



The Elizabethan.

Vol. VIII. No. 12. WESTMINSTER, FEBRUARY 1896.

Price 6d.

UP FIELDS.

PROBABLY this heading has already served more than once for the text of an *Elizabethan* leader. But there needs no apology on that account. The theme is one whose freshness no age can wither, whose infinite variety no custom can stale. Had Shakespeare lived some three centuries later, he could scarcely have failed to point many a moral and adorn many a tale by reference to the games now in vogue at Public Schools. He would have recognised and approved the pluck and endurance which the successful practice of them demands. He would have seen and welcomed the analogy, which to us is so obvious, between the mimic contests of youth and the tooth-and-nail struggles of the adult world. For, as a school is a microcosm, or

world in little, so, in many important aspects, are its games a miniature of the battle of life. Certainly he would never have written that line in *Lear*, in which Kent denounces Oswald as a 'base football-player.'

Of the many apposite, but apocryphal, sayings fathered on great men, none is more familiar than that which is attributed to the Iron Duke in respect of the Eton Playing-fields. But, though he never said that the battle of Waterloo was won there, no one has ever questioned the spirit of the famous, if not authentic, dictum. A great many playgrounds besides that of Eton contributed to bring about that happy result, Vincent Square, no doubt, among them, to say nothing of a hundred village-greens unknown to fame, yet the nursing mothers of heroes. The deduction is self-evident. Good

games count a great deal in the production of those qualities which have made the Anglo-Saxon what he is.

To apply this to our own particular case. Westminster games have not always flourished, but just now we have an opportunity of which the very utmost ought to be made. There is a time in the affairs not only of men, but of football teams, which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune. Such a tide is now running with us, and 'we must take the current when it serves, Or lose our ventures.' No present Westminster, and probably no O.W., can remember a season in which our record, up to date, has been anything like so good as it is now. For many years past there has not been so fair and reasonable a prospect as there is at the present moment of winning the great match of the year. To ensure a continuance of this successful career we depend in the first instance, of course, upon the energy of the Pinks themselves. There is no reason to suppose that they will not prove themselves worthy of the confidence we repose in them. Their present efficiency is the result of hard work last term, and of excellent captaincy—a captaincy which bids fair to leave its mark on the School, as far as football is concerned. At last a spirit of something like patriotism seems to have been awakened, after unusually prolonged slumbers. It must not be allowed to relapse into its normal comatose state. By precept and example the generous support of Fields must be encouraged. With a playground infinitely superior to that of many a country school, being perfectly level, half as large again as the playing portion of Lord's, and an acre bigger than the Oval, Westminster games ought never to fall to a low level. That is, always supposing that they are properly supported by H.B.B., who necessarily form the bulk of the School. It is quite unworthy of our traditions that, even on a match-day, the team should have to depend on the railings for the cheers which so often, in all walks of life, spur men on to victory. Success of any kind is rarely attained without a due share of the encouragement and applause of our fellows; and in games this holds especially good. Nothing

chills (and kills) like indifference; even undeserved abuse is ten times better. But in English schools the feeling of proprietorship in all, whether work or play, which redounds to the credit of the place is so general that we have not even thought it necessary to coin a word to express it concisely. To find one we must cross the Channel, and even then we find only *esprit de corps*, which, after all, is not quite what we mean. For lack of a better term we call it 'patriotism,' a very inadequate idiom in this case, for we cannot forget that Dr. Johnson, rightly or wrongly, defined patriotism to be 'the last refuge of a scoundrel,' and that is not at all what we want to express.

But, name or no name, we know what we mean, which is the great point. Nor can we believe that there is anyone in the School but will agree with us, that now is the time when all should put a friendly shoulder to the wheel in the common cause. That wheel has stuck already too long in the rut of apathy, the Slough of Despond. Within the last few months the tide has been turning in our favour, and we are not going to sleep any more. It is now 'at the flood'—that propitious moment, in fact, when, if we would fain be led on to fortune we are recommended to 'take' it. Let us take it. Let us gather our goals while we may, and as we easily may, if this appeal be accepted in the spirit in which it is made. So shall there be endless goals for all time, and slackness shall be rooted out of the land. It was an old saying, *Verbum sat sapienti*, or something to that effect. Here, then, is the word, and in English, which most of us can understand:—
BUCK UP!

WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

NO. 47.—SIR JOHN STUART, K.B., K.C., COUNT OF MAIDA.

FEW, we should imagine, of the many inhabitants of the metropolitan districts designated as Maida Vale and Maida Hill have ever felt more than a passing curiosity to know how their neighbourhood originally

came by those appellations, and some of more inquiring turns of mind have been satisfied by the solution offered by an ingenious and well-informed child, 'They were named after a big dog of Sir Walter Scott's.' But the 'Hero of Maida,' to whose memory is dedicated an unpretentious hostelry near that spot which Ruskin is said to have described as a 'sunless London Venice,' was once a real personage and, what is more to our present purpose, an Old Westminster: and the victory he won, though over a small force and with no practical results, had an effect on the *morale* of the British nation which it would be difficult to exaggerate.

Yet over the career of this distinguished soldier there hangs a veil of mystery, or rather of oblivion, which it is not easy to penetrate—even the date of his birth is unknown, although his arms are now, I believe, to be seen on the panelling Up-School—but the official historian of the 20th Regiment and the School admission book alike content themselves with the remark that he was born in 1759, and leave the month and day unfix'd.

We are on firmer ground when we assert that he was entered at the School in 1770 and left in 1778, but as to the date of his first commission there is again some doubt—the official army list giving one date and the School register another. It is difficult to discover what authority the editors of the latter relied upon, but their reputation for accuracy stands so deservedly high, that we may safely conclude that they went on some evidence not accessible to us. At any rate early in 1779 Stuart was an ensign in the 3rd Guards (now the Scots Fusilier Guards), and served with his regiment in North America under Cornwallis.

