



The Elizabethan.

Vol. V. No. 25.

WESTMINSTER, JULY 1888.

Price 6d.

ELECTION.

THE three hundred and twenty-sixth election from Westminster takes place on July 31. Since the re-founding of the School by Queen Elizabeth in 1560, only one has been omitted. In 1660, owing to the unsettled state of the Universities, there was no formal election, but Dean Jane, of Gloucester, was elected to a studentship at Christ Church from the School in that year. In 1661, however, amends were made for this omission by the election of no fewer than nine major candidates to Oxford and eight to Cambridge. From that day to this the succession has been unbroken. An interruption more serious was, however, threatened in 1655, when Parliamentary Commissioners were appointed to visit the Universities and certain Public Schools—for, says the historian, 'malignancy was now to be nipped in the earliest and most tender buds'—special rules being made for four Commissioners (two from each University) to act for the School of Westminster. Guided successfully, however,

through these difficulties and dangers by the hand of the greatest of head-masters, the School, without any compromise of its loyalty, remained unharmed, in spite of the fulminations of 'that noted Coryphæus, Mr. John Owen,' who declared that it would 'never be well for the nation until Westminster School be suppressed.' It is interesting to note how different were the politics of the School in the latter half of the seventeenth and beginning of the eighteenth century to what they were at the beginning of this. To the Stuarts it ever remained faithful, and Bishop Atterbury was visited in the Tower in 1723 by the King's Scholars who had just been elected to the Universities. The mode of election remained unchanged until quite recent years, and the writing of Parentelæ and the constitution of the electors are still carried out as enjoined by Elizabeth. This year the new exhibitions at Christ Church, awarded to the School in compensation for the abolition of Westminster Studentships, will be for the first time competed for, to the number of three. This addition to the funds available for members of the School places Westminster in a position,

as regards endowment, superior to any school of its size in England. The 'good old days' when six or eight major candidates were elected still in a position to render competition by its to each University are over, but Westminster is members for external scholarships unnecessary and, indeed, wrong. For surely it is our duty rather to give our 'best and ripest fruit' to the colleges with which our connection is historical, and which, enriched and glorified by the filial piety and honourable talent of Old Westminsters, still exercise so much parental care over the School and its fortunes. Election, however, even to those who are successful, is not a time of joy unmixed. The thought of leaving the associations and the friends we love, of leaving the spot which has been a home and more than a home to us for the years of our youth, of leaving the Abbey, sacred in the eyes of Englishmen and Westminsters as no other shrine of loyalty and lore can be—all this saddens us. But while a loving regret tempers the eagerness and pleasure with which we advance into the world, we cast our eyes around us at the walls hallowed by the names and the memories of those that have gone before, and the fire of our ambition is aroused and our resolution to do honour to the School is quickened when we think

Forsitan et nostrum nomen miscebitur istis.

WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

NO. 16.—JOHN, LORD CARTERET.

(Continued from page 271.)

WALPOLE had fallen, but his love of exclusive power lived on in the Pelhams, so far as was compatible with their superlative mediocrity. They were not without special grounds of complaint against Carteret; for the latter had favoured Bath's claims to the Premiership, and had even written to Henry Pelham, after his accession to power, with that manly directness which never failed, though it often compromised him. 'If I had not stood by Lord Bath, who can ever value my friendship? . . . However, as the affair is decided in your favour by his Majesty, I wish you joy of it. I have no jealousy of either of you, and I believe that you love me; but if you will have jealousies of me without foundation, it will disgust me to such a degree that I will not be able to bear it.' From that day the Pelhams seemed determined to test the truth of his statement. It may speak ill for Carteret's penetration that he could believe in the Pelhams' love for him, but perhaps he was thinking of Newcastle's strange behaviour after an election dinner (if it was

an election dinner—Lord Hervey simply calls it a 'Westminster School dinner'), when he went half intoxicated to Walpole and offered himself as a surety for Carteret's good behaviour; but Newcastle had certainly recovered from the effects of convivial intercourse and school patriotism. Animated by the vindictive Walpole, the two brothers intrigued busily against Carteret, and contrived to throw upon him the odium of their most unpopular measures. The Opposition attacked him rancorously for wishing to retain Hanoverian troops in British pay. 'Wat Tyler' wrote to tell him there were 300 men in England who had sworn to tear him limb from limb if he proposed to continue the subsidy. Pitt loudly proclaimed his desire that Carteret sat in the House of Commons 'to hear what I have to say of him, and, if he has the face, to answer it.' Chesterfield greeted the Treaty of Worms with a sneer so coarse that, even in the age of Smollett and Fielding, Parliamentary reporters delicately suppressed it. For a time Carteret's vast personal influence with the King forced the Pelhams to retain him; but his contemptuous neglect of patronage alienated his personal friends. 'What is it to me,' he once said to Lord Chief Justice Willes, 'who is a judge, or who is a bishop?' 'Very well,' replied the latter; 'then those who want to be judges or bishops will apply to those who submit to make it their business.' On November 24, 1744, 'corruption, treachery, and imbecility trampled over patriotism and genius.'

