



# The Elizabethan.

Vol. VIII. No. 7. WESTMINSTER, OCTOBER 1895.

Price 6d.

## THE PAST CRICKET SEASON.

THIS year's season was marked by two distinct periods: in the first half it seemed impossible to win a match, and after five matches had been lost, a crushing defeat by Charterhouse seemed to put the finishing touch to want of success. After that fortune changed entirely: four matches were won off the reel, including a most meritorious win over a strong M.C.C. team. A defeat by O.W.W. ended up the season, and the final record was seven defeats to four victories—a much better average than at one time seemed probable. Undoubtedly the feature of the latter part of the season was Probyn's bowling. In the first six matches he only took thirteen wickets, and failed utterly in the Charterhouse match, not getting a single wicket. Then came an extraordinary change. In the next four

matches he took twenty-six wickets for an average of just under seven, and in the M.C.C. match eleven wickets fell to him for fifty-five runs. He bowled with great variety of pace, and had a good command over the ball. He is the most promising bowler that Westminster has produced for a long time, and ought to make a name if his hand does not lose its cunning. Of the other bowlers More was the most useful, and generally got wickets; Murray gives some promise, but Fisher was disappointing—the dry wickets did not seem to suit him. Whittow seemed to have lost the art altogether.

Moon was the mainstay of the batting. He had the very fair average of twenty-seven, and generally played good and attractive cricket; he was very unfortunate in being badly run out in the first innings of the Charterhouse match. He was hardly as good as last season behind

the wicket, but on faulty wickets it is very doubtful policy to dispense with a backstop, and most unfair on the wicket-keeper. The rest of the batting on the whole was disappointing. Fisher took a long time to get into form, and only played one really good innings. More played one good innings, but there was a great want of consistency all through the team, and, with the exception of Moon, no one could be really relied upon to make runs. There is, however, considerable promise for the future. Fox and Beasley ought to be useful next year, and of the younger players Van der Gucht, Blaker, and Young all show considerable promise.

A word of warning may be added as to practice at the nets. Practice in hitting is all very well, but to make a rule of going in and hitting at every ball is fatal to any chance of improvement, and does still more harm by the force of bad example. There is a fair amount of talent coming on in the School, and it is to be hoped that we shall be favoured with better wickets than was the case last season.

Elson, who has succeeded Harris as groundman, is doing something for the ground, and with favourable weather there should be considerable improvement. Town Boys gained an easy victory over Queen's Scholars. The Shield fell to Rigaud's; Grant's, who had won it for three years in succession, had to be content with second place.

A great effort was made to improve the games in the afternoon on whole school days. The system by which Masters and Pinks took charge of a game every day has much to commend it. We hope it may be continued another year. It is a great thing to have some one who understands the game to give hints and see that the game is properly played. A distinct increase in keenness was to be traced to this cause. It is also pleasing to note that there was a better attendance to watch the matches on Saturdays. It cannot be too often insisted that it is the duty, as it should be the *pleasure*, of every boy in the School to spend his Saturdays at Vincent Square. This duty lies on all, Boarders and Home-boarders alike. The increased interest which results is not only

a great encouragement of School games, but vastly to the improvement of each individual who attends.

## SUMMARY OF MATCHES.

	Westminster	Opponents	
May 4, Sat., v. Incogniti...	60-41 (4 wkts.)	122	Lost by 62 runs
" 11, " v. Blackheath	75-47 (8 wkts.)	*217 (5 wkts.)	Lost by 142 runs
" 18, " v. Kensington Park ...	99	275	Lost by 176 runs
" 25, Sat., v. Lords and Commons ...	191	199 (7 wkts.)	Lost by 3 wkts. and 8 runs
June 1, Sat., v. Upper Tooting ...	149	153-60 (5 wkts)	Lost by 4 runs
" 7 & 8, Fri. & Sat., v. Charterhouse ...	173-130	369	Lost by an innings and 66 runs
" 22, Sat., v. Old Cartusians ...	151	44-130 (6 wkts)	Won by 107 runs
" 26, Wed., v. West Kent	112-129	100	Won by 12 runs
" 29, Sat., v. Oxford University Authentics...	186	65-121 (0 wkt.)	Won by 121 runs
July 6, Sat., v. M.C.C. ...	141	122-44	Won by 19 runs
" 13, " v. Old Westminster ...	94-34 (0 wkt.)	163	Lost by 69 runs

\* Innings declared closed.

Matches played—11. Won—4. Lost—7. Drawn—0.

## BATTING AVERAGES.

	Innings	Times not out	Total runs	Most in an innings	Average
L. J. Moon .....	16	2	380	70	27.71
R. N. R. Blaker ...	14	5	161	48	17.81
C. Van der Gucht...	10	1	144	29	16.00
C. D. Fisher .....	10	0	156	79	15.60
F. Young .....	5	2	40	20	13.33
R. E. More .....	14	0	186	54	13.28
H. O. C. Beasley...	12	0	134	30	11.16
W. F. Fox .....	16	1	100	14	6.66
A. Whittow .....	14	0	92	19	6.57
R. E. Murray .....	13	2	67	13	6.09
S. C. Probyn .....	15	0	74	18	4.93
A. Berens .....	7	4	18	6*	6.00
W. A. E. Stamp ...	3	0	11	11	3.66
G. F. Martin.....	9	1	23	9	2.87

## BOWLING AVERAGES.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets	Average
S. C. Probyn ...	219.2	56	519	43	12.07
R. E. More .....	125.2	34	338	24	14.08
R. E. Murray ...	44.4	11	125	8	15.62
C. D. Fisher ...	139	33	414	19	21.79
W. F. Fox .....	37.4	11	119	4	29.75
A. Whittow .....	83	18	255	5	51.00
C. Van der Gucht	8	2	22	0	—
G. F. Martin ...	8	0	41	2	20.50
A. Berens .....	6	0	8	0	—

\* Signifies not out.

## WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

No. 45. HENRY BENNETT, EARL OF ARLINGTON.

*(Continued from page 66 ante.)*

'THE history of Charles II.'s reign has still to be written,' and I do not think our opinion of human nature is at all lessened by the omission. Like the sons of old Sir Hildebrand, in 'Rob Roy,' the statesmen of that age possessed characters compounded of a variety of evil qualities, but in rather dissimilar pro-

portions. The traitor predominated in Shaftesbury ; the profligate in Buckingham ; the rogue in Danby, and the coward in Arlington. But they all had a wholesome regard for their own safety, and a keen eye for their own advantages, neither of which was ever obstructed by unshaken fidelity to any man or any idea ; and if they were not all profligate themselves, they were accomplices both before and after the fact in the profligacy of their master. The virtues of the age may not entirely have 'fled to brutish beasts' ; but they are to be sought rather in the prisoner's dock and on the traitor's scaffold than in the Court, the Parliament, or on the bench. To trace the various toruous intrigues of these men, their subordinates, and their would-be rivals would be an endless and an unprofitable task. We will content ourselves with briefly indicating the chief events in Arlington's career. Secretary in 1662, he retained that post during twelve of the most disgraceful years of English history, and was largely responsible for two of the most flagitious acts of that period—the closing of the Exchequer and the attack on the Dutch Smyrna fleet. The first may have brought a temporary relief to the King's finances, but at the cost of ruin to hundreds of honest folks. The other had not even the poor excuse of success. The conception of opening an unjust war by a sudden attack on a fleet of merchant ships whose cargoes were valued at a million and a half was an undeniably fine one, but it was pitifully bungled in the execution : the force despatched on the enterprise was beaten off, and the English Government gained nothing from the undertaking but the dishonour of having planned it. Its treachery may have atoned in Charles' eyes for its ill-success, for, though Arlington's favour soon afterwards began to wane, it was for a different reason. Strong Papist though he may have been, he had still sufficient discernment to see that the King's safest policy lay in a strict enforcement of the Penal Laws : 'having attained salvation himself in the bosom of the infallible Church, he did not object to making the road to it as arduous as possible to other people.' The Roman Catholics murmured against him as loud as they dared, denouncing him as an apostate and a persecutor ; but—what affected him far more nearly—the King began to resent a politic selfishness which bore too close a likeness to his own. It is said that once when Colonel Talbot—the 'lying Dick Talbot' of the Restoration, the fierce and unscrupulous Tyrconnell of the Revolution—was closeted with Charles, he ventured to express some surprise that the latter treated Arlington with so much coldness. But the King angrily replied that it was the natural result of Arlington's hypocrisy : 'For, not content to come to prayers as others do, he must needs be constant at sacraments too.' Talbot gently hinted that Arlington might not have to look far for a royal example to justify his conduct. 'Odds fist !' exclaimed Charles angrily, 'I should hope there was some difference between Harry Bennet and me.' The story itself must, I am afraid, be condemned on internal