It was an enterprise in which the soldiers' courage and determination met with but scanty reward, although they were never more signally displayed. But the English public were a little ashamed of the American war, and especially of its result; so, as with the Transvaal war of 1881, they determined to put it out of sight—and they have done so. Nor has posterity been more just, for by an uncalled for extension of the Monroe doctrine the history of the American war has been left for the Americans to write, and we can hardly expect them to do very full justice to the services of Tarleton, Rowdon, and Cornwallis. But even the charge of the 58th at Laing's Nek, and the stubborn resistance of the 60th at Ingogo, splendid as were those feats of war, can scarcely rank with such victories as Guilford, Court House, and Entaw Springs. The former especially was one of the most glorious fields in the annals of war; the British were outnumbered 5 to 1; their enemies fought with resolution and on ground of their own choice, but Cornwallis, after losing nearly half his force, remained in possession of the field. In this action Ensign Stuart was dangerously wounded, but recovered sufficiently to take his share of the subsequent fighting up to the disastrous but far from disgraceful conclusion of Cornwallis's operations at York-town.

In the course of the peace which followed the conclusion of the American war and the hostilities arising out of it, Stuart rose by slow degrees to the rank of captain, which rank he held when he was ordered with his regiment to Holland in 1793. The campaign of the Duke of York in those parts was neither profitable nor glorious; the soldiers indeed fought well, but they were almost always taken at a disadvantage—indeed, a military writer has compared the movements of the allied forces at that period to the 'manœuvres of flocks of geese on a common,' and when we read that on one occasion the whole staff were unable to produce a map of the region in which they were fighting, we can understand that 'our troops were rather fortunate in escaping with their lives and liberty than blameworthy because they gained neither laurels nor experience.'

From the Guards, Stuart was transferred to the command of the 97th Regiment, a body of foreign auxiliaries who were raised in 1796 and disbanded in 1818; with this body his first service was at the reduction of Minorca, which his namesake General the Honourable Charles Stuart and Commodore Duckworth forced to capitulate without the loss of a single man on our side. As between three and four thousand Spaniards became prisoners of war, this success reflects the greatest credit on all the officers concerned. General Stuart in his despatches made special mention of the services of his namesake, who acted as brigadier of a brigade of foreign troops, but what those services were it is now impossible to discover from the scanty records of those operations.

The next active service on which the 97th Regiment were sent was Sir Ralph Abercrombie's expedition to Egypt, where the French under Menou were maintaining a precarious footing since the victory of Aboukir Bay had practically cut them off from all communication with France. In that 'battle of small armies and great results,' which first taught the English people that their soldiers were, man for man, a match for the veterans who had carried the tricolour in triumph from the dykes of Holland to the shores of the Red Sea, we find no mention of Stuart's regiment except that it gallantly supported the reserve; but, considering that the 97th lost more men than any corps engaged—thirteen officers and over two hundred men being killed and wounded in its ranks according to the official despatch—it certainly appears as if they had been singularly unfortunate in not attracting more attention from the dispensers of honour, the military historians of the period. The fall of Abercrombie in the hour of victory did not prevent his successor in the command from following up the victory of Alexandria with such energy that within three months the French army of Egypt, to the number of 13,000, capitulated at Cairo. It may be noted as a curious coincidence that Stuart, who had capitulated to a French general on the banks of the James twenty years before, should have held a high position in the army which first avenged that disaster, and that both campaigns should have taken place outside the

continent of Europe. We may also remark on the fact that Regnier, who was Menou's second in command, was destined to meet Stuart again, and with a very similar result.

From this point Stuart's movements are rather difficult to trace. He appears to have been employed on a political mission to Constantinople; but he cannot have stayed there long, as within a year he was back in England, holding the command of a brigade under Sir John Moore for the defence of the south coast. England has forgotten that dread period when 'no man within the four seas ever went to bed without the fear of being awakened by the roll of the French drums'; but it may be doubted whether the military chiefs of the nation have ever passed through such a terrible period of suspense as the two years preceding Trafalgar, and it speaks much for the strength of Stuart's mind that after such an ordeal he still had the audacity to seize the great opportunity which presented itself to him.

For a great opportunity came to him on the plain of Maida, and had he been a more timorous or less skilful leader it is not too much to say that the history of the world might have been changed. It was the prestige of Maida which emboldened the English Government to intervene in the Peninsula, and readers of *The Elizabethan* need not be insulted by being reminded what England's intervention in the Peninsula meant for herself and the world.

Napoleon, by a haughty proclamation from the wilds of Moravia, had announced the deposition of the royal family of Naples, and his brother Joseph, backed by an irresistible force under the veteran Masséna, overran the continental possessions of the Neapolitan Bourbons. That family, no strangers to adversity, retired to the island of Sicily, and were upheld in power at Palermo by a small English force, in command of which Sir James Craig was soon succeeded by Sir John Stuart. An insurrectionary movement in Calabria, and the obstinate defence of Gaeta by the Prince of Hesse-Philipsthal, held out some hopes of the recovery of Naples, and on July 1 Stuart landed with a force of rather less than five thousand English troops in the Gulf of St. Eufemia. The royalist sympathies of the peasantry proved less strong than they had been painted, and Stuart was on the point of re-embarking his troops when he learnt that Regnier, with a French force only slightly superior to his own, was within ten miles of him. He desisted at once his opportunity to strike a blow which might alarm Masséna into raising the siege of Gaeta. He ordered an advance, and on the 5th of July, 1806, the two armies halted with their outposts in full view of each other.

(To be continued.)

THE WESTMINSTER LEAGUE.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
A		L 0-2		D 1-1	L 2-3			
B	W 2-0				D 2-2	D 1-1	D 2-2	L 0-6
C				L 0-5	L 0-10	L 0-8	L 1-6	L 0-8
D	D 1-1		W 5-0				L 0-3	W 2-1
E	W 3-2	D 2-2	W 10-0				D 1-1	
F		D 1-1	W 8-0				D 0-0	L 0-3
G		D 2-2	W 6-1	W 3-0	D 1-1	D 0-0		L 2-6
H		W 6-0	W 8-0	L 1-2		W 3-0	W 6-2	

	Played	Won	Lost	Drawn	Goals		Points
					For	Against	
1 H	5	4	1	0	24	4	8
2 G	6	2	1	3	14	10	7
3 E	4	2	0	2	16	5	6
4 { B	5	1	1	3	7	11	5
{ D	4	2	1	1	8	5	5
5 F	4	1	1	2	9	4	4
7 A	3	0	2	1	3	6	1
8 C	5	0	5	0	1	37	0

GAMES COMMITTEE
ACCOUNTS, 1895.

