The



EDITORIAL.

It is over a year since the GRANTITE made its last appearance. Now, like Rip van Winkle, it comes back once more to find things altered in the School and the House. In the School it looks in vain for some of those whom it used to know: it sees new faces—and new forms. In the House— Here it rubs its eyes and looks around bewildered. "What has happened?" it cries. "Am I like Mamie in 'Peter Pan '? Have they built a house round me, while I was asleep?" And off it goes to explore the new buildings.

And now we must congratulate and thank the members of the Cricket team who won Seniors this year. This seems an appropriate place to point a moral. It must be remembered that every member of the House is responsible for the success of the House both in the class-room and up Fields or at Putney or in any other kind of sport. Each part, each stone, of a building is of use, whether it be the columns with their rich capitals and the carved mullions around the windows or but a single stone hidden away from sight. Together they make up a whole. That whole will only be truly perfect when each of its parts is perfect.

HOUSE NOTES.

THERE left us last term: D. E. Lashmore, R. W. Hartley, R. A. Nathan, A. A. Negus, A. L. Adler, C. T. W. Dobrée, L. S. London and J. M. Hornsby. The following new boys have come in their stead: O'Malley, Gardiner, May, Wykeham-Martin, Hunter (boarders); Allen, J. C., and Wakeley (half-boarders).

W. N. McBride is Head of House this term and a school monitor. He is assisted by M. S. Murphy, B. E. G. Davies, J. W. Jacomb-Hood (boarders) and R. A. Frost (halfboarder). M. S. Murphy and R. A. Frost are on the Monitorial Council.

G. E. Johnstone, A. W. Leishman, G. H. Rowntree have come up from Outer to Middle; D. I. Peacock from Hall to Middle; and D. R. P. Mills, T. G. Hardy, R. L. Giles, C. P. Allen, A. M. Shepley-Smith and F. A. M. Macquisten from Hall to Outer.

We must congratulate J. W. Jacomb-Hood and R. A. Frost on being made Monitors.

The following School colours were up the House last term:

CRICKET. Pinks. Pink-and-Whites. Thirds. W. N. McBride J. M. Hornsby G. E. Johnstone R. W. Hartley D. E. Lashmore J. W. Jacomb-Hood J. A. Cook A. M. Shepley-Smith WATER.

M. S. Murphy E. Whitley C. E. W. Lewis

Our heartiest congratulations to the Seniors Cricket XI. on winning the Town Boy Shield. In the O.T.C., J. W. Jacomb-Hood has been promoted to Corporal, and B. E. G. Davies, A. W. Leishman and G. E. Johnstone have been promoted to Lance-Corporals.

The football yard ties were successfully played off, being won by M. S. Murphy's tie. M. S. Murphy, Macquisten and Trelawney were successful in the Cricket yard ties.

The fives ties were also won by M. S. Murphy, with Adler, R., as his second string.

Congratulations are due to R. A. Frost on winning the Senior Clifton Gordon reading prize.

We must offer our hearty congratulations to A. A. Negus on winning an Open Organ Scholarship at Exeter College, Oxford.

At long last the wire in yard has been repaired, and this is proving a great boon.

C. E. M. Brackenbury must be congratulated on his tieing for first place in the Public School Motor Cycle Test Trial held at Amersham, Bucks. He was riding a $2\frac{3}{4}$ h.p. A.J.S.

FOOTBALL SENIORS.

1st Round-Grants v. Home Boarders.

(Lost 1-6.)

GRANTS lost to Home Boarders in the first round of Seniors by six goals to one, but although beaten we had many causes for satisfaction. After being five goals down at half-time, Grants fought gamely and only one more goal was scored against us, while we scored one ourselves.

Home Boarders kicked off and scored two goals very quickly, one of which Hartley would certainly have stopped had he not been entirely unsighted. Grants then pressed but the ball was soon brought back and Home Boarders added a third goal with a shot that Hartley completely misjudged. One of the opposing forwards shot, kicking the ball with his toe and this gave the ball a ducking flight and the ball entered the net off the underneath part of the upright.

Home Boarders kept up steady pressure and our defence was very overworked and had it not been for a magnificent display by M. S. Murphy at centre-half, ably assisted by McBride and Cook, the score might have run into double figures before half-time. As it was Home Boarders added two more goals and when the whistle sounded for half-time we were five goals to the bad.

In the second-half Shepley-Smith scored a very good goal for Grant's, but we were kept mostly on the defensive. During this period Murphy and both the backs played a very fine defensive game, while Hartley played up to his true form in goal.