evidence as untrue, for nothing that we know of Tyrconnell leads us to suppose that he would have jeopardised his own credit with the King for any other person whatsoever ; but that the anecdote should have been invented is significant.

It was in the year 1673 that Arlington began to lose his hold on the King's favour—which is an awkward phrase, but with such a man as Charles II. it is ludicrous to speak of affections or esteem. Up to that date the minister had risen steadily, and in 1672 had received several gratifying marks of the royal approbation. On April 22 he was raised two steps in the peerage, being created Viscount Thetford and Earl of Arlington ; on June 15 the Garter was conferred on him ; and on June 22 he was appointed one of three plenipotentiaries to represent British interests at Utrecht. In April of the following year he was sent alone as plenipotentiary to Cologne, but it was his last real promotion. The action of the Commons in the preceding month, when they annulled the Declaration of Indulgence, and passed the Test Act, had made the King bitterly angry, and as soon as he began to suspect that Arlington had intrigued with the Opposition to urge them to those steps the Secretary's cause was lost. Arlington's motive was an intelligible if scarcely an honourable one—he wished to drive Clifford from the Treasury in order to become his successor, and for that purpose was not ashamed to utilise the circumstance that Clifford had the courage to profess the religious views which he himself held in secret. But though Arlington succeeded in ousting Clifford, it was Darby who was nominated to the vacant post, and not long afterwards Arlington was forced to vacate the Secretaryship and accept the less important though more honourable post of Lord Chamberlain (Sept. 11, 1674). Halifax must surely have forgotten this incident when he remarked ten years later that Rochester was the first man whom he had ever seen kicked *up*-stairs.

From this point Arlington rapidly lost ground. It was in vain that he undertook a journey to Holland to arrange the match, which afterwards took place, between the Lady Mary and the Prince of Orange. For he not only disgusted the Prince by his presence, but he lost ground with the King in consequence of his absence. It is true that his impeachment for 'favouring of Papists, embezzlement, and breach of trust' was interrupted by a prorogation ; but the King prorogued Parliament to suit his own convenience, not to save his minister. But though Arlington never again appears in the front rank of politicians, he retained his post in the household to his death, which occurred on July 28, 1685.

There are two important events in the history of the time with which the name of Arlington is generally connected, but which I have omitted in this brief summary of his life—namely, the Triple Alliance and the Treaty of Dover. The omission was deliberate, because there is no means of arriving at a satisfactory assurance how far he was responsible either for the 'one good thing done since

the King came over,' or for the humiliating palinode which Louis exacted from his pensioner two years later; nor is it possible to gain any clear idea of either transaction. This is not to be wondered at, considering that without exaggeration all the persons in a position to know the truths about those two events were such consistent and unabashed liars that I doubt whether one of them could be trusted to tell the truth even to himself. The utmost which can be safely said is that Arlington may possibly have deserved some of the credit generally assigned to Temple for checking the French attack upon the Spanish Netherlands, and that his signature was certainly attached to the treaty of June 1, 1670. The latter circumstance cannot fairly be urged against Arlington's character; as a Catholic himself, he can have had no reason for objecting to a treaty mainly designed to secure the Roman religion a predominance in England; as a Catholic who never dared to avow his opinions till it was too late for him to be punished for them, he could as little object to the secrecy in which the Dover transaction was involved. At the worst, the fact of his having signed the treaty only proves him to be as black as we know him to have been before, and in no way can it be twisted to his credit.

Considering Arlington's character as a whole, we may fairly claim for him that he was neither cruel nor debauched—negative praise, indeed, but rarely deserved in his age and country. He went, indeed, to culpable lengths in abetting the King's own irregularities, but in that he only erred in common with every minister of the age from Clarendon to Halifax. In money matters his hands were clean compared with those of Danby or Rochester, and though he amassed an enormous fortune, which he for the most part transmuted into the unsatisfactory form of bricks and mortar, he only did what was expected of him. A story is told which amusingly illustrates the fact that Charles accepted his ministers' venality as cheerfully as they in turn his looseness of living. A minister was once urging the Spanish alliance on the King very warmly, so Charles asked him how much the Spaniards had promised him. 'Nothing,' was the reply. 'Then you owe them nothing,' retorted the King, 'for they offered Arlington forty thousand pounds.' A bribe offered a minister with the full knowledge of his master is scarcely to be distinguished from a legitimate perquisite, just as the £6,000 paid to Arlington by his successor as Secretary carried no more discredit with it than the price of a commission in the Army in the not so very distant days of purchase. For the rest, Arlington's character has been skilfully if not leniently drawn by Macpherson:—

'Arlington supplied the place of extensive talents by an artful management of such as he possessed. Accommodating in his principles and easy in his address, he pleased where he was known to deceive, and his manner acquired to him a kind of interest where he commanded no respect. He was little

calculated for bold measures on account of his natural timidity, and that defect created an opinion of his moderation which was ascribed to virtue. His facility to adopt new measures was forgotten in his readiness to acknowledge the errors of the old. The deficiency of his integrity was forgotten in the decency of his dishonesty. Too weak not to be superstitious, yet possessing too much sense to own his adherence to the Church of Rome, he lived a Protestant in his outward profession, but he died a Catholic. Timidity was the chief characteristic of his mind, and that being known, he was commended even by cowards. He was the man of the least genius of the party, but he had most experience in that slow and constant current of business which perhaps suits affairs of State better than the violent exertions of men of great parts.'

So the greatest living historian refers to him as 'Arlington, who could support any measure so long as it was his interest to do so,' but is so far lenient both to him and his master on the score of religion as to remark that they scarcely knew whether they were Catholics or Protestants.

The impressions which his manner made on his contemporaries were various. Burnet calls him a man of staid and grave demeanour, adding that he knew better than anyone else how to manage the King. Hamilton thought him a stupid formalist, who had been made Secretary solely on account of his grave and mysterious looks. To Clarendon he appeared as a man whose 'best faculty was raillery,' and who was 'for his pleasant and agreeable humour acceptable unto the King.' Evelyn, no despicable judge, pronounced him 'a man of polished manners and of great colloquial powers.' 'The truth seems to be,' says Macaulay, 'that . . . he had a wonderful talent for becoming in outward semblance all things to all men. He had two aspects, a busy and serious one for the public, whom he wished to awe into respect, and a gay one for Charles, who thought that the greatest service which could be rendered to a prince was to amuse him. Yet both these were masks which he laid aside when they had served their turn.'