RECEIPTS.		EXPENDITURE.	
	£ s. d.		£ s. d.
Balance from 1894 . . .	33 10 0½	Harris & Elson	86 7 6
Levies:		Phillips, Westaway, &	
T.B.B. and Q.S.S.	274 3 8	Boy	33 15 0
Rigaud's	69 5 0	Professionals	57 0 10
Grant's	43 15 0	Extra Help	0 17 6
<i>Elizabethan</i>	5 0 0	Football	21 8 1
Masters' Book Fund	20 0 0	Cricket and Luncheons	98 9 5
Sports Entrances	12 10 0	Sports and Swimming	54 10 10
<i>Elizabethan</i> Club	15 0 0	Pavilion	34 5 0
Book Fines	0 3 10	Horse and Ground	35 18 5
Shop Profits	12 18 4½	Tools and Sundries	7 4 9
		Balance	56 8 7
	<u>£486 5 11</u>		<u>£486 5 11</u>

(Signed) J. E. MICHELL, Treasurer.

Audited and found correct,

R. TANNER, President.

R. K. GAYE (Capt. of the School), Secretary.

School Notes.

THERE will be no exeat this term.

Orations were held Up School on the afternoon of Friday, February 7; the piece set for recitation was Shelley's 'Ode to the West Wind.' R. H. Phillimore, H. R. Lonsdale, and H. G. Quin were the only competitors, but all said the piece well. Quin, who was easily first, was really brilliant, and the amount of passion he infused into the piece was wonderful; in fact his recitation was the best that has been heard Up School for a long time.

The following is the football card, filled in up to date:—

1895.

Sat.	Oct. 5	.	Old Westminsters.	Won (3-2).
Wed.	" 9	.	Crusaders.	Drawn (2-2).
Sat.	" 12	.	Old Rossallians.	Won (5-1).
"	" 12	.	2nd XI. v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI.	Drawn (0-0).
"	" 19	.	Old Reptonians.	Drawn (1-1).
"	" 26	.	Trinity College, Oxford.	Won (4-0).
Wed.	Nov. 6	.	Selwyn College, Cambridge.	Drawn (0-0).
Sat.	" 9	.	Old Foresters.	Lost (1-2).
"	" 16	.	Christ Church, Oxford.	Drawn (0-0).
Mon.	" 18	.	Cambridge O.W.W.	Won (6-0).
(Commemoration.)				
Sat.	Nov. 23	.	Old Carthusians.	Drawn (1-1).
"	Dec. 7	.	Casuals.	Drawn (3-3).
Total (Play Term):—Played 11; won 4; lost 1; drawn 6; goals for, 26; against, 12.				

1896.

Sat.	Jan. 25	.	Clapham Rovers.	Won (5-0).
"	Feb. 1	.	Casuals.	Won (5-4).
"	" 8	.	Old Wykehamists.	Won (4-1).
"	" 8	.	2nd XI. v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI.	Won (2-0).
"	" 15	.	Casuals.	Drawn (2-2).
Wed.	" 19	.	Old Etonians.	Lost (0-2).
Sat.	" 22	.	Old Westminsters.	Won (3-0).
Wed.	" 26	.	Keble College, Oxford.	Won (3-1).
Sat.	" 29	.	Old Brightonians.	
"	Mar. 7	.	R. T. Squire's XI.	
"	" 14	.	Charterhouse.	
Wed.	" 18	.	Q.SS. v. T.BB.	
Sat.	" 21	.	Old Harrovians.	

Our present record is: (1st XI.), matches played, 18; won, 9; lost, 2; drawn, 7; goals for, 48; against, 22. (2nd XI.), matches played, 2; won, 1; lost, 0; drawn, 1; goals for, 2; against, 0.

Old Westminsters have now qualified for the semi-final of the London Cup; after drawing with Ealing (1-1) they succeeded in beating them by 3-1, and will now have to meet Old Carthusians in the semi-final.

S. C. Probyn (O.W.) played half-back for Casuals against Old Foresters on February 13, F. B. Sherring (O.W.) half-back for Cambridge University against

N. L. Jackson's XI. on February 10, and R. R. Campbell (O.W.) forward for N. L. Jackson's XI. against Oxford University on February 18, all giving a good account of themselves.

The League is already proving a great success, and several promising players have come into notice. H, captained by Beveridge, is first, having so far won four matches and lost one, and scored 24 goals to 4, while C, captained by Mayne, has lost every match, scoring 1 goal against 37.

On Friday, January 31, at 5.15, Mr. Hall gave a lecture on Ancient Rome in the Science Lecture Room. The lecture was accompanied by some excellent lantern slides and was very interesting.

In the first round of the House Matches, Grant's beat Ashburnham House by 5-2, and Rigaud's inflicted a crushing defeat on Home Boarders by 15-0, Beasley scoring no fewer than nine of the goals. We hope to publish accounts in our next number.

DEBATING SOCIETY.

AN extraordinary meeting was held on Thursday, January 16, when the offices of Treasurer and Secretary were filled up as follows: *Treasurer*, W. C. MAYNE; *Secretary*, F. WATERFIELD.

The first ordinary meeting was held on Thursday, January 23. The House proceeded to discuss the following motion: 'That Dr. Jameson's action in the Transvaal was justifiable.' *Proposer*, C. E. L. JOHNSTON; *Seconder*, F. WATERFIELD; *Opposer*, R. AIRY.

The motion was also continued on January 30. Speeches were made:

	For the Motion.	Against.
C. E. L. JOHNSTON		R. AIRY (opposer) (2).
(proposer) (2).		H. MCKENNA,
F. WATERFIELD		A. H. HOGARTH, and
(seconder).		R. E. MORE.

