beveridge captured the first four wickets for 54 and showed promise. He received his house colours, on which we congratulate him.

COLLEGE.—Events worth recording since last number are few. Van der Gucht and Walters have been ill with German measles, and in consequence the former is still out of school, because of his eyes. Reynolds is also out of school, which is very unlucky for him, with election examinations coming on. Our chances against T.BB. are worse than ever with Van der Gucht away. We congratulate E. H. Waterfield on winning the Phillimore Essay, and C. R. Beaven on obtaining a second prize for the same. The election of Minor Candidates has been announced. Q.SS. at the 'Varsity are still doing well. Watherston and Garrett both got firsts in Mathematical Mods, the latter in his first year. Harwood also obtained seven firsts for seven papers in Collections at the House.

GRANTS.—Beyond that we beat H.BB. by ten wickets in the first round of the Shield Matches there is not much to chronicle.

H.BB.—We played Grants in the final of the Juniors, and were beaten by an innings and 14 runs. This was mainly due to our lack of confidence, and the want of some really steady bat to fill Maughan's place. Jones was the only one to show any form for us, making the best part of our score, 21 not out first innings, and 11 second innings. Anderson bowled best for us, taking 4 wickets for 21. In the first round of the Seniors we were drawn against Grants, and on the first innings were only 15 runs behind them. Out of a score of 125, Murray made a finely-played 32, and Rayner, who received his house colours after the match, batted well for his 18. For our second innings we had a very bad light, and our total only amounting to 97, left Grants 83 to win; they easily put this together without the fall of a wicket. Our fielding was pretty good first innings, but fell off very much in the second.

RIGAUDS.—We have played Ashburnham in the first round of the Shield matches, and beaten them by an innings and 102 runs. More scored 75 in dashing style, his innings including ten 4's. He was well backed up by Blaker, Simonds, Armstrong, and Whittow. More took five wickets for 6 runs, and Probyn five for 5 runs in Ashburnham's first innings; in the second innings More took four wickets for 13 runs, and Probyn five for no runs. Scores: Rigauds, 140; Ashburnham, 13 and 25. We believe 13 is the lowest score on record for the Shield matches. We have to congratulate Blaker on getting his "Pinks." A. Berens has also played six times for the School this year. Probyn, Barnes, Armstrong, and Simonds are the only fellows leaving this term. Williams and Brockman are to be congratulated on obtaining Queen's Scholarships, and Bennett on winning an exhibition.

THE 'ELIZABETHAN.'

BALANCE SHEET

From February 1894 to June 1895.

RECEIPTS.	£	s.	d.	EXPENDITURE.	£	s.	d.
To Balance from 1893.	31	1	0	By Printing(Spottiswoode)	37	17	0
" O.W.W. Subscriptions	38	7	3	" Postage	10	12	11
" Masters' "	5	4	0	" Donations :			
" Dean and Chapter's Subscriptions	1	8	0	To Sports Com-			
" School Subscriptions :				mittee, '94	5	0	0
College	7	9	0	" Ditto 1895	10	0	0
Ashbm. Ho.	10	16	6	" Gms. Com-			
Grants	5	17	6	mittee	10	0	0
H.BB.	7	9	0	" Glee Soc.	1	14	6
Rigaud's	6	19	0				
	38	11	0	" Sundries	1	2	11
" Sale of Back Nos. &c.	0	13	2½	" Balance	38	17	1½
	£115	4	5½		£115	4	5½

B. C. BOULTER, Hon. Treasurer.

Audited and found correct,
G. H. NALL.