Lord Arlington's property and titles descended to his daughter Isabel, 'a sweet child, if ever there was any,' as Evelyn styled her when he witnessed her marriage at the age of five to the Duke of Grafton, who was four years her senior. The ceremony was repeated seven years later (*i.e.* in 1679), when the contracting parties were of a more marriageable age, and the Arlington titles and Euston estates are still merged in the duchy of Grafton.

FLOREAT.

### School Notes.

THERE are 31 new boys this term.

We beg to congratulate J. S. Phillimore on a First in Greats, and A. Y. G. Campbell on passing into the Indian Civil Service.

The results of Election last term were as follows :

*Elected to Christ Church :*

S. C. Probyn, T.B.  
J. F. Waters, Q.S.  
E. H. Waterfield, Q.S.

*Elected to Trinity :*

W. G. Towers, T.B.  
H. T. Sheringham, Q.S.

*Triplet Exhibitions :*

C. R. Beaven, Q.S.  
T. H. Corfield, T.B.

*Triplet Gratuities of £75 :*

B. C. Boulter, Q.S.  
W. G. Towers, T.B.

*Samwaies Exhibitions :*

W. G. Towers, T.B. (Senior).  
H. T. Sheringham, Q.S. (Junior).

The theses for 'Up School' Epigrams this year were 'Noblesse Oblige' and 'οἶδα Σίμωνα καὶ Σίμων ἐμέ.' There were only a few epigrams, and all but one in English, but some of these were fairly good, dealing mostly, of course, with the General Election.

The Mure Scholarship has been awarded to M. L. Gwyer, the Ireland Latin Verse Prize to R. K. Gaye, and the Gumbleton English Verse to C. D. Fisher.

The following are the School Officers for this year :—

*Captain of School.*—R. K. Gaye, Q.S.  
*Monitors.*—H. B. McCaskie, T.B.  
C. D. Fisher, Q.S.  
R. Airy, Q.S.

*Head of Grant's.*—W. F. Fox.  
*Head of Rigaud's.*—H. O. C. Beasley.  
*Head of H.B.B.*—H. B. McCaskie.  
*Head of Ashburnham.*—T. S. Holland.  
*Captain of Football.*—W. F. Fox.  
*Captain of Cricket.*—C. D. Fisher.

There will be an exeat this term lasting from 4 P.M. on Friday, November 1, to 9.15 A.M. on Wednesday, November 6.

The cricket elevens were filled up as follows :—

<i>Pinks</i>	<i>Pink and Whites</i>	<i>Third Elevens</i>
C. D. Fisher	T. S. Holland	R. O. Barnett
A. Whittow	A. H. Hogarth	E. H. Waterfield
L. J. Moon	A. Berens	H. B. Templer
H. O. C. Beasley	G. F. Martin	C. E. L. Johnston
R. E. More	H. J. Kirkpatrick	S. L. Taylor-Taswell
W. F. Fox	F. Young	T. H. Corfield
S. C. Probyn	W. A. E. Stamp	W. V. Rayner
C. Van der Gucht	K. R. Barnes	H. Y. Langhorne
R. N. R. Blaker	A. P. Day	G. M. Anderson
R. E. Murray	C. F. Armstrong	H. R. Flack
		H. C. Lecky

Orations were held 'Up School' on Friday, October 4, when H. R. Lonsdale was placed first, and

H. G. Quin and R. H. Phillimore equal second. There were only five competitors, but the piece was said much better than usual by most of them.

The following is the Football Card filled in up to date :—

		1895.	
Sat.	Oct. 5	Old Westminster.	Won (3-2).
Wed.	" 9	Crusaders.	Drawn (2-2).
Sat.	" 12	Old Rossallians.	Won (5-1).
"	" 12	2nd XI. v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI.	Drawn (0-0).
"	" 19	Old Reptonians.	Drawn (1-1).
"	" 26	Trinity College, Oxford.	Won (4-0).
Wed.	Nov. 6	Selwyn College, Cambridge.	
Sat.	" 9	Old Foresters.	
"	" 16	Christ Church, Oxford.	
Mon.	" 18	Cambridge, O.W.W.	
Sat.	" 23	Old Carthusians.	
"	" 30	Magdalen College, Oxford.	
"	Dec. 14	L. A. M. Fevez's XI.	
		1896.	
Sat.	Jan. 25	Clapham Rovers.	
"	Feb. 1	F. Bickley's XI.	
"	" 8	Old Wykehamists.	
"	" 8	2nd XI. v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI.	
Wed.	" 12	Crusaders.	
Sat.	" 15	Casuals.	
Wed.	" 19	Old Etonians.	
Sat.	" 22	Keble College, Oxford.	
"	" 29	Old Brightonians.	
"	Mar. 7	R. T. Squire's XI.	
"	" 14	Charterhouse.	
Wed.	Mar 18	Q.SS. v. T.BB.	

The Mission offertory in Abbey on October 18 amounted to £15. 6s. 10½d., which was increased by the Head-master's subscription to £19. 5s.

By a unanimous vote of the Games Committee, 'Sixes' have ceased to exist. It is, however, believed that some system of league matches is to be organised as a substitute.

Commemoration will be on Monday, November 18, this year.

An amusing account of our match against Crusaders appeared in the *Daily Chronicle* on the Monday following the match. The article was not only in villainous English, but was evidently written by a man who had not paid much attention to the game, and so filled up his column with an abuse of Westminster football generally. We wonder how many of our team will confess to being 'knocked over like ninepins' by the 'Pilgrims.' We believe that a similar article appeared in *The Morning Leader*.

The present Parliament has the same O.W.W. representatives as the last. They are eight in number, and all sit on the Ministerial side. The first six were returned without a contest: Sir J. R. Mowbray for the University of Oxford, the Right Honourable James Lowther for Thanet, Sir F. Seager Hunt for

Maidstone, Colonel C. E. Howard Vincent for Central Sheffield, Mr. William Wickham for Petersfield, Mr. W. E. M. Tomlinson for Preston, Mr. T. T. Bucknill, Q.C., for Epsom, and Mr. R. U. Penrose Fitzgerald for Cambridge. Three other O.W.W. were beaten at the polls. Mr. H. W. Lush-Wilson, Q.C., stood as a Conservative for East Northamptonshire, Mr. R. C. Phillimore as a Liberal for Ripon, and Mr. Henry Harrison as a Parnellite for North Sligo.

## DEBATING SOCIETY.

AN extraordinary meeting was held on Monday, September 30, when the following new officers were elected:—

*President.*—R. Airy, Q.S.

*Vice-President.*—R. E. More, T.B.

*Hon. Secretary.*—C. E. L. Johnston, Q.S.

*Treasurer.*—E. H. M. Cooper, T.B.

*Elected on the Standing Committee:*

R. K. Gaye, Q.S.

A. Whittow, T.B.

The House met again on Thursday, October 3. The following new members were elected: F. Young, Q.S.; S. L. Taswell, T.B.; O. J. R. Howarth, T.B.; H. McKenna, T.B.; E. M. Eustace, Q.S.

The House then discussed the following motion: 'That this House censures the Government for its inaction with reference to Armenia.'

The speakers on either side were as follows:—

For the motion: R. Airy (*Proposer*) (2), A. Whittow (*Seconder*). Against the motion: R. E. More (*Opposer*), A. H. Hogarth, O. J. R. Howarth, H. J. Kirkpatrick, H. O. C. Beasley.