The debate was too one-sided to be at all interesting.

The PROPOSER started on a wrong tack and kept it throughout. What arguments there were, were early refuted, and the discussion wandered away from the point. All interest was gone at the end of the first night, and the motion was lost early in the second night's debate. The Proposer seemed to mistake the meaning of his own motion. He practically admitted Dr. Jameson's action to be unjustifiable, and went on to give a sketch of his life, and to show his character to be irreproachable. Parts of his speech were very rambling and confused.

The OPPOSER had an easy task with the House in his favour. He had no difficulty in refuting the Proposer's arguments. He kept fairly close to the point, except when he brought in the action of the

Irish members, rather unnecessarily. On the whole his speech was clear and good. He pointed out that Dr. Jameson's action must by the Proposer's confession be either a breach of International Law or a rebellion against the Queen.

The SECONDER, who, like the Proposer, was very confused, tried to show that Jameson's action was prompted solely by humanity, but did not do much to convince the House.

After a few more remarks the House adjourned.

On the second night of the debate, A. McKenna was elected a member of the Society.

A. H. HOGARTH made a short speech, which, however, contained several good arguments against the motion.

C. E. L. JOHNSTON'S speech was uninteresting, owing to his defending points which had occurred in the previous night's debate, and which the House had mainly forgotten.

R. AIRY wound up the debate by saying that the question had been thrashed out, and it was quite time to divide. He hoped the House would recognise that Jameson was a rebel and worthy of a rebel's fate.

The House then divided as follows:—Ayes, 3; Noes, 11. The motion was therefore lost.

The following motion was then proposed: 'That in the opinion of this House, Junior House matches should be abolished.'

This motion was only proposed to fill up time, and in consequence the debate was not very high-class, and did not last longer than a quarter of an hour.

A. H. HOGARTH proposed and W. A. E. STAMP seconded; R. E. MORE opposed.

The result was as follows:—Ayes, 3; Noes, 12. The motion was therefore lost.

The House then adjourned.

The third meeting of the term was held on Thursday, February 6.

The following motion was brought forward: 'That in the opinion of this House Plural Voting should be abolished.'

Proposer, H. MCKENNA; *Secunder*, R. AIRY; *Opposer*, W. C. MAYNE.

The debate on this question was short but interesting. It was remarkable for two things—firstly, a fine speech made by the Opposer, and secondly, the way in which the Secunder drew on himself the wrath of the House by some incautious remarks at the end of his speech. Though this certainly enlivened a motion that naturally tended to be somewhat dull, it was not calculated to dispose of arguments so excellent and so forcibly brought out as the Opposer's.

The PROPOSER alarmed the House by saying there were twenty or twenty-five reasons in favour of the motion. However, he only gave a selection. He dealt with the subject from a democratic point of view.

The OPPOSER'S speech was a good one. He kept the thread of his argument unusually well, and was very convincing. He kept to the principle of 'let well alone,' and vindicated the character of the landlords against the men.

The SECONDER spoilt his speech by assuming too much the language of an agitator. After attempting to answer some of the arguments against him, he proceeded to call the House bigoted Tories, and to hope that for once they would consider the motion reasonably.

In answer to this he was attacked by R. E. MORE, O. J. R. HOWARTH, and A. H. HOGARTH, in succession, who all complained of the way in which the Secunder had treated the rest of the House.

After a few more remarks the House divided as follows:—Ayes, 4; Noes, 12. Majority against the motion, 8.

The House then adjourned.

The House met again on Thursday, February 13.

The following motion was proposed for discussion: 'That this House would welcome an Anglo-French alliance.'

Proposer, F. WATERFIELD; *Secunder*, O. J. R. HOWARTH; *Opposer*, B. S. BOULTER.

The debate on this motion was of fair merit, more especially towards the end. The Proposer's speech was somewhat short, but showed that he had taken some pains in getting up the subject. Both the Proposer and Opposer were very hard to hear, and in some places quite inaudible, though this was perhaps hardly their fault. The Opposer's arguments were distinctly good. The result was a surprise, as the debate seemed to be going rather in the favour of the motion than against it.

The PROPOSER seemed to make out that no course was possible to England but that of alliance with France. Alliance with France meant alliance with Russia, and thus we should be relieved of the fear of Russia in India and in the Bosphorus.

The OPPOSER'S chief point of defence was that as England was an island, if we kept up a strong fleet, we need fear no one, and need make no alliance. If we had a very strong enemy against us we might join the Triple Alliance.

The SECONDER'S speech was a long one. He expanded to greater length some points already mentioned, but did not bring forward many new ones. Like the Proposer, he put more importance on friendship with Russia than with France.

R. E. MORE made some remarks as to the effect that the alliance would have upon agriculture.

R. AIRY appeared to oppose the motion to start with, as he said that England and Russia ought never to be allied. However, he went on to say that England and France need not be allied with Russia, and under these terms he would support the motion. Germany would soon be so strong that an alliance against her would be absolutely necessary. Egypt

must be given up. We had not much right to Egypt, and we could never be allied with France so long as we kept it.

After F. YOUNG had made a few remarks, the House divided as follows : Ayes, 6 ; Noes, 9.

The motion was therefore lost.

The House then adjourned.

O.W.W.F.C.

THE following is the O.W.W. Football Card filled in up to date :—

			1895.	
Sat.	Sept. 28	.	Marlow, at Marlow.	Drawn (2-2).
"	Oct. 5	.	Westminster School, at Vincent Square.	Lost (2-3).
"	" 12	.	Old Harrovians, at Wembley Park.	Won (4-1).
"	" 26	.	Cambridge University, at Cambridge.	Drawn (1-1).
"	Nov. 2	.	R.M.C., at Sandhurst.	Won (4-2).
"	" 9	.	Tottenham Hotspur (London Charity Cup); at Leyton.	Lost (1-2).
Thurs.	" 21	.	Royal Engineers, at Chatham.	Lost (4-2).
Sat.	" 23	.	Crusaders (Amateur Cup), at Leyton.	Won (7-1).
"	" 30	.	Cambridge University, at Wembley Park.	Lost (4-1).
"	Dec. 7	.	Oxford University, at Oxford.	Won (4-3).
"	" 14	.	Casuals (Amateur Cup), at Leyton.	Lost (1-2).
Tues.	" 17	.	Old Wykehamists, at Wembley Park.	Won (2-1).
Sat.	" 28	.	Old Foresters, at Wembley Park.	Lost (1-2).