June 27, 1895.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

JAMES THE SECOND'S DECLARATION.—One of the chief causes which led to James the Second's flight in December, 1688, was the popular enthusiasm on the acquittal of the seven Bishops (June 30) who had petitioned against His Majesty's Declaration. On May 4 the King in Council had ordered his Declaration for Liberty of Conscience to be read in all the London churches on May 20 and 27, and in the country churches on June 3 and 10. On May 20 Lord Dartmouth, who was then a scholar at Westminster, heard it read in the Abbey by Sprat, Bishop of Rochester, who was Dean. As soon as he began to read, there was such a noise of people leaving their seats that his voice could scarce be heard. The Dean trembled so violently that he could scarcely hold the paper. By the time he had finished only the choir and the scholars remained in the church.—(Perry, *History of the Church of England*, 1862, ii. 522.)

"The Learned Henry Wharton," Chaplain at Lambeth to Archbishop Sancroft, died March 5, 1694, in the 31st year of his age. He was buried in the Abbey, many of the Bishops and great numbers of the clergy being present. "All sorts of persons were willing to show their respect for him in the best manner they were able. The Rev. the Dean and Prebendaries of Westminster . . . caused the King's scholars to attend him to his grave (an uncommon respect, and the highest they can show on such an occasion)."—Life, prefixed to his *Fourteen Sermons*.

Edward Wells, D.D., who went from Westminster to Christ Church, was a well-known writer on Geography and Mathematics, as well as against Dissenters. His book on the "Rich Man's Duty of Repairing Churches" was re-issued by John Henry Newman in 1839. Among his works was an edition of the *Geographia of Dionysius*, 1704, which he thus dedicated :—

"Reverendo Doctissimoque
Viro
Thomæ Knipe,
S.T.P.
Regiæ Scholæ Westmonasteriensis
Archididascalo
et
Busbeii
Grammaticorum Principis
Successori Dignissimo,
Hanc Orbis Terrarum olim
& nunc cogniti Descriptionem,
Quo par est obsequio,
Gratitudinis ergo,
D.D. D.Q.
Humillimus Discipulus
Edvardus Wells."

Dr. Isaac Barrow, Master of Trinity College, Cambridge, an English theologian whose works are

not yet obsolete, died May 4, 1677, in the 47th year of his age. He died (according to one account) in one of the prebendal houses at Westminster, "that had a little stair to it out of the cloisters, which made him call it a *man's nest*." He had come up, according to custom, to the election of Westminster scholars. He died of a fever, aggravated by an over-dose of opium, the use of which drug he had learned in Constantinople. He was buried in the Abbey, where there is a marble monument surmounted by his bust, and a Latin inscription by Dr. Mapletoft.

Marriage.

We insert the following with all due congratulations :—

CARTWRIGHT—CHADWICK.—17th inst., at St. Mary's, Charlton-on-Otmoor, Oxford, by the Rev. G. Hayton, Rector of Charlton, and the Rev. W. Moyle Rogers, uncles of the bride, the Rev. Arthur Babington Cartwright, Curate of Christ Church, Mayfair, to Annie Isabella, daughter of the late Major R. A. Chadwick, Madras Staff Corps.

Obituary.

WE regret to have to announce the death of HERBERT MASCALL CURTEIS (O.W.). He was born in 1824, admitted 1836, and left 1840. He went up to Oxford (Ch. Ch.), and played for the 'Varsity at cricket in 1841 and 1842. He also played for Sussex. He represented Rye in Parliament from 1847-1852, but resigned his seat in order to play for the county. He was M.F.H. for 14 years of East Sussex.

NOTICES.

All contributions to the October number of *The Elizabethan* must be sent in to the Editor, St. Peter's College, Westminster, not later than September 30.

All other communications should be addressed to the Secretary of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster, and on no account to the Editor or Printers.

The yearly subscription to *The Elizabethan* is 4s. It is requested that all subscriptions now falling due, or not yet paid up, may be forwarded to W. C. Mayne, St. Peter's College, Westminster (not addressed to 'the Treasurer'). Post Office Orders to be made payable at the Broad Sanctuary Post Office, Westminster.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his correspondents or contributors.

Contributions can only be inserted if written on one side of the paper only.

Back numbers of *The Elizabethan* may be had from the Secretary at 6d. each.

Moreat.