Apart from those of the Proposer, Opposer, and Seconder, the other speeches, except that of A. H. Hogarth, were little more than remarks. The general tone of the speeches, especially that of the Seconder, consisted in accounts of atrocities suffered by the Armenians at the hands of Turkish officials. The Government chiefly to blame was the late Government. Lord Kimberley had been in possession of the facts in September 1894, but had done nothing; the only measure Lord Salisbury had taken was to bring the matter before the notice of the public in the Queen's Speech. The Proposer's speech was marked by several excellent arguments. Armenia, he said, had two chief periods in its history: 1847, the year in which it had come under the rule of Turkey, to 1891, a period marked by shameful misgovernment; and 1891–1894, when the Turks resorted to extermination. It was Mr. Gladstone's speech at Chester, he said, that had forced Lord Salisbury to mention Armenia in the Queen's Speech. The Seconder followed in the Proposer's steps by entertaining the House with stories of atrocities; and though these stories were more numerous, his speech did not contain such weighty or nearly so many arguments as that of the Proposer. His chief points were against the late Government, whose plea that they were

powerless against Russia he had good reason not to believe. All the other speeches were against the motion, the chief points being that it would be absurd for a government to rush headlong into a matter so important as that of Armenia without due deliberation, and that Armenia was not so much the concern of England as of other countries nearer at hand.

On the whole the discussion was fairly good, and the division resulted as follows: Ayes, 6; Noes, 9.

The motion was therefore lost.

The House met again on Thursday, October 10, when the following motion was discussed: 'That this House approves of professionalism in games.'

The following spoke:—

For the motion: R. E. More (*Proposer*) (2), A. H. Hogarth (*Seconder*), H. O. C. Beasley, A. Whittow, R. Airy. Against the motion: O. J. R. Howarth (*Opposer*).

Though on division the result showed a majority of only 7 for the motion, there was but one speaker against the motion. This surely shows that members ought not to keep their opinions so much to themselves, when they might benefit the discussion by expressing them. The chief aim of those who spoke for the motion was to show that professionals in a great measure assist amateur games. Amateur sports would degenerate but for professionals. This is not only the case in cricket and football, but also in racquets, rowing, and everything else. In cricket especially professionalism is essential. The Opposer agreed with professionalism in cricket, but not in football. Fouling, he said, was part of the professional game.

The speeches again were on the whole not good, and the motion barely lasted for the hour. The nature of the motion caused several of those who *did* speak to say in different words what others had said already; but, at the same time, the subject of discussion being a popular one ought to have produced a far better debate.

The result of the division was: Ayes, 13; Noes, 6. The motion was therefore carried.

The House met again on Thursday, October 17, when the following motion was discussed: 'That this House approves of Capital Punishment.'

The following spoke:—

For the motion: C. E. L. Johnston (*Proposer*) (2), E. H. M. Cooper (*Seconder*), H. O. C. Beasley. Against the motion: R. Airy (*Opposer*) (2).

R. E. More also spoke, with views on both sides of the question. The number of speakers on this motion was fewer than on the preceding motions, and the speeches longer. Those who spoke for the motion endeavoured to prove that capital punishment was the most effectual means the State could take of ridding itself of a dangerous man, and that it deterred men from premeditated murder, and other smaller offences which, if there was no fear of capital punishment, might lead to murder. The Opposer, besides

stating arguments against punishment by death, had to point out some punishment which might be substituted for it. He thought that penal servitude that would actually last for life would deter men from the crime of murder as much as capital punishment; and Mr. More suggested penal servitude for life, with a flogging from the cat-o'-nine-tails once a week. This latter was pointed out to be impossible for any man to bear, as it takes a week to recover from one flogging. In Switzerland capital punishment had been abolished, but had to be resumed again, and in Russia its abolition was only followed by most cruel tortures.

The motion could only just be finished in the one evening, and the House divided, with the result: Ayes, 17; Noes, 4.

The motion was therefore carried.

## HALL EPIGRAMS.

THE Election Dinner took place on Monday, July 29th, when we were pleased to welcome a very large number, even larger than usual on such occasions, of O.W.W. The Services were represented by Admiral Sir Augustus Phillimore and Colonel Turner, and the 'Lower House' by Mr. W. E. M. Tomlinson. Mr. T. W. Wheeler, Q.C., appeared for the Bar, and of the Church we were glad to see Canon Osborn and Rev. W. H. Milman, and last of this list, but by no means least, Mr. R. J. Mure.

The theses were 'τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον' and 'Ab hoste doceri,' and it seems a pity that with such excellent theses, the epigrams were by no means equal in quality to those of former years, especially as there were comparatively few in Latin and still less in Greek. There were thirty epigrams on various subjects, but chiefly on the General Election and the late Cabinet crisis. The Japanese also came in for a few, as also the Cricket Champion, on whom we may quote the following:—

τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον.  
Militia est viridi quae luditur aequore campi,  
Vallos qua triplices hic petit, ille tegit.  
Centuriam scivit qui cogere centuriarum,  
In summo hunc pretio par fuit esse ducem.  
Centurio salicem pro vite insignia gestat,  
Atque pilae, haud pili primus honore cluet.

And another on the same subject and thesis:

If over the cricketing scores you have bent your eyes,  
You must have been struck by the number of centuries  
Which W. G. has knocked up with his bat o' late.  
With a double 'Euge!' we him gladly congratulate,  
Though a value his feats always to his side bear, it I  
Can't for a moment ascribe to their rarity.

The Russo-Chinese loan produced this:

"Ab hoste doceri."  
Quare fremuerunt gentes  
Sicut nuper Orientes?  
Est humanum et amoenum  
Aes optare alienum.

This is *Punch's* advertisement of a well-known soap:

τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον.  
Ex quo saponem hunc emi jam tertius annus;  
Inque manus alter non mihi venit adhuc.

Is marriage a failure? We give an Auctore epigram to point out where one of its defects lies, though it may be doubted if marriage can be called 'σπάνιον.'

τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον.  
Νῦν λέγε μοι πότερον τὸ γαμεῖν ἢ τὸ ἔξαπατᾶσθαι.  
Οὐκ οἶδ', ἀλλὰ γαμεῖν τίμιον ἔστιν ἐμοί.

Here is another Auctore, evidently from a very bigoted Conservative:

τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον.  
Multum rara valent: nam nuper rara valebant  
Cordita e sellis exagitare malos.

Here is one to explain Lord Salisbury's absence of policy:

Ab hoste doceri.  
'Why have you no policy?' asked a Rad.  
'A no-policy government must be bad!'  
Said the Tory with resolution:  
'You mistake; our policy from yours we evolve,  
With Home Rule the Empire you'd wholly dissolve,  
And our policy's dissolution.'

Before we leave politics, the following is worth quoting:

τὸ σπάνιον ἄτιμον.  
Dissentientes omnibus super rebus  
Ipsis et Optimatibus  
Celtas tamen conjunxit una resilla  
Ipsis et Optimatibus,  
Quod stare in Aula displicet virum magnum  
Ipsis et Optimatibus,  
Quem digniorem, qui stet aureus, dico  
Celtis et Optimatibus  
Raram, probrosam, sordidam, satis dignam  
Celtis et Optimatibus,  
Conjunctionem di boni malo vertant  
Celtis et Optimatibus.

Here, again, is an instance of a rare type of modern Englishman:

τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον.  
I met him in a lonely land;  
He seemed a simple soul;  
He had no painter's cunning hand,  
No poet's eye to roll.

What dear Dan Cowper call'd the phiz  
In him is void of grace,  
But what made one my soul and his  
Outshines bright Phœbus' face.

Like famous Poll, he's nought to say,  
Unlike him, never thinks;  
But, oh! he has one glorious trait—  
He never trod the links!

And the following Auctore we may refer not only to epigram writing, but also to the writing an account of them:

τὸ σπάνιον τίμιον καὶ οὐ τίμιον.  
Non plus (hoc gratum est) semel anno scribere salse  
Est opus, at semel hoc plus mihi quam satis est.