Our forwards played as well as could be expected but they suffered from the lack of passes throughout as Murphy had to do the lion's share of the work of both wing-halves as well as his own. Even if we lost heavily, this game showed us that we had three extremely promising players in Giles, Cook and Bromet.

Teams: GG. Hartley; McBride, Cook; London, Murphy, Giles: Lashmore, Shepley-Smith, Hornsby, Bromet, Lashmore.

HBB. James; Johnson, J. C., Clare, L., Clare, A., Witherby, Whitlamsmith, Gibbs-Smith, Lowe, Harvey, G., Harvey, C.

CRITICISMS.

R. W. Hartley (goal) kept goal for the 1st XI. and on his day is a brilliant player, but he felt the responsibilities of captaining the side and, through over-anxiety and nerves, played below his best in Seniors.

W. N. McBride (left back). A lion-hearted player whom, we consider, had bad luck in not obtaining his pinks last season. A magnificent kick with his left foot and a devastating tackle, but a little slow.

J. A. Cook (right back). A very young player who should in time become very good. A very fine kick and tackle for his size, but he is very liable to unsight his goal-keeper by coming back too far when pressed.

L. S. London (left half). A very bustling and sturdy player but without any natural aptitude for football.

M. S. Murphy (centre half). Gained his pinks as a back, but he played a fine game at centre half for the House. Fast and a good kick, he is a fine type of amateur footballer, but he is rather prone to wild kicks.

R. L. Giles (right half), a young player, who has the makings of a good half, but he lacks strength and experience.

D. E. Lashmore (outside left) played outside left but was rather unsuited for the position by his lack of speed. He was handicapped by receiving very few passes from London.

A. M. Shepley-Smith (inside left). A very promising young player. He is fast and a good shot but rather lacks control of the ball.

J. M. Hornsby (centre forward) gained his pinks as an inside right. He is a most mature and scientific player but lacked the power to finish off a piece of good play, by netting the ball.

R. E. Bromet (inside right) has the making of a very good forward but lacks pace and a knowledge of the game. A fine worker.

A. W. D. Leishman (outside right), a fair outside right who lacked the power to get his centres into the middle, the inside men having too often to come and fetch it.

CRICKET SENIORS.

FIRST ROUND-GRANTS v. HOME BOARDERS.

Teams: GG. McBride, Hartley, Jacomb-Hood, Shepley-Smith, Lashmore, Hornsby, Cook, Johnstone, Bromet, Leishman, Stratford.

HBB. Lowe, Clare, L., Witherby, Salvi, Harvey, G., Harvey, C., Clare, A., Ensor, A. C. D., James, Johnson, J. C. A., May.

In the first round of Seniors Grant's drew Home Boarders for the third year in succession and it could easily be seen from the strength of the respective teams that the winners of this match would win the shield. Our chances in the match depended entirely on the ability of the Pinks to come off, as Lowe's bowling would be sure to be too much for the others.

Grant's won the toss and elected to bat on a good wicket. The start given them by McBride and Hood was far beyond our expectations. They both batted extremely well and during their stand of 131 for the first wicket only two difficult chances were offered; Hood being dropped in the slips off Lowe, and McBride giving Johnson a chance at backward short leg off the same bowler when fifty-five.

At 131 Lowe came on to bowl again and commenced bowling "bumpers" to Hood with Salvi fielding at silly-point; this stratagem took effect with Lowe's fourth ball when Salvi took an easy catch. After this collapse ensued—Hartley, Shepley-Smith and Hornsby being dismissed quickly and we had 4 wickets down for 137.

Then Cook joined McBride who was at this stage 80 not out and they proceeded to defy Home Boarders bowling. With the score at 170 for 4, rain stopped play, McBride being not out 94, Cook not out 10. After twenty minutes play was resumed and the scoring became very rapid, over 100 being added in an hour before Cook was taken at the wicket off Clare (L.) He played a great innings for his side and for one so young (he is only 15) gives promise of great things in the future; in his innings of 60 were included 9 fours.

He left at 288, McBride being 160 not out. The remaining wickets fell pretty quickly, no one making much show against the tired bowling. Meanwhile McBride was still scoring freely until at 343 he was ninth out for 196, one of the highest scores ever made for Grant's in Seniors. He had been batting three hours and twenty minutes and hit one 6, 2 fives and 26 fours. Our score of 348 considering the strength of Home Boarders' bowling was very creditable although 305 of the score was made by McBride, Jacomb-Hood and Cook.