			1895.	
Sat.	Jan. 11	.	Crouch End, at Wembley Park.	Won (3-0).
"	" 18	.	Old Foresters (London Charity Cup), at Leyton.	Won (3-0).
"	" 25	.	Clapton (London Cup), at Upton.	Won (1-0).
"	Feb. 1	.	Ilford (London Charity Cup), at Ilford.	Lost (2-3).
"	" 8	.	Ealing (London Cup), at Richmond.	Drawn (1-1).
"	" 15	.	Ealing (London Cup, replayed), at Acton.	Won (3-1).
"	" 22	.	Westminster School, at Vincent Square.	Lost (0-3).
"	" 29	.	Old Carthusians (London Cup, semi-final), at Leyton.	
"	Mar. 7	.	Old Brightonians, at Wembley Park.	
"	" 14	.	Open.	
"	" 21	.	Open.	
"	" 28	.	Marlow, at Marlow.	

The following accounts are taken from the *Sportsman* of February 10 and 17 :—

LONDON SENIOR CUP (Second Round).

OLD WESTMINSTERS v. EALING.

THERE was a large attendance at the Richmond Cricket Club ground on Saturday, February 8, to witness this match. The Old Westminsters were well represented, but the team seemed to lack energy, and although at

times, more especially in the second half, they appeared to have the game in their own hands, they failed to take advantage of the opportunities open to them. They started against the wind, and the game had not long been in progress before Green-Price beat Moon and scored for Ealing. The Old Westminsters went away after the restart, and Sandilands centred beautifully. A goal seemed imminent, but Malden interposed, and at half-time Ealing led by a goal to love. Early in the second stage the Old Westminsters began to press, and after a fine bit of play Barwell equalised. Frye and Chater were soon afterwards prominent, but they could not get past the Old Westminsters' defence. Probyn was playing well, but the wind upset most of his shots. Chater once sent clean into Moon's hands, but that player promptly cleared, and Sandilands taking the ball down sent in a hot shot, which, however, missed the net. Towards the close of the game the Old Westminsters attacked heavily, but they could not add to their score, and the game ultimately ended in a draw of one goal each. Sides :

OLD WESTMINSTERS.

W. R. Moon (goal), L. A. M. Fevez and E. G. Moon (backs), S. C. Probyn, W. N. Winckworth, and H. Wetton (half-backs), R. R. Campbell and W. T. Barwell (right wing), R. R. Sandilands (centre), F. Street and S. H. Gregory (left-wing) (forwards).

EALING.

A. J. P. Wills (goal), L. Draper and A. W. Malden (backs), H. G. Ellery, W. W. Hall, and J. W. Frye (half-backs), C. C. Frye and N. Roche (right wing), C. W. Chater (centre), H. A. Gardiner and R. Green-Price (left wing) (forwards).

Referee : Lieut. Chase.

LONDON SENIOR CUP (Second Round), Replayed Tie.

OLD WESTMINSTERS v. EALING.

THIS replayed tie attracted about 2,000 people to the ground of the Ealing Club at Acton on Saturday, February 15. On the previous Saturday the clubs had met at Richmond, when the game ended in a draw of one goal each. At one period Ealing seemed likely to play another close match but fell off towards the end of the first half. Probyn shot in, and 'Hemmerde' rushing up kicked the ball into the net. Ealing at length equalised, Roche taking a fine centre from Gardiner. Malden sent in a long shot, which was cleverly headed away by Fevez, and then Wetton kicked on to Hemmerde, who notched a second goal for the Old Westminsters. The opening of the second half was somewhat sensational, Hemmerde scoring in the first minute, after both backs had apparently cleared. Ealing now tried hard, but they could not reduce the lead of their opponents, whose defensive play was of a high-class character, and were therefore beaten by three goals to one. The teams were :—

OLD WESTMINSTERS.

W. R. Moon (goal), E. G. Moon and L. A. M. Fevez (backs), H. Wetton, W. N. Winckworth, and S. C. Probyn (half-backs), R. R. Campbell and W. T. Barwell (right wing), 'A. J. Hemmerde' (centre), and F. Street and S. H. Gregory (left wing) (forwards).

EALING.

A. J. P. Wills (goal), L. Draper and A. W. Malden (backs), H. G. Ellery, W. W. Hall, and F. W. Frye (half-backs), C. C. Frye and N. Roche (right wing), C. W. Chater (centre), and H. A. Gardiner and R. Green-Price (left wing) (forwards).

Referee : Lieut. Chase.

 THE PANCAKE GREEZE.

THE Pancake Greeze, according to the time-honoured custom, took place 'Up School' at a quarter to one on Shrove Tuesday, February 18. Punctual to time the Abbey verger, carrying the mace, made his appearance, followed by Under Election John in cook's costume. At the first attempt John failed to throw the pancake over the bar, and the greeze was stopped in consequence. A new pancake was procured, and this time was sent over the bar and immediately rushed for. The greeze lasted longer than usual, and the pancake was eventually secured entire by Redman, who held it in the corner of 'Up School,' where he could not be dispossessed of his trophy. He then followed the verger to the Deanery to receive the customary guinea.

 THE MISSION.

THE annual distribution of prizes will take place in the Horseferry Road School Room on Wednesday, March 25, at 8.30 P.M. There will be a short entertainment by members of the Mission. It is hoped that many O.W.W. will be present.

 THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER *v.* CLAPHAM ROVERS.

