

Rebielu.

Nascitur exiguus,

acquirit eundo

vires

VOL. IV. No. 4.

JULY, 1889.

Price 4d.

## ARCHIDIDASCALI WESTMONASTERIENSES.

No. 10. WILLIAM MARKHAM.

Since the time of Westminster's second known Headmaster Alexander Howell, no ecclesiastic so prominent alike in the clerical as in the political life of his time, had occupied the chair of Busby as William Markham. Hitherto two qualifications had always been required or at least found in the long list of Archididascali; first, they were all Old Westminsters, and secondly they had all been elected from the school to Christ Church, Oxford. Markham was the last of an unbroken chain, who fulfilled these conditions, Sir John Hinchliffe being a Cambridge man. William Markham was probably born in the year 1719, and was the son of Major William Markham. He was, says Dr. Smith, fourteen years old when he came to Westminster, and was admitted into S. Peter's College in 1734, whence, after four years, he was elected to Christ Church. His University career ended in 1752, when he took his D.C.L., and in the year following returned to his old school as Headmaster. Markham had considerable influence at Court, and it is therefore not unfair to say that he owed probably to this many of his high ecclesiastical appointments. In July, 1759, he was installed as a Prebendary of Durham and six years later, on his appointment to the Deanery of Rochester he found himself obliged to resign the headmastership. In

this same year, 1765, he was presented by his own Chapter to the only living he apparently ever held, that of Bexley, Kent, and this he retained until his consecration as Bishop. His connection with Westminster was again brought closer in 1767, when he became Dean of Christ Church, Oxon. Here he remained until after his appointment to the See of Chester, which took place in 1771, until 1776, when he was translated to the Archiepiscopal See of York in December, in the same year being nominated Lord High Almoner and sworn of the Privy Council. Markham was himself a Tory, but he was too wise a man, too thorough a churchman to give undue prominence to his political opinions when he held such important and influential ecclesiastical appointments; and doubtless for this reason he took but small part in the debates in the House of Lords. His reputation as a scholar and preceptor well justified his appointment as Tutor to the Prince of Wales in 1771, and this post he owed to his friendship with Lord Mansfield, another Old Westminster and Student of Christ Church, Oxon, and one of Westminster's most celebrated sons. Dr. Markham had but little claim to the title of Author, though his Latin Compositions have always been very highly esteemed by competent judges. The "Commical Quadragesimalia,," and "Musæ Anglicanæ" contain specimens of his powers of Latin versification and in the latter his "Judicium Paridis" assumes an important place. His other published compositions are chiefly sermons, with the exception of some discourses on the "Sacrament of the Lord's Supper," printed in 1787, and a "Concio ad Clerum," delivered in January, 1769.

Markham married a daughter of John Goddard, Esq., of Rotterdam, and by this marriage had six sons, all of whom were educated at Westminster, all with one exception being Queen's Scholars. This one son, who was a T.B., entered the Navy and attained there great distinction, and the rank of Admiral, and afterwards also sat in Parliament for Portsmouth, which seat he continued to occupy, with the exception of 2 years (1818-20) from 1801 to 1826. Dr. Markham was an intimate friend of Warren Hastings, and the latter had greatly assisted one of Markham's sons in India. This intimacy caused the Doctor to be satirized, as is probably well known, in the Rolliad. Of his personal character little need be said. One account describes him as possessing the strictest sense of honesty and the highest sense of honour, coupled with great modesty and simplicity.

Besides these qualities must be recorded his generosity, which his ample means allowed him to indulge to a very large extent. The Cathedrals of York, Southwell and Ripon, Queen's College and the Bodleian, Oxon, are all witnesses to his love of giving. He was a benefactor to Bexley and Rochester, where he greatly improved the Deanery. Such is a brief sketch of the chief posts he held; how he further acquitted himself and other details of his life we must be content to pass over in this account. Anyone desirous of seeing specimens of his verses will find extracts in Forshall. His portrait is to be seen at Christ Church, Oxon, both in the Common Room and in the Hall, while those at Westminster will find it also among the interesting collection at the Headmaster's.

COLLORIEL.

### NOTES.

The three vacancies in the house XI. were filled by G. E. Mills, D. Fitzmaurice, and D. P. Winckworth.

\* \*

The following Grants have School colours:-

Pinks: P. Armitage, J. O. Powell, and B. I. Southey.