The match was not continued for some days owing to the pressure of 1st XI. matches and the School Certificate examination. Thus the wicket on the resumption was sure to be of a very doubtful quantity and this proved to be the case, for McBride and Hood bowled in such form that the strong Home Boarder batting side was dismissed for 128. McBride in particular bowled well; in a manner in fact which he had not before approached. His analysis for the innings was 7 wickets for 42 runs. The brothers Clare batted well for Home Boarders. Home Boarders followed on 220 in arrears and on an improved and easy wicket gave a much better display, and at the close of play had reached 84 for no wicket.

Play was resumed on the next afternoon when Home Boarders gave a very level batting display, thanks to an excellent innings by Clare (I..) ably backed up by Lowe and Salvi and reached 268 for 6 wickets, McBride and Hood again being responsible for all the wickets that fell.

On the following day the final stage of the match was entered upon and this proved to be by far the most exciting. Thanks to some very good hitting by Clare (A.), who badly punished J.-Hood's bowling, the Home Boarders total reached 300, leaving Grant's 81 to get for victory. Again McBride and Hood proved themselves the only bowlers capable of getting wickets, McBride taking 4 for 62, and Hood 5 for 126.

Grant's started the fourth innings of the match on a very rough and unrolled wicket and one on which anything might have happened with Lowe at his best.

Jacomb-Hood and Hartley opened to the bowling of Lowe and Harvey (C. A.). Collapse soon followed and 3 wickets fell for 17 runs. McBride and Hornsby then became associated and stern defensive cricket took the score to 38 when Lowe clean bowled Hornsby. Thanks mainly to byes and McBride the score was taken to 55 for 6 wickets, at which stage the match looked to be anybody's game. However Bromet then joined McBride and although he only scored 1, his defence was invaluable and together they knocked off the runs. McBride, who had batted 65 minutes for 13, finished off the match by hitting Lowe for three consecutive fours. Well as McBride batted, however, victory would have been impossible but for the extraordinary number of extras, 36 out 83.

Thus at the third attempt Grant's amply avenged our defeats in 1921 and 1922 at Home Boarders hands and when that victory came, hard earned as it was, it was richly deserved.

FULL SCORES AND ANALYSIS.

GRANT'S.

GRANT'S.								
W. N. McBride c. May b. Lowe 196 Not out	25							
J. W. Jacomb-Hood c. Salvib. Lowe 49 c. Salvib. L	owe 6							
R. W. Hartley l.b.w. b. Harvey (C. A.) 1 b. Harvey (C. A.)								
A. M. Shepley-Smith c. May b. Lowe 3 l.b.w. b. Ha								
J. M. Hornsby b. Lowe o b. Lowe	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
J. A. Cook c. May b. Clare (L.) 60 b. Lowe	5 8							
R. E. Bromet c. Lowe b. Clare (L.) 2 Not out	I							
	Iarvey (C. A.) I							
D. E. Lashmore b. Harvey (C. A.) I)	5 ()							
M. G. Stratford not out 5 Did not bat								
A. W. Leishman run out								
Extras : Byes 20, leg byes 6, nb. 2 28 Byes 29, leg-	byes 6, nb. 1 36							
——————————————————————————————————————	· -							
Total 348 Total (for 6 wickets) 83							
Home-Boarders' Bowling.								
	Innings.							
Overs Maidens Runs Wkts. O. M.	R. W. Average							
Lowe 25 I 83 4 II.3 3	35 3 16° 8 5							
Harvey, C. A. 15.2 2 58 2 11 6	10 3 13.6 0							
Clare (L.) 6 0 42 2	21.00							
(Salui o o 61 o Loure boule	d 3 no-balls and							
Also bowled Ensor, A. 13 I 70 0 Clare (L.),								
Home-Boarders.								
L. Clare b. McBride 21 l.b.w. b. JI	Hood 85							
J. H. Witherby c. Johnstone b.	46							
McBride II b. JHood	33							
R. G. H. Lowec. Hornsby b. McBride 16 c. Bromet b								
G. D Harvey st. Hartley b. JHood 3 b. JHood	I2							
C. A. Harvey b. McBride 18 c. Lashmore								
A. Clare c. Shepley-Smith b. JHood 24 b. JHood	32							
J. C. A. Johnson b. McBride 2 b. McBride	4							
W. R. James b. McBride o Absent	7							
A. C. D. Ensor not out 6 l.b.w. b. Mc	Bride 4							
P. R. B. May c. Stratford b. Jacomb-								
Hood o Not out	0							
Extras: Byes 8, leg-byes 3, w. 1, Byes 17, le	g-bye 1, w. 3,							
nb. 2 14 nb. 4	24							
Total 128	Total 300							

8

Grant's Bowling.