## PHOTOGRAPHIC SOCIETY.

THE first Committee Meeting of the Photographic Society this term was held on September 28. Mr. Winder has found it necessary to resign the position of President, which he has held since the founding of the Society, and Mr. Nall, who was formerly treasurer (that post being now filled by Mr. Hall), has succeeded him. The members of the Committee are the following: for College, R. K. Gaye; for Grant's, A. P. Day; for Rigaud's, Jewesbury; for Ashburnham, H. E. Young; for H.B.B., O. J. Howarth. At the meeting, Day and Jewesbury were appointed curators, while Howarth undertook the secretaryship. Several questions were discussed, the most important that of the abolition of lantern lectures. This was unanimously rejected, and according to present arrangements there will be three lantern lectures this term, and three next. The exhibition of photographs will be, as before, on Commemoration day, November 18. Subscriptions remain the same: for class I., which includes the use of the dark room and chemicals, with the right of attendance at lectures and competing for prizes at exhibitions, 7s. 6d. for three terms, and 3s. 6d. for one; for class II., which does not include the use of the dark room and chemicals, 3s. 6d. for three terms, and 1s. 6d. for one. Subscriptions not yet paid should be given at once to the Subscribers' House Committee member.

At a further meeting of the Committee on October 23 several matters of importance were decided. There will be two lantern shows this term: Members' slides on Friday, November 15, at 5.15 P.M., and a lecture on Westminster Abbey by Mr. Sargeant, on Saturday, November 30, at 8 P.M. Members are invited to bring two friends from the School, and also relatives and friends outside the School. At the end of this term there will be an exhibition of photographs, for which prizes will be offered; and at the same time a special prize will be offered for lantern slides. There will not be an exhibition on Commemoration night, as originally intended. The rules for the exhibition will be posted on the notice board in the Library. Next term there will probably be a second exhibition of members' slides, and Mr. Hall has promised an archaeological lecture, while others will probably be arranged.

We congratulate A. P. Day on obtaining the first prize at the Dulwich College Photographic Exhibition.

## THE FIELDS.

### CRICKET.

#### THE SCHOOL *v.* O.W.W.

THIS match was played 'Up Fields' on July 13. O.W.W. won the toss, and Higgins and Tritton faced the deliveries of Probyn and Fisher. Tritton was

l.b.w. to Probyn before a run was scored, and with only 1 on the board Blaker was bowled by Fisher (2 for 1). Fox then arrived, and the score was carried to 58 before he was well caught and bowled by Probyn. He had made 22 in good style. Willett and Clapham did not stay very long, and at 123 Higgins, who had done most of the scoring, was clean bowled by Fisher. His fine innings of 70 contained eight 4's. The only one to stay long after this was W. R. Moon, who made 21 and was ninth out. The innings closed for 163. The School started their innings with Fox and Moon, and runs came steadily. At 18 Fox was caught at the wicket, the same fate befalling Moon a ball later; R. E. More made 2 and then fell a victim to Fox. At this point the School batting collapsed entirely, and the next five batsmen appeared to go in with the fixed idea of getting out first ball; consequently there were no less than eight wickets down for the miserable total of 20. It was then that a great change came over the game. Blaker and Young playing with caution, but with confidence, slowly brought the score up to 88, when Blaker was caught for 48. Though probably lucky at first, his innings was in every way a magnificent one, and the nerve he displayed at a most critical time was wonderful. Young did not survive him long, being bowled by Higgins for a patient 20, which included some good strokes to leg. The total was 94, which, considering that eight wickets were down for 20, was better than could have been expected. On the School going in again, Moon and Fox scored 36 without loss, the match thus ending in a victory for O.W.W. by 69 runs. Score:—

#### O. W. W.

F. J. Higgins, b. Fisher .....	70
H. B. Tritton, l.b.w., b. Probyn .....	0
H. R. Blaker, b. Fisher .....	0
C. J. M. Fox, c. and b. Probyn .....	22
J. A. Willett, c. Young, b. More .....	10
E. L. Clapham, b. More .....	5
W. R. Moon, l.b.w., b. More .....	21
F. G. Oliver, b. Fisher .....	7
F. L. Rawson, run out .....	3
P. C. Probyn, b. More .....	10
D. Fitzmaurice, not out .....	1
Extras .....	14
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>163</b>

#### WESTMINSTER.

<i>First Innings.</i>		<i>Second Innings.</i>	
L. J. Moon, c. Moon, b. Clapham ...	11	not out .....	15
W. F. Fox, c. Moon, b. Fox ...	6	not out .....	12
R. E. More, c. Probyn, b. Fox .....	2		
C. D. Fisher, b. Clapham .....	0		
H. O. C. Beasley, b. Fox .....	0		
S. C. Probyn, b. Clapham .....	0		
A. Whittow, b. Fox .....	0		
R. N. R. Blaker, c. Moon, b. Willett	48		
R. E. Murray, b. Clapham .....	0		
F. Young, b. Higgins ..	20		
A. Berens, not out .....	6		
Extras .....	1	Extras ..	9
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>Total for no wickets ...</b>	<b>36</b>



BOWLING ANALYSIS.

O. WW.				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. C. Probyn .....	28	9	67	2
C. D. Fisher .....	15	1	50	3
R. E. More .....	10·2	3	32	4
R. E. Murray .....	1	1	0	0

WESTMINSTER.				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
C. J. M. Fox .....	19	8	29	4
E. L. Clapham .....	18	9	22	4
D. Fitzmaurice .....	5	2	13	0
F. J. Higgins .....	6·2	0	25	1
J. A. Willett .....	2	0	4	1

T.B.B. v. Q.SS.

This annual match was played at Vincent Square as usual on Election Monday. The Town Boys winning the toss, sent in Moon and Fox, who were opposed by Fisher and Flack. Runs came slowly at first on account of the heaviness of the ground, but after a time more freely. At 40 Flack was relieved by Johnston, but this did not have the desired effect, and it was not until the score had reached 85 that Fox was caught by Martin off Fisher for a good and patient innings of 21. Beasley did not stay long, and More made 13 in a few hits, and it was not until Probyn came that another stand was made; various changes of bowling were resorted to, but no separation was effected at lunch time, when the score stood at 120 for 3 wickets. On resuming, runs came steadily until Moon was bowled by a good ball from Flack for a grand innings of 80, containing fifteen 3's and twelve 2's. At the same total Probyn was caught by Beaven off Fisher, and with 20 runs added Whittow and Murray were got rid of. Blaker and Berens were now partners, and 20 runs were added before Flack got Berens caught at point, and the last two wickets adding 25 runs, the innings closed for 209, Blaker being not out for a very good innings of 32, containing three 4's and four 3's. Flack had an analysis of 3 wickets for 29 runs, and Beaven took 2 wickets for 9 runs at the end of the innings. Fisher and Young went in to bat for the Q.SS., against the bowling of Probyn and More. Play was painfully slow at first, ten overs only yielding 5 runs, and the score had only amounted to 18 before Young was bowled by Probyn. Van der Gucht then came in, but only a run had been added before he was stumped, and at the same total (19) two more wickets fell, both Martin and Stamp being bowled by More. Hogarth and Fisher, however, stayed together some time, but scored few runs, and at 26 Fisher was bowled by More. Wickets then fell fast, 4 wickets adding 2 runs, and the innings closed for the small total of 40. Both Probyn and More had an excellent analysis, the former taking 5 wickets for 14 runs, and the latter 5 for 8.

The Q.SS. following on, Fisher and Young were opposed by the same pair of bowlers, but disasters soon began, Young being caught at the wicket at 4; Fisher and Martin were got rid of at the same total, and Van der Gucht being bowled at 5, and Stamp and

Hogarth at 7, 6 wickets were down for 7 runs. Waterfield and Langhorne then made a slight stand, and took the score to 26, when both were disposed of, and the last two wickets adding 10 runs, the innings closed for 37, leaving the T.B.B. victorious by an innings and 122 runs.