Pink and Whites: E. W. Woodbridge, G. E. Campbell, W. T. Barwell, and G. E. Mills.

Third Elevens: F. J. Maclean, E. A. Everington, and D. Fitzmaurice.

\* \*

In the Swimming Competition Grantites were mostly conspicuous. E. W. Woodbridge and W. T. Barwell were first and second in the open race of 160 yards. Scarfe carried off the first prize in the under 16 race of 120 yards. The competition was held at the Crown Baths.

\* \*

An old Grantite, who occupies a prominent position in the Rowing World, has proposed that the names of the elevens which have been successful in winning the shields, should be put up in Hall. More than this, he has started a subscription with a view to carrying out the proposition, in which both past and present Grantites are invited to join. The estimated cost is about 13s. for each XI.

\* \*

E. F. Knox, O.G., has been successful in passing the examination for entrance to Sandhurst.

\* \*

In the Town Boy XI. there were four Grantites: P. Armitage, (Capt.), J. O. Powell, B. I. Southey and G. E. Campbell.

### CRICKET.

Junior Grants v. Junior Rigauds.

This match began on Monday, June 3rd, and continued during the next two days, ending in a victory for Rigauds by 68 runs.

Rigauds won the toss and decided to go in first; Berens and Shattock opened the innings. When Berens had made 8, an appeal for caught at the wicket was disallowed by the umpire. Runs then came fast, until score was 29 when Shattock was bowled by Fitzmaurice, (1 for 29); Balfour was also dismissed by the same bowler in his next over (2 for 33), Waterfield joined Berens and fifty runs were added before they parted company, Berens did most of the run-getting. Waterfield was run out when the score was 83, and Rivaz was got out in the same way, when 105 was up. On continuing the next day Berens was caught in the deep field by Winckworth, he had played a brillant innings of 52 and knocked the Grantite bowling to pieces on the previous day (5 for 112). Twenty runs were added to this score when Nye was bowled by Fitzmaurice. D'Arcy was caught by E. A. Everington at point, and Gates hit his wicket while the score was still 132. Fanshawe had time to make 5 runs before Urch was bowled and the innings closed for 141. One noticeable feature of the innings was the large amount of extras, which was due to the bad fielding of the longstop.

Junior Grants then went in, represented by Maclean and Runs came freely, till Maclean was bowled by Berens (1 for 18). Barwell joined Mills and hit a couple of twos and a four, and then Mills was caught by Nye, the score being 32. Campbell followed and made 9 runs in a short time, when Balfour clean bowled him; Everington was shortly after caught at the wicket by Shattock (4 for 61). On Tuesday evening Barwell was not out 29; on beginning the next day he put Balfour to leg for four and then was bowled by the same bowler, (5 for 67). Winckworth and Scarfe failed to score and were both dismissed by Berens. Fitzmaurice and Corbett made a stand for a short time, and, although the latter gave one or two chances at the beginning of his innings, he soon began to hit about with confidence. Burton had in the meantime taken Fitzmaurice's place and hit a four and a three, before Corbett brought his useful, if not strictly correct, innings of twenty to a close. H. D. Everington did not keep up his wicket and the winnings closed for 103, leaving Rigauds 38 to the good.

Our opponents then began their second innings, Berens and Shattock being opposed to the attack of Barwell and Campbell. The score was 20 when Shattock's wicket was taken by a ball from Campbell, whose bowling was on the whole a successful performance, (1 for 20), Balfour and Berens knocked up 19 runs more and then Campbell was again successful in clean bowling Berens. Runs still came and Barwell gave place to Fitzmaurice, who took Waterfield's wicket in his first over, (3 for 54). Campbell caught Balfour in the slips after a creditable innings of 14, and D'Arcy was bowled by Campbell before another run had been scored. Nye made 7 runs and then was bowled by Campbell, and the next two wickets were taken by Fitzmaurice, the score still being 62. Fanshawe and Urch were soon disposed of, the innings closed for 70 runs, Gates being not out 4.