	1st Innings					2nd Innings				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Ο.	M.	R.	Ŵ.	Average	
McBride	17	6	42	7	17	2	62	4	9.45	
Jacomb-Hood	9.5	5 0	53	3	25	I	126	5	22.37	
Also bowled	{SSn	more 18 ⁷ nith 8 stone 3	0 3	5 0	Hoo		owled		-balls. ides and	

FINAL ROUND.

GRANT'S v. RIGAUD'S.

Teams: GG. McBride, Hartley, Jacomb-Hood, Shepley-Smith, Lashmore, Hornsby, Cook, Johnstone, Murphy, Bromet, Leishman.

RR. Robson, Cambell, Ormerod, Wheeler, Bonar, Devereux, Wilson, Hill, Foster, Paulson, Cuming.

Grant's won the toss and put Rigaud's in on a good wicket. With the exception of Wheeler no one could do anything with the bowling of McBride and J.-Hood and they were dismissed for the small total of 57, Hood taking 5 for 35 and McBride 3 for 19. For the first time our fielding was very smart, two people being run out and Hartley getting three wickets behind the stumps and letting no byes.

Grant's opened with Jacomb-Hood and Hartley and so lightly did these two treat the bowling and to such purpose did they hit it that 136 runs were added for the first wicket in an hour; after this although wickets fell fairly steadily Hornsby and Cook batted well and McBride was enabled to declare the innings closed at 184 for 7 wickets, leaving Rigaud's 127 to save the innings defeat. Rigaud's did very little better at their second attempt only Devereux and Foster (a young cricketer of great promise) doing anything against McBride who was once more in deadly form with the ball. In the space of an hour and a half Rigaud's were dismissed for 76, leaving Grant's victors by an innings and 51 runs, and winners of the Town Boy Shield for the first time since 1918.

The main feature in Grant's success in this year's competition was the fact that the Pinks came off. In the last season's the members of the School XI. up Grant's always failed to do themselves justice but this year everything was different. McBride had a batting average of 221 and a bowling average of 7, Hood 47 and 15, and Hartley kept wicket exceedingly well. Congratulations are due to the rest of the team for the part they played in our victory.

FULL SCORES AND ANALYSIS.

RIGAUD'S.

Devereux b. Hood	0	c. Hartley b. JHood	17
Wilson b. McBride	4	b. McBride	-7
		Waller (sub.)	
Wheeler run out	21	c. Hornsby b. McBride	0
Cambell run out	2	c. and b. McBride	0
Robson c. Lashmore b. Hood	7	b. McBride	8
Ormerod c. Hood b. McBride	5	c. a nd b. McBride	0
Hill c. Hartley b. McBride	Ğ	b. Hood	I
Foster not out	4	c. and b. Hood	20
Paulson st. Hartley b. Hood	5	c. Murphy b. McBride	9
Cuming st. Hartley b. Hood	2	c. Hornsby b. McBride	5
Bonar b. Hood	с	Not out	4
Extra: leg-bye 1	I	Byes, 5, w. 1, nb. 3	9
Total	57	Total	76

Grant's Bowling.

	1st Innings.				2nd Innings.				
	О.	M .	R.	W.	Ο.	M.	R.	W.	Average
McBride	8	I	19	3	14	3	34	7	5.30
Jacomb-Hood	9	2	35	5	13 . 4	3	35	3	8.72
Also bowled :	Lashmo	Lashmore 2 1 1 0			McBride bowled 3 no-balls and Hood 1 wide.				alls and

Grant's.

J. W. Jacom R. W. Hart A. M. Shepl J. M. Horns J. A. Cook I R. E. Brome M. S. Murp D. E. Lashi W. N. McE A. W. Lei	88 50 0 14 17 3 0 4 8							
	184	innings declared closed						
~ ·	0.	M.	R_{\cdot}	W.	Average			
-	Omerod 8 0 38 2 19:00							
Paulson 13.5 2 48 2 24.00								
Cambell	10 - +0							
Bonar 4 1 33 1 33.00								
Foster	2	0	15					

THE GRANTITE REVIEW.

SENIORS' AVERAGES, 1923.

Batting.