Probyn in the whole match had the remarkable analysis of 11 wickets for 28 runs, and More took 8 for 28.

TOWN BOYS.

L. J. Moon, b. Flack .....	80
W. F. Fox, c. Martin, b. Fisher .....	21
H. O. C. Beasley, b. Fisher .....	0
R. E. More, b. Martin .....	13
S. C. Probyn, c. Beaven, b. Fisher .....	21
A. Whittow, c. Martin, b. Fisher .....	12
R. E. Murray, b. Flack .....	1
R. N. R. Blaker, not out .....	32
A. Berens, c. Beaven, b. Flack .....	8
K. R. Barnes, c. Stamp, b. Beaven .....	9
H. J. Kirkpatrick, c. and b. Beaven .....	0
Extras .....	12

Total ..... 209

QUEEN'S SCHOLARS.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
C. D. Fisher, b. More .....	10	b. Probyn .....	4
F. Young, b. Probyn .....	3	c. Moon, b. More .....	0
C. Van der Gucht, st. Moon, b. More .....	0	b. Probyn .....	0
G. F. Martin, b. More .....	0	b. Probyn .....	0
W. A. E. Stamp, b. More .....	0	b. More .....	2
A. H. Hogarth, b. Probyn .....	5	c. More, b. Probyn .....	0
E. H. Waterfield, l.b.w., b. More .....	3	b. Probyn .....	9
H. Y. Langhorne, b. Probyn .....	0	b. More .....	8
H. R. Flack, st. Moon, b. Probyn .....	1	c. More, b. Probyn .....	0
C. E. L. Johnston, not out .....	0	c. Whittow, b. More .....	2
C. R. Beaven, b. Probyn .....	0	not out .....	3
Extras .....	18	Extras .....	9
Total .....	40	Total .....	37

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

TOWN BOYS.				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
C. D. Fisher .....	28	4	98	4
H. R. Flack .....	21	10	33	3
C. E. L. Johnston .....	2	0	10	0
C. Van der Gucht .....	3	0	18	0
G. F. Martin .....	12	3	29	1
C. R. Beaven .....	3·1	0	9	2

QUEEN'S SCHOLARS.

First Innings.				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. C. Probyn .....	17·3	9	14	5
R. E. More .....	17	12	8	5

  

Second Innings.				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
S. C. Probyn .....	11	5	14	6
R. E. More .....	10·3	4	14	4

FOOTBALL.

WESTMINSTER v. OLD WESTMINSTERS.

THIS match opened the season at Vincent Square on Saturday, October 5, and resulted in a win for the School team by 3-2. Fox winning the toss, Sandi-

lands kicked off from the hospital end, and Old Westminster soon began to assume the offensive, Willett scoring twelve minutes from the start. Play then became much more even, both goals being attacked in turn, and it was not till ten minutes before half-time that, from a grand centre of Street's, Sandilands headed into the net. After the interval the School pressed considerably, Hogarth being very conspicuous, and before long Blaker got one past his brother; and after a splendid run of Hogarth's almost the whole length of the field, Blaker equalised. The visitors then made determined efforts to resume the lead, but good play by Whittow and More kept the forwards at bay, and the School forwards getting clear once more, Moon put them ahead. Only a few minutes remained, and, though Old Westminster tried hard to bring matters level again, they could not succeed, and the game ended as stated above. For the School all played well, and it was a good performance beating the team they had against them.

The teams were:—

#### WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and L. J. Moon (right wing), R. N. R. Blaker (centre), C. D. Fisher and C. Van der Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

#### OLD WESTMINSTERS.

H. R. Blaker (goal), R. T. Squire and E. G. Moon (backs), H. H. Gordon, F. G. Oliver, and J. C. Hollocombe (half-backs), W. T. Barwell and E. A. Gates (right wing), R. R. Sandilands (centre), J. A. Willett and F. Street (left wing) (forwards).

#### WESTMINSTER *v.* CRUSADERS.

Played 'Up Fields' on Wednesday, October 9, resulting, after a fast and even game, in a draw of two goals each. Fox won the toss, and E. G. Wilson kicked off from the church end at 3.7. Almost immediately Wilson shot, and the ball, though stopped by Stenning, passed just over the line (0-1). Nettled by this reverse, Westminster took the ball into their opponents' territory, and 'hands,' given at about the half-way line, resulted in Whittow placing the ball beautifully into goal and Fisher equalising. Crusaders then obtained a corner, but nothing resulting, give-and-take play ensued till Hogarth tried Scott with a beautiful shot from the wing; the latter saving, was soon after on the alert again, as after an excellent piece of combination Blaker came within an ace of scoring. 'Hands' for Crusaders in front of goal looked dangerous, but it came to nothing. For a time Crusaders attacked strongly, and the outside right came near scoring, but Stenning saved well, and half-time arrived with the score 1-1. Play ruled even, till Stenning had two warm shots to deal with. 'Hands' for Crusaders round the Westminster goal was cleared, and Fisher came very near scoring, but

the ball was returned, and Green-Price scored with a good shot. Westminster then tried their utmost to equalise, and some brilliant work was put in by the forwards, Hogarth, Moon, and Blaker all having shots, and at last the much-wished-for goal came, Moon putting the final touches. 'Time' was soon called, with the result thus standing 2-2.

#### WESTMINSTER.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and L. J. Moon (right wing), R. N. R. Blaker (centre), C. D. Fisher and C. Van der Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

#### CRUSADERS.

T. G. Scott (goal), S. V. Shea and G. H. Simpson (backs), H. A. D. Capell, A. M. Daniel, and H. W. Hewitt (half-backs), R. Green-Price and H. A. P. Gardiner (right wing), E. E. Wilson (centre), H. J. Wilson and C. J. Burnup (left wing) (forwards).

#### WESTMINSTER 2ND XI. *v.* CLAPHAM ROVERS 2ND XI.

This match was played 'Up Fields' on October 12. Clapham Rovers brought one or two who are regularly seen in their 1st XI., and an even game resulted in a pointless draw. Kirkpatrick won the toss, and Clapham Rovers kicked off from the Vauxhall Bridge Road end, and play was chiefly carried on round the Westminster goal; subsequently both goals were in turn visited, but Langton and Taswell kept their charges intact, and 0-0 was the result. Both sides had chances which might have been utilised, and Westminster were unfortunate in losing their outside right at half-time. For the 2nd XI. all the back division were good, and of the forwards Brailey and Templer, while for the visitors Langton and Aylen were best.

#### WESTMINSTER.

S. L. T. Taswell (goal), E. H. M. Cooper and A. Berens (backs), H. J. Kirkpatrick, C. E. L. Johnston, and H. McKenna (half-backs), S. H. Langston and W. A. E. Stamp (right wing), A. R. Brailey (centre), W. V. Rayner and H. B. Templer (left wing) (forwards).

The visitors did not leave their names.

#### WESTMINSTER *v.* OLD ROSSALLIANS.

This match was played 'Up Fields' on Saturday, October 12. The Old Rossallians were two short, but received the valuable assistance of L. A. M. Fevez and W. Chatterton, the latter of whom proved the best of the opposing forwards. Losing the toss, the School kicked off from the church end at 3.20. 'Hands' for O.R.'s proved fruitless, the ball being sent behind, but Moon was soon enabled to score the first goal for Westminster. Even play ensued, till Fisher running down shot twice, hitting

the goal-keeper each time. Hill at the other end shot behind. Fisher then scored a second point with a good cross shot, which was almost immediately followed by a similar performance from Moon. C. S. Hill scoring with a soft shot which Stenning should have saved, the teams crossed over with the score 3-1 in Westminster's favour. About a couple of minutes after the interval Moon brought Westminster's score to 4, and following this a 'corner' to the School proved abortive. Fox tried a long shot, but the ball went wide, and Blaker gave Wandby several shots to deal with, and during a regular bombardment of his goal he saved time after time in capital style. On the stroke of time Fisher scored with a splendid long shot, and Westminster thus won by 5 goals to 1.