The Grantites had to get 109 runs to win and, as will soon be seen, they failed to accomplish this. After the first few wickets fell, the team seemed to grow nervous and fall to pieces. Maclean and Mills opened the innings and Maclean after making a single was bowled by Beren's first ball, (1 for 1). Barwell hit up 10 runs in a few overs and was then caught at point by Waterfield. Mills was bowled by Balfour in the next over and Burton was caught at point. After hitting 3 twos Campbell was bowled by Berens, who took E. A. Everington's wicket with his next ball. Corbett

and Winckworth made a short stand; the next two men, Scarfe and Fitzmaurice, were soon disposed of, and Rivaz, who had been put on to bowl in place of Balfour, took H. D. Everington's wicket. The innings was brought to an end for the poor score of 40 runs, and Rigauds were left victors by 68 runs. The most remarkable feature of the match, next to Berens' splendid innings for 52, was the bowling analysis. In Rigauds' 2nd innings Fitzmaurice took 5 wickets for two runs, and in Grants' 2nd innings Berens bowled 8 for 15 runs.

### JUNIOR RIGAUDS.

#### IST INNINGS.

#### 2ND INNINGS.

R. Berens, c Winckworth, b Fitzmaurice	52	b Campbell	22
G. O. Shattock, b Fitzmaurice		b Campbell	8
C. E Balfour, b Fitzmaurice	ŏ	c Campbell, b Fitzmaurice	14
P. Waterfield, run out	16	h. wkt., b Fitzmaurice	8
C. Rivaz. run out	20	c Winckworth, b Fitzmauric	e 8
H. Nye, b Fitzmaurice	8	b Campbell	7
W. D'Arcy, c E. A. Everington, b Barwel	119	b Campbell	ò
H. Holland, b Fitzmaurice	2	b Fitzmaurice	0
E. Gates, hit wicket, b Barwell	0	not out	4
F. Urch, b Fitzmaurice	1	b Fitzmaurice	İ
J. Fanshawe, not out	5	b Campbell	2
Extras, b 13, lb 1	14	Éxtras b 4	4
, ,,		•	
	141		70

### JUNIOR GRANTS.

#### FIRST INNINGS.

## SECOND INNINGS.

13 33 9 5 0 9 3 20 0 4	c Urch, b Balfour c Waterfield, b Berens b Berens b Berens not out b Berens c Waterfield, b Berens b Berens	1 2 10 6 0 1 0 4 0 10 1 5
103		40
	13 33 9 5 0 9 3 20 0 4	c Waterfield, b Berens b Berens b Berens o to out b Berens c Waterfield, b Berens c Berens c Extras b 4, lb r

## ANALYSIS OF THE BOWLING.

### JUNIOR RIGAUDS.

IST	INNI	NGS.				2ND I	NNINGS.	
D. Fitzmaurice	R. 56	W.	0.	M.	R.	W	o.	M,
W. T. Barwell	50	2	23 19	4	2 29	0	12	3
E. G. Burton G. E. Campbell	20	0	7	2	35	5	20	5

# JUNIOR GRANTS.

	IST I	NNING	s.				2ND IN	ININGS.	
C. Balfour	_	R. 56	W.	O. 21	M.	R. 18	W.	O.	M.
R. Berens C. Rivaz	-	39	4 T	19	7 2	15	8	10	4

# HOMEBOARDERS v. RIGAUDS.

This was the first of the Inter-House Matches and resulted in a victory for Homeboarders by 7 wickets. Rigauds went in first upon a very wet wicket and scored 57. At the end of the first innings Rigaudites were leading by 2 runs, but as their bowling did not come off in the 2nd innings, the Homeboarders secured an easy victory.

### RIGAUDS.

ist Innings.		2ND INNINGS.	
H. R. Blaker, b S. Gregory G. Shattock, b Gifford E. Berens, b Gifford J. Willett, b Gifford H. Mathews, b Gifford C. Balfour, b Gifford H. C. Jones, b Gifford E. T. Rivaz, b Gifford O. Waterfield, b S. Gregory W. D'Arcy, not out H. Nye, b S. Gregory Extras, b II, lb 2	IO H 20 I 0 H 0 H 2 I 0 H 4 S	o S. Gregory o Gifford Run out o S. Gregory Run out o S. Gregory Not out o S. Gregory Not out o S. Gregory o S. Gregory o Gifford Extras, b 5, lb 3	27 2 1 2 0 0 6 0 3 11 0 8
	21 (		