THIS match was decided in very bad weather on Saturday, January 25. Johnston took the place of Beasley, who was playing for Old Westminster's against Clapton in the first round of the London Cup; and Anderson played centre-forward in place of Fisher, who was hurt. Westminster won the toss and soon began to press, Blaker and Moon both coming near scoring, and Langton conceded a corner in saving a shot from Hogarth. Play ruled even for a time, but Van der Gucht eventually got away, and after a fruitless corner to the School Blaker opened the account with a fast shot. Restarting, Clapham Rovers put in some pressure, Bristowe and Newbronner being conspicuous, but with Whittow and More defending well, the ball was returned to mid-field. Despite the slippery surface some good combination was shown by the School forwards, and before half time Blaker, with a good shot, credited his side with a second point. Immediately after half time, Moon

scored with a splendid low shot, which Langton was unable to reach. Westminster now had nearly all the play, the visitors never looking really dangerous, and Blaker once more put the ball past Langton. All the forwards were conspicuous for smart pieces of play, and Moon after a dribble, in which he fell down and got up again retaining the ball, scored a good goal. Langton effected some marvellous saves, and without him the score would have been considerably larger. After a succession of corners, Hogarth sent in a warm shot, but the Clapham Rovers' backs cleared their lines for a time. Moon had the goal to himself soon after but kicked behind, and with nothing further resulting Westminster won by five goals to nil.

Teams:—

WESTMINSTER.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), C. E. L. Johnson, R. O. Barnett and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and L. J. Moon (right wing), S. M. Anderson (centre), R. N. R. Blaker and C. Van der Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

CLAPHAM ROVERS.

J. M. Langton (goal), C. H. Aylen and C. H. Aste (backs), H. McKenna (sub.), J. C. Hollocombe, and G. F. Dixon (half-backs), H. Newbronner and G. Perry (right wing), E. L. Bristowe (centre), E. H. Winslow (capt.) and F. Lockett (left wing) (forwards).

 WESTMINSTER *v.* CASUALS.

THIS fixture, which was originally with F. Bickley's XI., was brought off on Saturday, February 1. Casuals started the game soon after 3 o'clock, defending the Church end, and almost immediately Manly scored, the ball just going over the line. This early reverse served to make Westminster 'wire up,' Beasley putting in some good work, and gradually working the ball up the field, the School forced a corner, which was followed by a shot from Moon, being partially saved by Godwin and Blaker sending the ball into the net. (1-1.) Give and take play ensued, the School combining well; and after pressing hotly for some minutes Blaker sent behind. The brothers Bryant showed up well for the visitors, some judicious passes out to Manly affording More some anxiety, but the ball was eventually sent up field again, and after a combined rush Anderson beat Godwin. (2-1.) The game continued to rule fast and exciting: Fox tried the goalkeeper with a long shot, and the ball was sent over to Griffiths, who was promptly pulled up by Beasley, the latter sending on to Hogarth, but 'hands' to Casuals brought relief, and the ball remained in mid-field for a period. The next item was a long shot from More, but the ball went behind. The Casuals' forwards then came down with a good combined effort, Toone equalising with a good shot. From the restart Hogarth got possession, but failed with his shot, and soon after from a grand centre by Van der Gucht, the ball went behind. 'Hands' to Westminster was followed by a corner, which proved fruitless, and Manly raising the siege ended up, after some combination among the forwards, by putting his side ahead, half time arriving with the score Casuals 3,

Westminster 2. After the interval, Barnett stopped an ugly rush, and passed to Moon, who sprinted off from the half-way line, and ended up by placing the ball in the net. (3-3.) The excitement now became intense and play ruled very fast indeed: Fox and Anderson took shots, but unsuccessfully, and from a corner the former sent behind. Manly ran right down the field, and Whittow in saving conceded a corner, which, however, yielded no addition to the score. The ball soon returned to the other end, where Van der Gucht centred well, and Moon had no difficulty in scoring (4-3), immediately after repeating the performance (5-3) Whittow stopped Toone in good style and Sewell shot over the bar. Play was transferred from end to end with great rapidity, Hogarth and Toone both having shots, the latter being soon after ruled off-side. The free-kick brought some relief, but Casuals swarmed back, and Whittow cleared well. Only a short time remained for play, and Westminster put in some hot pressure, but the visitors scored once more, though perhaps Stenning might have saved, and a very fast and pleasant game thus ended in a win for Westminster by 5 to 4. Fisher was disabled and Anderson took his place. The teams were:—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and L. J. Moon (right wing), S. M. Anderson (centre), R. N. R. Blaker and C. Vander Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

CASUALS.

W. P. Godwin (goal), A. G. Whitting (capt.) and A. Casual (backs), M. G. Rebow, C. P. Wilson, and C. O. H. Sewell (half-backs), J. H. Manly and F. H. Bryant (right wing), W. P. Toone (centre), H. J. Bryant and A. C. Griffiths (left wing) (forwards).

WESTMINSTER 2ND XI. *v.* CLAPHAM ROVERS, "A" TEAM.

Played Up Fields in fine weather on February 8. Westminster were without Langston, but after a hard game won by 2-0. Neither side was in great form in front of goal, but in this respect Westminster were better than their opponents, who found great difficulty in getting past Berens, Cooper, and Taswell. At half time the score was 1-0, the goal having been got by McKenna; in the second half Phillimore scored with a very good shot about 10 minutes from the finish, the game thus ending as above stated. For Westminster the backs, as mentioned, played a strong defensive game, while the halves also played a good game; the forwards, except perhaps Templer, were rather wanting in dash, though they repeatedly did good things. The second eleven in both matches this season has played a strong game, and is quite the best side that has represented the second eleven since it has played matches.

The School team was:—

WESTMINSTER 2ND XI.

S. L. Taylor-Taswell (goal), A. Berens (capt.) and E. H. M. Cooper (backs), C. E. L. Johnston, H. McKenna,

and F. Young (half-backs), H. B. Templer and R. H. Phillimore (left wing), A. R. Brailey (centre), W. F. Corfield and C. G. J. Holiday (right wing) (forwards).

The visitors' team is not to hand.

WESTMINSTER *v.* OLD WYKEHAMISTS.