W. N. McBride J. W. Jatomb-Hood J. A. Cook		nings 2 3 3	N	ot-ou I O I	ts Runs 221 143 85	H.S. 196 88 60	Average 221:00 47:66 42:50			
R. W. Hartley		3		0	51	50	17.00			
Bowling.										
	Οv	ers	1	Maid	ens Runs	Wickets	A verage			
W. N. McBride	56		12		157	21	7'47			
	57'4			9	249	16	15.26			
The following { Lashmore Johnstone S Smith	20 3	ŏ	46 23	0	McBride bo Hood bow	led 2 wid	balls. les and			
(SSinni	0		00	-	Lasimon	C 1.				
	5	Bon ers 56 57'4 50	46 23 35	eg. Maidi 12 9 0 0 0	ens Runs 157 249 McBride bo	Wickets 21 16 owled 9 no- led 2 wid	A verag 7.4 15.5 balls.			

Runs scored for, 615 for 23 wickets. Average 26.74 runs per wicket.

Wicket-Keeping.

Percentage of byes 5.3. Hartley caught 2, stumped 3.

SENIOR CRITICISMS.

W. N. McBride did extremely well for the school during 1923. He is a batsman with a very sound defence, who watches the ball right on to the face of the bat, and is a very strong player on the leg side. He might with advantage cultivate a few more strokes on the off. A bowler of possibilities. A good field and very safe catch.

R. W. Hartley kept wicket extremely well in House Matches, letting a very small percentage of byes and getting five wickets. As a batsman he has a good eye and can hit the ball hard on both sides of the wicket but usually gets himself out by making a weak stroke before he is thoroughly set.

J. W. Jacomb-Hood. A very stylish batsman with good strokes all round the wicket. When he gets more confidence in himself and strengthens his defence he will do very well. As a bowler he has improved out of all knowledge and should do great things next year. A much improved field and catch.

A. M. Shepley-Smith did not fulfil his promise of last year. As a batsman he is nearly always out l.b.w., owing to a desire to score four to fine leg off every ball, but he improved latterly. His slow bowling was at times effective. A fair field and catch. **D. E. Lashmore** had hard luck in not getting his place in the School XI. He is not much of a batsman, but he is a left hand bowler of some promise. He is rather too fond of bowling well outside the off stump instead of varying break and direction. He also has at his command an excellent googly with which he was much too sparing. A sound field and catch.

J. M. Hornsby did not improve as he should have done and so just missed his place in the 1st XI. He does not seem to have many scoring strokes and always seems to mistime the ball, but he has a sound defence. Uses his great pace to good advantage in the field for he is a fine outfield and a safe catch in any position.

J. A. Cook. A player of very great promise. He has some beautiful scoring strokes on the off side, but he must develop his play on the leg if he is going to make runs. He has possibilities as a bowler and is a good field.

G. E. Johnstone came to the front as a bowler and played once or twice for the 1st XI. but could not keep his form. When he realises that length is everything in bowling he will get wickets. A fair batsman who sometimes makes runs. A poor field.

A. W. Leishman, a batsman without many scoring strokes but with a sound defence. He played very well in Juniors. An uncertain field.

R. E. Bromet, a batsman of promise. He has a sound defence and can choose the right ball to hit. A bad field who gave away many too many runs owing to slackness. M. G. Stratford and M. S. Murphy also played.

JUNIORS.

FIRST ROUND-GRANT'S v. RIGAUD'S.

In the first round of Juniors, Grant's drew Rigaud's who were the holders of the cup. The odds seemed rather in favour of Rigaud's as they had two bowlers, Cambell and Ormerod, who had represented the School. As a matter of fact it was only after a desperate struggle that Rigaud's won and then it was only by 2 runs.

Rigaud's won the toss and elected to bat. Thanks mainly

to a hard hit 47 by Ormerod they totalled 132, Shepley-Smith, Cook and Carr meeting with success with the ball. At the close of play Grant's had scored 83 for 3, Cook playing a fine knock of 47, Shepley-Smith and Leishman being not out. When play was resumed on the following day Leishman was unable to bat owing to an injured eye and this mishap coupled with bad batting caused us to be all out for 109; Cambell and Ormerod assisted by Paulson (a promising slow left-handed bowler) being the chief cause of our collapse.

Rigaud's opened their second innings 23 runs to the good, but some excellent bowling by Shepley-Smith and Cook brought about a collapse and Rigaud's were dismissed for 44, Shepley-Smith taking 6 for 24.