HOMEBOA	ARDI	ERS,	
ist Innings.		2ND INNINGS.	
J. Gifford, c Jones, b Balfour C. T. Agar, b Willett A. G. Clark, b Willett	23 2 4	c D'Arcy, b Willett Retired	9 9
S. H. Gregory, b Willett C. H. Gregory, b Willett	6 3	c Blaker, b Rivaz	17
B. F. Pendred, c Mathews, b Willett	0	not out	22
E. H. Winslow, c Shattock, b Balfour	13	not out	3
W. Batchelor, b Balfour	0		
A. W. Guy, b Balfour	0		
J. Dyson, b Willett	0		
G. L. Edwards, not out	0		
Extras, b 4	4	Extras, b 2, lb 2	4
	55		64

### BOWLING ANALYSIS.

#### RIGAUDS.

	_				•		_		
IST	Innings.						2ND INI	NINGS.	
	O٠	M.	R.	w.	1	Ο.	M.	R.	W.
J. Gifford	14	7 6	15	7	1	12	2	33	3
S. Gregory	14	6	29	3	l	11	2	19	5
		H	ОМЕВО	ARD	ERS				
IST	Innings.						2ND IN	NINGS.	
	Ο.	M.	R.	W.	1	O.	Μ.	R.	W.
J. Willett	12	5	14	6	Ì	15	3	33	1
E. Berens	13	5	21	0		12	7	6	0
C. Balfour	52	2	5	4	1	42	I	12	0
H. Blaker	2	0	II	0	İ				
C. Rivaz					ĺ	2	0	9	1

## GRANTS v. HOMEBOARDERS.

This match was begun on Thursday evening, July 18th, and continued on the evenings of Friday, Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday. The match resulted in a win for H.BB. by 7 wickets. Armitage won the toss, and elected to go in first, sending Powell and Maclean to the wickets. But these two did not continue long together, Maclean being bowled without making any runs. (3-1-0). Armitage then joined Powell, but the latter was very soon bowled. (7-2-5). Southey then went in, and a long stand was made, the play being very steady. But Southey, after he had made 18, was bowled by S. Gregory. (57-3-18). Barwell then went in, but was soon bowled by a bailer. (58-4-0). Woodbridge followed Barwell, and after 27 had been added to the score, was caught for 5. (85-5-5). Campbell came next, and was run

out without scoring. (90-6-0-). E. A. Everington now joined Armitage, and his innings was characterised by hard hitting, and when the score had reached 109, stumps were drawn.

On resuming on Friday, Armitage was bowled first ball he took, and Everington not long after, Armitage having made 56, and Everington 14 (144-8-14). Armitage made his 56 in very good style, and was the mainstay of the innings. Winckworth then joined Mills, who had gone in after Armitage was bowled, but hitting the ball on to his legs, it rolled on the wickets. (114-9-c). Fitzmaurice went in next, and he and Mills played very well, Mills making 19 not out, and Fitzmaurice 6. (139-10-6).

After the customary interval, H.BB. went in, and the innings was opened by Gifford and Pendred, but the Grantite bowling was very bad, and 49 runs were scored, before Pendred was caught by Everington. (49-1-27). Winslow then came in, but stumps were drawn with 69 for 1 wicket.

On Monday evening, after 33 more runs had been made, Winslow was out, l.b.w. (101-2-25). S. Gregory then went in, but was bowled off his pads for 8 runs. (115-3-8). Gifford was then joined by C. Gregory, and the latter was bowled by a good ball from Powell, after making 12. (129-4-12). Agar then joined Gifford, who was bowled by Fitzmaurice. (135-5-52). Gifford played a splendid innings for 52 in very steady style. The next 5 wickets fell only adding 13 to the score, 3 of them succumbing to the good bowling of Fitzmaurice. (152-10-3).

Grants began their second innings on Tuesday, Mills and Campbell going in first. Runs came very freely till Mills was out l.b.w. bowled by S. Gregory. (15-1-13). Armitage then joined Campbell, but the former, after making 6, was caught by S. Gregory off Gifford. (26-2-6). Southey then came in, and a good stand was made, till Campbell, who had made 30 in very good style, was caught by Agar off Gifford. (66-3-30). Barwell came in next, but was stumped by Winslow off Glarke. (67-4-0). Powell then joined Southey, but after 8 runs had been added to the score, stumps were drawn.