The teams were:—

#### WESTMINSTER.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and L. J. Moon (right wing), R. N. R. Blaker (centre), C. D. Fisher and C. Van der Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

#### OLD ROSSALLIANS.

W. Wandby (goal), L. Orton and W. B. Bell (backs), E. Gibb, H. Thwaites, and L. A. M. Fevez (sub.) (half-backs), H. Hamer and A. B. Weekly (right wing), C. S. Hill (centre), W. Chatterton (sub.) and 'A. Forward' (left wing) (forwards).

#### WESTMINSTER v. OLD REPTONIANS.

This match, which was the first visit of Old Reptonians to Vincent Square, was decided on Saturday, Oct. 19, and after a fast and pleasant game ended in a draw of one goal each. Losing the toss, Westminster defended the church end for the first half, and Brailey kicked off about 2.45. Play from the start ruled fast and even, and though Westminster got through, the goal was disallowed, Moon being palpably offside. Neither side could claim any advantage, until Moon rushed the ball past the Reptonian custodian, the point—though apparently the ball had been knocked through by Moon's hand—being allowed. This only served to make the game more hotly contested, and some fine runs by Abell were stopped by Beasley, while Blaker, who was playing outside right, Hogarth being 'dished,' gave the opposing back some anxiety. Half-time, however, arrived with the score Westminster 1, Old Reptonians 0. Resuming, the brothers Bryant put in some grand dribbling, and probably had they gone straighter for goal the visitors would soon have assumed the upper hand; as it was Smith scored and equalised matters. Then both goals were rapidly attacked in succession, and the backs at both ends had their work cut out. 'A. N. Other' repeatedly saved shots from Moon, Fisher, and Van der Gucht, and two corners were obtained, both of which, however, proved fruitless. At the other end Stenning saved grandly from a tussle in front of goal, while F. H. Bryant shot just outside the post. Moon once more put the ball through, but was ruled 'offside,' and, nothing further resulting,

the game ended as stated. For Old Reptonians Adams and Beasley were very good, and their forwards played an exceedingly good and combined game, the two Bryants and Abell being most conspicuous. For Westminster the team was hardly perhaps up to form, Hogarth's absence probably accounting for this. The backs were safe, but did not kick as well as usual. Beasley was invaluable, and Blaker was the best of the forwards.

#### WESTMINSTER.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), R. N. R. Blaker and L. J. Moon (right wing), A. R. Brailey (centre), C. D. Fisher and C. Van der Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

#### OLD REPTONIANS.

'A. Reptonian' (goal), W. G. Adams and E. H. Beazley (backs), G. J. Marsh, R. W. D. Hay, and H. Vickers (half-backs), G. F. Abell and A. S. Smith (right wing), H. J. Bryant (centre), F. H. Bryant and 'A. Forward' (left wing) (forwards).

### OLD WESTMINSTER FREEMASONS.

A REGULAR meeting of the 'Old Westminsters' Lodge, No. 2233, was held at the Café Royal, Regent Street, on Tuesday, October 15. The following were present:—W. Hicks, W.M., W. K. R. Bedford, T. Wakley, jun., H. D. Sandeman, H. Sutherland, C. W. Stephenson, H. E. Rawson, S. H. West, W. A. Ellis, T. Tomlinson, J. B. Liberty, and W. E. Horne.

The principal business was the election of a Worshipful Master for the coming year. Bro. A. M. Cope, who in all probability would otherwise have been chosen, having intimated that, owing to the pressure of business, he did not wish to offer himself as a candidate for the office, the choice of the Lodge fell upon Bro. the Rev. E. M. Tomlinson. The Worshipful Master elect has acted as the Chaplain of the Lodge since its inauguration in 1888, and under his guidance the brethren may safely look forward to the maintenance of the prestige and success which have so far attended it.

Bro. T. Wakley, jun., was re-elected Treasurer.

The announcement of the death of V. W. Bro. the Rev. C. W. Spencer-Stanhope (O.W.), who officiated as Chaplain at the consecration of the Lodge and was an honorary member, was heard with regret, and a vote of condolence with his relatives was passed. The usual banquet was subsequently partaken of and a pleasant evening spent, clouded only by the news that, as R. W. Bro. Sandeman was about to take up his residence in a distant part of England, he would probably not be able to attend the meetings with his previous wonted regularity. The tenour of the speeches must have convinced him, if he needed any proof, of the esteem in which he is held, and that his absence will be felt.

### House Notes.

COLLEGE.—There are eight new juniors in College who fill up the vacancies created last term. Three of the school monitors are now Q.SS. We cannot congratulate ourselves on the Town Boy match last term, but the batting was not strong enough to withstand the fine bowling of More and Probyn. We congratulate, though rather late in the day, Stamp on his Pink and Whites, and Johnston and Flack on their Third Elevens. Van der Gucht is again captain of College football, and has five colours to support him. He, Fisher, and Hogarth have been playing for the School, while Stamp and Johnston played for the Second Eleven *v.* Clapham Rovers. Stamp received his College colours before the match. The Literary Society are reading 'Othello.'

GRANT'S.—Nothing much of interest has taken place so far Up Grant's this term. The only fellow to leave at the end of last term was H. C. Smith. There are eight new boys, viz. : W. P. Daniel (exhibitor), C. de M. Rudolf and R. H. Loughborough (boarders), W. C. Stevens (Q.S.), E. C. Stevens, M. Baillie, W. J. Collins, and W. Zerrfi ; of whom the Stevens's and Collins have come up from H.B.B. Day and Kirkpatrick have been made monitors. We have to congratulate the following on School colours at the end of last term : A. P. Day (Pink and Whites), and S. L. T. Taswell and H. B. Templer (Third Elevens). No house colours were given. We had three fellows in the T.B. eleven. S. L. T. Taswell has been elected on the Debating Society. We must congratulate A. P. Day on gaining first prize at the Dulwich College Photographic Exhibition ; he is one of the curators of the dark room of the School Photographic Society. The Literary Society has read Sheridan's 'Scheming Lieutenant,' and are now engaged upon 'Romeo and Juliet.' We hope to have a fairly good team for 'Juniors.' The Second Eleven *v.* Clapham Rovers Second Eleven contained three Grantites. The Yard Ties have just been begun.

RIGAUD'S.—Since last term we have lost Probyn, Armstrong, Barnes, Simonds, and Baker, but as we have got five new fellows up the house our numbers are the same as last term. Beasley is head, and More and Whittow are the two new monitors, in addition to Berens, who was a monitor last term. We had seven representatives in the Town Boy cricket match last term, and up to the present five representatives in the football eleven. Berens, Cooper, and McKenna played for the Second Eleven *v.* Clapham Rovers Second. We tender our hearty but somewhat late congratulations to Probyn on his getting Head Election to Christchurch, and also on his playing in the Oxford Freshmen's Match.

H.B.B.—We have little news as yet. Our prospects for the House matches are no brighter than

usual, though we may do something in the Juniors. Brailey played for the School against Old Reptonians in the absence of Hogarth. We number seventy-three this term, as we had a good many new boys.