Grant's were left with 68 to get to win and it was realised that this would be a hard task but when Shepley-Smith, Cook and Stratford were out with only 21 on the board, our chance looked very slender. Thanks, however, to a very plucky stand by Mordaunt and Leishman, the game was brought right round into our favour, the fourth wicket falling at 48. Collapse again followed and 5 wickets fell for 13 runs, Heard being out to an extremely fluky catch at cover when batting well, Hardy and Carr being out for 0. Nine wickets had fallen for 61 when Davies came in. He does not pretend to be a batsman but he pluckily kept up his end while Leishman took the score to 65, but Davies was then beaten and bowled by Cambell leaving Rigaud's winners by 2 runs.

The individual scores and analyses have unavoidably been lost.

JUNIOR CRITICISMS.

Stratford did not come up to expectation but is quite a good bat and bowler and a fair field.

Mordaunt at present is handicapped by his being so small, but he is a very promising all round cricketer and immensely keen.

Hardy not a natural cricketer but one who may make runs, a fair field.

Macpherson not much of a bat at present but has a fine off drive through the covers. A very good field and safe catch.

Davies, R. W., kept wicket quite well, but must learn to stand right up to slow bowling so as to be in a position to stump. He has but a very elementary idea of batting.

Carr bowled well in the first innings and gave promise of becoming a good bowler. A poor bat and a lazy field.

Heard, a very keen cricketer who shaped quite well as batsman and bowler. Not a very good field.

Mallinson, when he finds a length, is quite a good "flight" leg-break bowler, but has a most laboured action. A fair bat and field.

SONNET.

ON THE DOWNS OF SEAWARD SUSSEX.

THEY are not grand as mountains crowned with snow, Nor rich as a full-wooded countryside;

They are but wind-swept, sun-loved spaces wide, With elm-grown hamlets clustering below. Around the valley streams the sea-flowers grow;

Upon the grassy slopes the wind is free; The sea gulls call, the spray-filled breezes blow;

And in the distance glimmers the blue sea. Here is a joyful calm, a stillness blest.

To soothe the ravings of a stricken mind, Richer than wealth, more fair than jewell'd crowns. Then let the weary heart come here and rest

And fall asleep awhile and, seeking, find Peace lurking in the hollows of the downs.

R. A. F.

WATER.

I THINK it can safely be said that the standard of rowing has improved. Grant's, however, are still poorly represented and I should like to see a few more Grantites at Putney.

But although this is the case several Grantites have distinguished themselves. Murphy rowed seven in the Henley VIII. against Magdalen College and Radley. Whitley and Lewis rowed stroke and seven respectively in the 2nd VIII. that beat St. Paul's and lost to the Eton 2nd VIII. after a good race. We also had a representative in the final of the Junior Sculls. Our four for the Junior races, Bow-Calvert, Peacock, Negus, Stroke-Adler, A., Cox-Makower, were easily the neatest four, but lacked sufficient staying power. For the Town Boy rudder we drew Home Boarders in the first round. Our practices were really no good to us, as Whitley was out of school and Lewis could not row for a few days; thus we were only together twice before the race. Grant's on the Surrey Station soon forged ahead, and in spite of indifferent coxing held a lead of 3 lengths at Beverley. Here Murphy's knee suddenly gave out and Home Boarders began to creep up. Grant's, however, managed to hold on to their lead and won by $\frac{3}{4}$ length.

In the final against Ashburnham, Murphy could not row; this in no way handicapped Grant's, as Ashburnham's four were, I consider, better than the first four of 1920 and 1921. Lewis was moved up to three and Calvert came in at two. Ashburnham led from the start and were paddling hard at the Thames Boat Club, $2\frac{1}{2}$ lengths up. Grant's rowed pluckily all the way and Ashburnham won by $3\frac{1}{2}$ lengths.

Ashburnham: Bow, Sharp, H. R. Edwards, N. Montefiore, Stroke, E. C. T. Edwards, Cox, Wolferstan.
Grant's: Bow, A. Adler, Lewis, M. S. Murphy, Stroke, Whitley, Cox, Makower.

CRITICISMS OF THE CREW.

- Bow.—Adler has no idea of sitting a boat, and is always late in his recovery.
- 2. Lewis. The most promising oar in the 2nd VIII., "skies" his blade when tired, but more ought to be heard of him.
- 3. **Murphy** gave the crew nice rhythm and held them together in spite of a damaged knee.
- 4. **Calvert, P.**, is quite content to get his oar in and out of the water with the others, though he did try hard against Ashburnham.
- Stroke. Whitley is light for this position, but he rows a good length and keeps a high rate of striking.
- Cox. Makower is very heavy with his hands and appears to be afraid of the sound of his own voice.

M. S. M.

THE ATHLETIC SPORTS.