On resuming on Wednesday, Powell cut a 1, but was bowled in the same over. (75-5-7). The next 5 wickets only added 9 to the score, Gifford bowling Woodbridge, Maclean and Everington for the respective scores of 3, 0, and 3. Fitzmaurice was bowled by S. Gregory for 0, and Winckworth was not out. Our total amounted to 88, so that H.BB. had 76 runs to make to win. H.BB. then went in, Gifford and Pendred opening the innings. After 29 runs had been made, Pendred was bowled by a good ball from Powell. (29-1-14).

Winslow then joined Gifford, but Powell bowled him two balls later. (29-2-0). S. Gregory going in next, made a good stand with Gifford, and was not out till he had made 21, when he was caught by Mills off Armitage. (72-3-21). Agar then came in, and snicked a 2 into the slips, and Gifford soon

after made the winning hit of 2.

As to the fielding of the two teams, Grants fielded fairly well, but Maclean and Fitzmaurice both let some balls through their legs. The H.BB. team were not very brilliant in the fielding line, with the exception of the first four house-colours. For Grants, Fitzmaurice bowled very well in the first innings, taking four wickets for 15 runs, and Powell bowled very well at first in the second innings. For the H.BB. Gifford was the best, taking in the second innings, 5 wickets for 29 runs. S. Gregory also bowled well.

The scores were:-

#### GRANTS.

ist Innings.		2ND INNINGS.	
J. O. Powell, b S. Gregory	5	b S. Gregory	7
F. J. Maclean, b Gifford		b Gifford	Ö
P. Armitage, b S. Gregory	56	ct S. Gregory, b Gifford	6
B. I. Southey, b S. Gregory	18	ct Agar, b S. Gregory	24
W. Barwell, b C. Gregory	0	st Winslow, b Clarke	0
E. W. Woodbridge, ct Agar, b Edwards	5	b Gifford	3
G. Campbell, run out	0	ct Agar, b Gifford	30
E. A. Everington, b Gifford		b Gifford	3
G. E. Mills, not out	19	lbwbS.Gregory	13
D. P. Winckworth, b Gifford	0	not out	0
D. Fitzmaurice, b S. Gregory	6	b S. Gregory	О
Byes 13, leg byes 3	16	Byes 1, leg byes 1	2
	139		88

# HOMEBOARDERS.

HOMEBOA	KDE	KS.	
ist Innings.		2ND INNINGS,	
J. Gifford, b Fitzmaurice	52	Not out	26
B. Pendred, ct Everington, b Powell	27	b Powell	14
E. H. Winslow, l b w b Powell	25	b Powell	Ó
S. Gregory, b Powell	8	ct G. Mills, b Armitage	21
C. Gregory, b Powell	12		
C. T. Agar, b Fitzmaurice	0	not out	2
A. W. F. Guy, ct Everington, b Powell	7		
W. Batchelor, b Fitzmaurice	2		
A. G. Clarke, b Fitzmaurice	0		
A. G. F. Guy, not out	5	<u> </u>	
G. L. Edwards, b Powell	3		
Byes 5, leg byes 6	11	Byes	13
, .		[	_
	215	(	77
•	-		

## BOWLING ANALYSIS.

### GRANTS.

IST INNINGS.						2ND	Innings.		
		O.	M.	R.	W.	ο.	M.	R.	w.
J. Gifford	-	18	5	41	3	19	7	29	5
S. Gregory	-	252	IO	45	4	17	8	25	4
C. Gregory	-	ΙI	3	24	I	8	2	25	ò
G. L. Edwar	$^{\mathrm{ds}}$	3	0	10	r			•	
A. G. Clarke	:	-				2	0	6	I

## HOMEBOARDERS.

IST	INNIN	IGS.				2ND	Innings.	
	o.	М.	R.	W. )	O.	M.	R.	w.
J. O. Powell	27	8	74	6	17	7	35	2
P. Armitage	19	6	45	0	92	4	11	I
B. I. Southey	1	0	6	0				
D Fitzmaurice	13	7	15	4	7	I	16	0

## NOTICES.

All contributions to be clearly written on one side of the paper.

All communications to be addressed to the Editor of *The Grantite Review*, 2, Little Dean's Yard, S.W.

The yearly subscription is half-a-crown; it is requested that all subscriptions now falling due, or not yet paid up, should be forwarded to the Editor.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his correspondents.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Editor.

# FLOREAT.