ASHBURNHAM HOUSE.—Our prospects are not very bright this term, as we have sustained a great loss for our team in the person of A. H. Guy, who left us last term ; we shall find it very hard to fill his place. A. W. Raikes has taken the place of A. E. Reid as house monitor. T. S. Holland has not yet come back. We have some promising new fellows for football, and we hope through them to have some success in the Juniors. R. O. Barnett is our sole representative in the School team. We hope to have more fellows 'Up Fields' on Saturday afternoons this season. We congratulate T. C. Lecky on receiving his Third Elevens last term.

### Obituary.

WE regret to have to announce the death of Sir HENRY BROMLEY, Bart., who died on September 21, 1895. Sir Henry was born December 25, 1816, and was admitted to the School January 20, 1831. He afterwards was captain of the 48th Regiment. In 1848 he married Charlotte Frances Ann, youngest daughter of Colonel Lancelot Rolleston, of Watnall Hall, Notts, and widow of Edward Heneage, M.P., and on February 2, 1856, he married his second wife, Georgina Ellen, third daughter of Vere Fane, of Little Ponton Hall, Lincolnshire. Sir Henry was a cricketer of some note as a big hitter and a slow bowler. He was many years president of the Nottinghamshire Cricket Club.

It is our sad duty to record the death of CHARLES WILLIAM SPENCER-STANHOPE, who died on September 24, 1895. He was born May 30, 1841, and was admitted to the School in January 1854, being elected as a Q.S. in 1856. He then went to Merton College, Oxford, and took his M.A. in 1866, and was ordained. He was curate of Ashbourne, Derbyshire, 1866-68 ; of Bishops Hatfield, Herts, 1868-71 ; vicar of Crowton, Cheshire, since 1871. In 1874 he married Rosalie, daughter of Robert Mason, of Aigburth, Lancs.

We regret to announce the death of Rev. WALTER RALPH BARNES, who died recently, aged 46. He was born January 16, 1849, and was admitted to the School January 12, 1863. He went to Christ Church, Oxford, in 1867, and was S.C.L. and B.A. 1871 ; M.A., 1877 ; ordained, 1877 ; he was curate of Charlwood, Surrey, 1877-80, also of Barley, Herts, 1880-81.

We regret to have to record the death of GEORGE TOWNSEND FORESTER, who was born December 31, 1805, and was admitted to the School May 30, 1818. He went to Brasenose, Oxon., in 1818, and took his B.A. in 1828.

It is our sad duty to announce the death of WILLIAM STAPLETON TROLLOPE, who died recently. He was born July 31, 1854, and was admitted to the School 1868, and left 1871. He was a cricketer of some note during 1877-84, and was a highly respected member of the Committee of the Surrey C.C., for whom he has often rendered able service in the field.

It is with great regret that we have to record the death, at the age of 68, of Dr. J. S. BRISTOWE, which took place at Dixton, Monmouthshire, early in August. How great a loss to the School he is can be judged from the fact that he was Physician to the School for over thirty years. In early life it was his ambition to become an artist, and he also published a book of poems, but on entering St. Thomas' Hospital as a student he relinquished these pursuits, and in three years he qualified as M.R.C.S., and in the same year (1849) became House-Surgeon at St. Thomas'. Shortly after we find him holding the post of Pathologist to the Hospital, and this he continued to occupy for ten years. Meanwhile he was appointed Physician in 1860 at the early age of 33. In 1876 he brought out his 'Treatise on the Theory and Practice of Medicine,' a work which at once brought him into fame. He was elected a Fellow of the College of Physicians in 1858, and a Fellow of the Royal Society in 1881, and in addition was an Honorary LL.D. of Edinburgh University. He held various important public appointments, too numerous to be enumerated here, but amongst others he was Medical Officer of Health for Camberwell, his native place, President (on the death of Sir Andrew Clark) of the Hospitals Association, of the Medical Society in 1893, and of numerous other similar institutions. Putting aside his great abilities, everyone who came into contact with him can bear witness to his unvarying kindness and sympathy on all occasions, and all—and especially we here at Westminster—must and do feel that much indeed has been lost by his death, not only as a distinguished medical man, but also as a personal friend.

## Correspondence.

### SHOUTING 'UP-FIELDS.'

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—As a regular attendant 'Up-Fields' on match days, I notice with pleasure that 'shouting' is much more general than it has been for a long time. One does not need to be told what a difference there is between playing when there is shouting and playing in a dead silence. And not only is there a great improvement in 'shouting,' but also in attendance. Formerly when the attendance 'Up-Fields' was so small, and the 'shouting' even smaller, it was not to be wondered at that the School fared so badly against its opponents. Lack of enthusiasm is contagious, and when those watching begin to be half-hearted, some of their half-heartedness is sure to be imparted to those playing, and this is how matches are lost. I do not say that 'shouting' will win the School their matches, but it will go in a very great measure towards winning them. There are, unfortunately, a certain few in the School who consider that at 12.45 P.M. on Saturdays their connection with the School ends for the time being. But in due justice to these people, I must say that this term this deplorable neglect of duty is notable by its almost complete absence. Hoping that the improvement in the 'shouting' which is so marked this term will be kept up,

Yours, &c.,

'STENTOR.'

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR MR. EDITOR,—You stated in your columns a short time ago that immense improvements were going to result from the change then taking place in the management of your paper. Is it one of the aforesaid advantages that the whole number for October should be kept waiting until the very end of that month, solely owing to the incompetence or negligence of the authorities in connection with the article upon 'The Past Cricket Season'? Surely, Mr. Editor, this delay might and ought to be remedied. Thanking you in advance for inserting this, and apologising for taking up your valuable space,

I beg to remain, yours truly,

INTERESTED O.W.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR MR. EDITOR,—Can you tell me what the rule is about Junior House matches? Who are debarred from playing? Some houses appear to play pink and whites, others do not. I should also be obliged if you could tell me what happens to the Sixes Cup when there are no Sixes. It has at present, I believe, been for two years with J. F. More, the last winner. I should be much obliged if you would insert this in your paper, and

Beg to remain, yours,

A. F. K.

## Our Contemporaries.

We beg to acknowledge, with thanks, the receipt of the following contemporaries:—*Bosworthian*, *A. A. Notes* (3), *Newtonian*, *Brighton College Magazine*, *Blundellian*, *St. Peter's School Magazine*, *Canturian*, *Carthusian*, *Ulula*, *Cliftonian*, *Our Boys' Magazine*, *Malvernian*, *Rossallian*, *Oakham School Magazine*, *Mill Hill Magazine*, *Geelong Grammar School Quarterly*, *Felstedian* (2), *Wellingtonian*, *Melburnian*, *Derbean*, *Cheltonian*, *Dan-hillian*, *Clavinian*, *Lancing College Magazine*, *Alleynian*, *Meteor*, *Cholmeleian*.

NOTICES.

All contributions to the November number of *The Elizabethan* must be sent in to the Editor, St. Peter's College, Westminster, not later than November 8.

All other communications should be addressed to the Secretary of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster, and on no account to the Editor or Printers.

The yearly subscription to *The Elizabethan* is 4s. It is requested that all subscriptions now falling due, or not yet paid up, may be forwarded to W. C. Mayne, St. Peter's College, Westminster (not addressed to 'the Treasurer'). Post Office Orders to be made payable at the Broad Sanctuary Post Office, Westminster.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his correspondents or contributors.

Contributions can only be inserted if written on one side of the paper only.

Back numbers of *The Elizabethan* may be had from the Secretary at 6d. each.

It is requested that all O.W.W. whose subscriptions to *The Elizabethan* are overdue will send in the payment as soon as possible. The amount owed by O.W.W. is considerably over £30. All O.W.W. are reminded that their names are taken off the list of subscribers when their subscription has fallen due for more than four years.

It is requested that anyone who has a number of *The Elizabethan* for October 1892 at his disposal will communicate as soon as possible with the Secretary.

Morcat.