THOUGH we only got third place with 19 points in the sports, we were not undistinguished. In the open events B. Davies ran well to get second place in the 440 yards and third in the 100 and 880 yards. In the under 16 class Shepley-Smith won both the 100 and 440 yards in good style. Cook in winning the under 15 440 yards and in running second the 100 yards showed great promise. He ought to be very useful next year in the under 16 races. Murphy did very well in the trials, but unfortunately failed to find the same form in the finals. It was mainly due to his fine running, however, that we established a good lead in the relay, which we were unable to maintain. All the same, the team ran extremely pluckily throughout.

Team and distance:

R. A. Nathan, 100 yards. M. S. Murphy, 220 yards. B. E. G. Davies, 220 yards. A. M. Shepley-Smith, 440 yards.

If all Grantites made an effort to run in some events in the sports, we should certainly do much better. It is useless to rely on a few enthusiasts to gain all the points. In some of the Junior events we were practically without a representative. For instance, no one tried to oppose Rigaud's in the junior hurdles, with the result that the final heat consisted of four Rigaudites. It adds greatly to the chances of the House if it can shew that it has a good percentage of the entrants in every event.

B. E. G. D.

O.T.C.

THIS year seventeen Grantites attended Camp, which is considerably better than last year; but even this is not good enough, when it is considered that it is out of a possible forty. I expect to see all boys over sixteen, who were at Camp, in for Certificate "A." This is really worth winning, and there is no need to be afraid of drilling a company, for those under you are willing to encourage and help you.

Moreover, few boys like drilling and marching for an

hour at any time, but if they would put their heart and soul into what is going on, the time would pass much quicker, it would be more pleasant for all concerned and it would be setting an example to those who are too lazy to join the Corps.

Last year our final position in the House Competition was by no means good, but I feel sure that there is plenty of the right spirit and that we shall do better. M. S. M.

RACQUETS.

In the first round of the Inter-House Racquets, Grants, represented by M. S. Murphy and J. W. Jacomb-Hood, beat Home Boarders, represented by G. U. Salvi and G. D. Harvey, by three games to love.

In the final against Ashburnham, represented by J. E. Dunning and R. Rowland, Grants put up a good fight against a strong pair, Jacomb-Hood especially playing well. Ashburnham won by 17-14, 15-11, 15-3, after some very fast and exciting rallies.

FIVES.

In the first round of this competition Grants drew Rigauds. The sides were evenly matched and the first game went to Grants at 15-13. In the second game Rigauds, somewhat favoured by good luck, won 15-10.

The third was very even, the game going point for point until it reached 11 all; Jacomb-Hood then became 2 down and Wilson went up and Rigauds ran out winners.

Scores: Grants 15-13; 10-15; 11-15. Grants: M. S. Murphy and J. Jacomb-Hood.

M. S. M. Rigaud's: D. I. Wilson and Lindsay.

OLD GRANTITES.

WE have to record the following appointments, etc.:

- Mr. Kenneth M. MacMorran, LL.B. (1897-1901): Chancellor of the Diocese of Chichester.
- Major-General Sir Wm. B. Leishman, K.C.M.G., C.B., F.R.S. (1878-1880): Director-General, Army Medical Service.

Dr. E. D. Adrian (1903-1908): Fellow of the Royal Society.

Vice-Admiral Sir Richard Phillimore, K.C.B., K.C.M.G., M.V.O. (1876-1877): Commander-in-Chief, Plymouth.

MARRIAGES.

ANDREWS—HUGHES.—On July 29th, 1922, Norman Palmer Andrews to Elsie MacGregor, daughter of Alfred M. Hughes.

SAYER—ST. AUBYN.—On October 17th, 1922, the Rev. Arthur G. Sayer (O.G.), son of Arthur Sayer (O.G.), to Bridget Catherine, daughter of the late Edward St. Aubyn.

HODGSON—COCKTON.—On November 30th, 1922, Charles Lawrence Courtenay Hodgson to Dorothy Constance Cockton.

- RAWSON—PRICE.—Qn April 12th, 1923, Hugh H. F. Rawson (O.G.), son of F. L. Rawson (O.G.), to Thelwyn Price, daughter of the late Rev. T. Price.
- ADRIAN—PINSENT.—On June 14th, 1923, Edgar Douglas Adrian, M.D., F.R.S., to Hester Agnes, daughter of the late Hume C. Pinsent.
- YELD-YELD.-On August 4th, 1923, in B. Columbia, Reginald Arthur Yeld, M.D., to Muriel Heart, daughter of the late Rev. Reginald Heart Yeld.
- SEVERN-MARSHALL.-On September 4th, 1923, Agnew Ruskin Severn to Mary Edith Marshall.