These teams met on Saturday, February 8, Westminster being without Moon. The ground was in good condition and afforded a capital game, Westminster eventually claiming the victory by 4 goals to 1. The School led off well, and after some pretty combination Blaker sent the ball behind. Old Wykehamists, however, were the first to score, through Canning, but Stenning should undoubtedly have saved. Westminster showed good form in mid-field but were lamentably weak in front of goal, this being partially accounted for by a very hard ground and a light ball. It was not until about twenty minutes from the start that Beasley with a grand long shot equalised. Hogarth soon after added another with a good shot, and at half time the score stood at 2-1 in our favour. On crossing over Westminster had some advantage from the wind and Fisher scored twice. The visitors did not seem in very good condition, and only thirty-seven minutes was played each way as they had to catch a train.

Teams:—

WESTMINSTER.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whittow and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and S. M. Anderson (right wing), C. D. Fisher (centre), R. N. R. Blaker and C. Vander Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

OLD WYKEHAMISTS.

W. P. Richardson (goal), R. Bonham-Carter and E. D. Sedgwick (backs), H. Symes-Thompson, W. H. Kaye, and P. T. Jones (sub.) (half-backs), E. Emerson and Lord Encombe (right wing), C. V. Clarke (centre), R. B. Fox and P. L. Canning (left wing) (forwards).

WESTMINSTER *v.* CASUALS.

This game took place on Saturday, February 15. The ground was in excellent condition, and a fast and even contest ended in a draw of two goals each. Casuals won the toss, and Anderson, who was playing instead of Fisher, who had injured his arm on the previous Saturday, kicked off at ten minutes to three from the Church end. 'Hands' against Westminster put them on the defensive, but Whittow cleared, and after some pressure Fox shot behind. A long kick off by Harrison brought Casuals in close proximity to the home goal, but a bad shot by Macdonald was easily cleared and even play ensued. Van der Gucht soon after tried Harrison with a long shot from the wing, but the latter saved cleverly; Barnett returned the ball, and a corner to Westminster came to nothing. 'Hands' against Anderson took the ball into the middle of the ground, where it remained some time, till Moon shot behind. This was followed by a smart save by Stenning, but before long Laidman notched the first point for Casuals, and before half-time was instru-

mental in putting his side still further ahead. In the second stage Westminster early assumed the offensive, and regular bombardment of the Casuals' goal ensued, all the forwards having shots in turn, which, however, went behind. 'Hands' about the half-way line to Westminster was followed by 'hands' against Barnett, but gradually the ball was worked down, and after 'hands' had twice been given, Barnett turned the ball over to Hogarth, who scored (1-2). Hogarth was soon after tackled just before his shot, and Harrison repeatedly saved in brilliant style, but eventually Moon scored from a pass by Van der Gucht (2-2). Soon after, from a fine corner by Beasley, Moon headed into goal, but the ball was pounced on by Harrison, who effected a marvellous save, and after 'hands' had been given to Westminster, Blaker shot behind. Beasley put in a fine shot which was saved, and Anderson shot over the bar, and the end arrived with Westminster pressing hard. The School team were off-colour, and ought decidedly to have won.

The teams were :—

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL.

K. H. Stenning (goal), A. Whitton and R. E. More (backs), H. O. C. Beasley, R. O. Barnett, and W. F. Fox (capt.) (half-backs), A. H. Hogarth and L. J. Moon (right wing), S. M. Anderson (centre), R. N. R. Blaker and C. Van der Gucht (left wing) (forwards).

CASUALS.

A. E. Harrison (goal), H. R. Blaker and H. W. Gates (backs), R. M. Montgomery, R. R. Barker, and A. E. Y. Trestrail (half-backs), G. Henderson and W. M. Laidman (right wing), J. F. Fernie (centre), T. M. Macdonald and R. M. Hilleary (left wing) (forwards).

NOTES AND QUERIES.

Orieleensis may find the materials for answering his first query in the Alumni. I note the following instances :—

WILLIAM BLOUNT, admitted K.S. 1667, died 1670, buried in the Cloisters.

TEWKENOR HALSEY, admitted K.S. 1672, died 1676, buried in the Cloisters.

CLEMENT COKE, admitted K.S. 1673, died 1678, buried in the Cloisters. An inscription on his gravestone.

PHILIP CARTERET, admitted Q.S. 1707, died 1710. His monument is in the North Aisle.

THOMAS MASTER, admitted K.S. 1739, died 1742. His monument is in the South Cloister.

I. S.

"An Old Westminster" writes to *The Church Review* as follows :—"In your biographical notice of the late Rector of St. Botolph's, mention is made of his rowing at Eton, and whilst at Balliol College against Cambridge. It occurs to me that some account of a remarkable race, in which he rowed No. 5 in the Eton boat, may not displease your readers, the majority of whom probably have small acquaintance with

aquatic chronicles well-nigh sixty years old. The race was rowed in the presence of King William IV. between the first eights of Westminster School and Eton. The Westminsters came down to row the Etonians on their own water, and the course was from Datchet Bridge to a mile and a quarter down the stream, and back through the bridge. The King, when he heard of the arrival of the Westminsters at Windsor, sent down for the names of the crew, and desired that they would come up to the Castle, where they should be shown over the apartments, at the same time intimating his intention to be present at the race. The Etonians won the toss for station, and went on the Bucks side. The Westminsters dashed off with the start, and in passing His Majesty's carriage, which was stationed about one hundred and fifty yards from the bridge, were about half a boat's length ahead. In turning at Newlock the Etonians doubled with more dexterity than their antagonists, and brought the nose of their boat full on to the sixth oar of the Westminsters, who, but for the presence of mind and skill of young Lord Somerton, their coxswain, would at that moment have experienced something like the fate of Sergestus and his crew, as fabled by Virgil.

"When the boats got straight, a desperate race ensued, which was ultimately won by the Westminsters, amid deafening cheers, by more than three boats' lengths. One may well suppose that in the midst of the varied and busy scenes in which Mr. Rogers passed his life, and of the many satisfactions he must have enjoyed from successful and well-reckoned work, as well as from private pursuits and friendships, the wound to youthful pride inflicted on May 4, 1837, by the defeat of his crew on their own water, in the presence of their sovereign and patron, must have bled afresh as each anniversary of the day came round, until earthly triumphs and earthly disappointments, whether of youth or age, found their common termination in 'the rest that remaineth for the people of God.'"

Correspondence.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—Seeing in the last number of *The Elizabethan* a list of O.W.W. Blues, I thought that the following list of O.W.W. Internationals might be of interest.

Name	v. Scotland	v. Wales	v. Ireland
Vidal, R. W. S. .	1873	—	—
Rawson, H. C. .	1875	—	—
Rawson, W. S. .	1875, 7	—	—
Bailey, N. C. .	'78, 9, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	'79, '82, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1884, 5
Moon, W. R. .	1888, 9, 90, 1	1888, 9, 90	—
Squire, R. T. .	1886	—	1886
Sandilands, R. R. .	—	1892, 4, 5	1893
Winckworth, W.N. .	—	1892	1893
Harrison, A. H. .	1893	—	1893
Veitch, J. G. .	—	1894	—

N. C. Bailey played in no less than nineteen international matches, a record which has never yet been beaten.

I am, Sir,

With the usual apologies,
H.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—An old grievance! Why cannot Westminster, like other Schools, have a professional time-keeper at the sports? The time-keeping last year was most unsatisfactory—in fact, in several cases disgraceful. Hoping this will be remedied,

Yours truly,

CHRONOS.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

2 Bessborough Gardens, Westminster, S.W.:

February 12, 1896.

SIR,—Two Old Westminsters, namely, Mr. L. James and Mr. Knapp, have requested me to send you from time to time a few items of news respecting the work of the Mission, and I send you attached below a couple of pars. respecting the Debating and Swimming Sections.

I shall be glad to hear from you whether similar pars. will be acceptable for *The Elizabethan*.

Yours faithfully,

CHAS. WHEELER.

WESTMINSTER SCHOOL MISSION.

The debating section of the above Mission held on Friday last their seventh bi-monthly debate of the season, Mr. G. Mumford presiding. The opener, Mr. Charles Wheeler, chose for his subject, 'Are "Penny Dreadfuls" morally injurious?' and was of opinion that whilst the reading of these books had an injurious effect upon the morals of boys, he did not think they were a direct incentive to crime. After dealing at length with the many specimens of 'Penny Awfuls' and various theories propounded by Mr. Stead and others, the opener thought that the solution of being able to counteract the effects of reading bad books, or the way to prevent boys from reading bad works, lay in the boys receiving more attention in their reading lessons at school; and he believed that were the reading books now used in schools of a more interesting nature, and the habit of reading good works cultivated, the desire for the 'Penny Dreadful' would never come into existence. Messrs. Jeuchner, White, and H. Hart having spoken, the Rev. George Lovell, in an able speech, strongly supported the opener, and agreed with him as to the solution of the question. Mr. A. Hart said that whilst he supported the opener in all that he had said, he was of opinion that many books looked upon as standard works and books in the public libraries were equally as bad as 'Penny Dreadfuls' for boys to read. A brief reply by the opener brought a very interesting debate to a close.

SWIMMING SECTION.

Some grand work was accomplished by the swimming section of the Mission last season. A class was started to learn the Life Saving Society's methods, by Mr. Charles Wheeler, who secured the services of an honorary qualified instructor. After ten members had been put through a course of instruction in the methods of rescue, release, and resuscitation, they were examined by appointed examiners of the Life Saving Society, with the highly satisfactory result that the whole ten members were each awarded the proficiency medallion of the Society.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—I think all will agree that the extremely modern appearance of the new Bursary presents a melancholy contrast to its older and more venerable surroundings. Would it not be possible to plant some ivy or other creeper to hide the obtrusive red brick? We are pleased to see that ivy has been planted in the near neighbourhood, and we wish it every success; but we venture to think that it would be doing a still greater service if it could be induced to put out of its misery the incongruous redness above referred to, and substitute some more congenial hue. Hoping that my suggestion will find favour,

I remain,

Yours truly,

Δυσέπας.

Obituary.

WE regret to announce the death of MONTAGU FRANCIS FINCH OSBORNE, who died in December. He was fourth son of Sir John Osborne, Bart. Born May 20, 1824. Admitted to the School, June 1838; Balliol College, Oxon., 1841. B.A., 1845; M.A., 1848; Fellow of Merton, 1847-52; ordained, 1850; Rector of Kibworth-Beauchamp, Leicester, 1851-84; Vicar of Embleton, Northumberland, since 1884. He married on June 5, 1861, Catherine, daughter of John Marriott, of Kibworth-Harcourt, Leicester.

Our Contemporaries.

We beg to acknowledge, with thanks, the receipt of the following contemporaries:—*Working Men's College Journal*, *State Correspondence*, *Our Boys' Magazine* (2), *Quernmorian*, *Clavinian*, *Geelong Grammar School Quarterly*, *Melburnian*, *Brighton College Magazine* (2), *Barrovian*, *Cholmeleian*, *Newtonian*, *Dovorian*, *Forest School Magazine*, *St. Peter's School Magazine*, *Edinburgh Academy Chronicle*, *Danchilian*, *Chips and Sparks*, and *Carthusian*.

NOTICES.

All contributions to the March number of *The Elizabethan* must be sent in to the Editor, St. Peter's College, Westminster, not later than March 13.

All other communications should be addressed to the Secretary of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster, and on no account to the Editor or Printers.

The yearly subscription to *The Elizabethan* is 4s. It is requested that all subscriptions now falling due, or not yet paid up, may be forwarded to W. C. Mayne, St. Peter's College, Westminster (not addressed to 'the Treasurer'). Post Office Orders to be made payable at the Broad Sanctuary Post Office, Westminster.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his correspondents or contributors.

Contributions can only be inserted if written on one side of the paper only.

Back numbers of *The Elizabethan* may be had from the Secretary at 6d. each.

It is requested that anyone who has a number of *The Elizabethan* for October 1892 or January 1894, at his disposal, will communicate as soon as possible with the Secretary.

Morcat.