DEATHS.

WE have to record with great regret the deaths of the following Old Grantites since our last number:

- Henry James Frederick Pratt, Adm. 1855. Q.S. 1856. Afterwards in the Indian Civil Service. Died 1922.
- Henry Charles Russell, son of Lord Charles Russell (O.G.). Adm. 1855. Left 1860. Rector of Woollaton. Died July 20th, 1922.
- Hugh Augustus Berners, son of Ralph Berners (O.W.). Adm. 1852. Rector of Harkstead. Died Aug. 7th, 1922.

Savage French, whose father and grandfather were Grantites. Adm. 1854. Left 1859. Died Sept. 18th, 1922.

Hugh Martin Charters Macpherson. Adm. 1870. Left 1876. Died Aug. 8th, 1922.

Charles Napier Lambton. Adm. 1887. Left 1891. Died 1922.

Archibald Balfour. Adm. 1854. Left 1859. Died Oct. 29th, 1922.

Charles Ernest Soames. Adm. 1874. Left 1877. Died July 30th, 1922.

- George Nixon Biggs. Adm. 1894. Left 1898. Surgeon. Died Nov. 10th, 1922.
- Arthur Stuart Blackett. Adm. 1876. Left 1881. Died Dec. 5th, 1922.
- Edward George Curtis. Adm. 1880. Left 1881. Colonel. C.M.G. Died March 7th, 1923.
- Andrew Haggard. Adm. 1866. Lieut. Colonel, D.S.O. Soldier, Novelist and Historian. Died May 13th, 1923.
- William Francis Spencer, son of Hon. W. H. Spencer (O.W.). Adm. 1850. Captain in Army. Died July 3rd, 1923.

Arthur George Hill. Adm. 1870. Antiquary. Died June 16th, 1923.

CORRESPONDENCE.

To the Editor of THE GRANTITE REVIEW.

- DEAR SIR, with something of a shock your courteous but firm request
- I've read; and daring not say "No," 1'll have a try and do my best.

Cambridge in general regrets the loss of Messrs. Rea and Bevan, We other Grantites who remain, we (as the poet says) are seven. The light of **Mr. Robertson** illuminates the classic skies,

And Westminsters at Trinity to dizzy heights of wisdom rise. Of **Dr. Adrian** I have heard (though he has never heard of *me*), His blushing honours thick he bears in every kind of —ology; The thing he cannot grasp is what the counsel of the so-called "sage" meant:

"To those who marry, Don't." So here's congrats on his engagement.

Of **Mr. Peacock** I must tell a tale of how one day he chopped a Poor man to bits; his sole excuse is that he's going to be a doctor. He is a strong and silent man; and strong and silent (?) car he steers;

He has a strong and silent oath (for use at golf), which no man hears.

The "Grantite's" literary style has so affected Mr. Swann, sir,

That e'en the "Grantite's" witty page scorns not his work (tho' quite anon, sir).

Of **Mr. Carr** I've much to say, but fear that it would shock the printer.

He's reading (also breaking) Law; and is the London-Cambridge sprinter.

He never smokes (except a pipe, or cigarette) and he will dine And never drink a drop, beyond a cocktail (and of course, some wine).

The Honourable Montagu is deep in matters scientific;

While literary "Youth," as well, has claims upon his mind prolific.

I do not think he does his hair; for little matters such as that, His intellect do not disturb: but still, he ought to wear a hat.

Mr. Llewellyn (second year) plays tennis for his College. We

Believe the score is now Love-1; that's why he visits gay Paree!

That's also why he's reading French in any moments he can spare

From the gay life that Corpus leads, to banish from its mind dull care.

At last, dear Sir, my task is done; with truth and with imagination

I've told my tale and hope it wins you and your readers' approbation;

My artless tale has told you how, in College, lecture room and lab.,

The men of Grants do fare. I am

Your humble servant, Sir, CANTAB.

NOTICES.

ALL correspondence should be addressed to the Editor, 2, Little Dean's Yard, Westminster, S.W. 1, and all contributions must be written clearly and on *one side* of the paper only.

The annual subscription is 4s. post free, and all subscriptions should be sent to the Editor.

Back numbers may be obtained from the Editor, price 1s.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his contributors or correspondents.

Floreat.

Women's Printing Society, Ltd., Brick Street, Piccadilly, W. I.