Lord Carteret had ceased to bear that title for a month before he resigned his office. On October 18, he became Lord Granville by the death of his mother at the age of ninety. The old lady had a strength of will and plainness of speech not unlike the formidable Duchess of Marlborough, who died the same day. She was generally known as the 'Queen-Mother,' but in her family circle was spoken of as the 'Arch-dragon'; still she seems to have had a kindly disposition, differing in that from the 'famous Sarah,' and the first Lady Carteret seems to have found her the fussiest and most affectionate of mothers-in-law.

In the course of the next year Lord Granville again became a widower by the sudden death of his young wife. This pathetic and unexpected catastrophe to a droll story seems to have moved even the memoir-writers and gossip-mongers of the day. It would be unnecessary to say that he never married again, were it not that eight years later the voice of Society gave him another wife—a daughter of Lord Portmore. Horace Walpole satirically wrote that 'it was an excellent match—not above two or three and forty years' difference in their ages, or two or three bottles a day in their drinking.' What truth there may have been in the rumour it is impossible to say, but the match never came off. The rest of Granville's political career may be dismissed very briefly. Early in 1746, when the Jacobites were still dangerous, the Pelhams, anxious to let the king feel his dependence upon them, most selfishly and unpatriotically sent in their

resignations. Granville was sent for by the king, and attempted to form a Ministry, but the attempt was obviously futile, and was soon abandoned. In 1751, for some reason not now apparent—perhaps from a wish to pacify their irascible sovereign—the Pelhams sought Granville's support, and he was appointed Lord President of the Council. When congratulated on his new dignity, he emphatically said, 'I am the King's President—I know nothing of the Pelhams,' and jestingly accosted one of the Ministers' understrappers with, 'Well, my Lord, here is the common enemy returned.'

He held his new post for twelve years—in fact to the day of his death; but he was never again the powerful and determined politician he had once been. 'Resigned,' as Carlyle says, 'in a big contemptuous way to have had his really considerable career closed upon him by the smallest of mankind,' he never accepted any of the many easy chances offered to avenge himself upon Newcastle. He remained on friendly terms with all the Cabinet except Lord Hardwicke, whose opposition he silenced by an admirable mixture of wit and learning.

There is little more to tell. By the time Granville reached the age of seventy his health had completely broken down, so that his best friends could not wish to see his life prolonged. 'He was almost bent double, worn to a skeleton, quite lost the use of his legs, and spent the best part of his day in dozing.' But the spirit and patriotism of the old Carteret burnt steadily to the end. A few days before his death, Robert Wood, the Under-Secretary for State, waited upon him with the preliminary articles of the Peace of Paris. 'I found him so languid,' writes Wood, who, it should be noted, was a Homeric scholar of almost European reputation, 'that I proposed postponing my business for another time; but he insisted that I should stay, saying, it "could not prolong his life to neglect his duty," and quoted some lines from Sarpedon's speech in the 12th Book of the Iliad, which have been rendered:

If, comrade mine, by eluding the conflict which now is before us,
We might immortal for ever remain, and Time should not scathe us,
Neither would I myself press on in the front of the battle,
Nor should I urge you on to the fight where a man can win glory,
None the less now, for on every side our lives there encompass
Numberless chances of death, and none may shun or escape them.
Now let us on—

He repeated the last words several times with a calm and determined resignation; and after a serious pause of some minutes he desired to hear the Treaty read, to which he listened with great attention, and recovered spirits enough to declare the approbation "of a dying statesman on the most glorious war and most honourable peace this nation ever saw."

Granville died on January 2, 1763, and was buried in Westminster Abbey; nor among all the glorious dead laid there can one be named more worthy of

honourable remembrance. He was so pre-eminent, both morally and intellectually, in his generation, that it is almost impossible to do him justice without verging on hyperbola. His great fault was superiority—not only to the generally very low level of contemporary political life, but also to ordinary business affairs. When we read that he neglected his coal-merchant till he owed him over £2,000, and had made no effort to get together his third daughter's portion (£5,000) within a week of her marriage, we can see why he failed as a minister. As scholar, linguist, publicist, orator, he had few rivals among his contemporaries; the biographer of Gustavus Adolphus might be cited to speak to his understanding 'the German and Swedish histories to the highest perfection.' Bentley, Swift, Addison, Steel, and Gay were among his intimate friends—Voltaire sent him a copy of the 'Henriade'; he smiled on the earliest efforts of Gibbon. Hutcheson was 'amazed at his acquaintance with philosophical thought.' To sum up, Carteret was one of those disappointing men on whom the early verdict 'he can do anything' has only too soon to be modified into 'he can do anything he chooses.' That he accomplished no permanent work in politics was perhaps due to the fact that the time was not ripe for anything permanent to be accomplished; yet even his admirers must own that he gave foreign affairs too great a preference over home politics.

His sense of the ridiculous was keen to a fault. Ingratitude, treachery, invective, and insult inspired him with laughter rather than vindictiveness. To this he owed his equal temper and imperturbable coolness. Unfortunately he seems to have been as much above most human affairs as he was above most human feelings; but whatever he cared to do he did well.

Posterity has been unjust to him in many ways. It forgets what he was, and remembers him as what he was not—a tippler and a place-hunter. His contemporaries, however prejudiced, were more just. Passing by as 'too hackneyed for quotation' Lord Chesterfield's grudging tribute, and Humphrey Clinker's homely praise, the great Chatham supplies evidence enough of Carteret's sterling greatness: 'I feel a pride in declaring that to his patronage, to his friendship and instruction, I owe whatever I am.'

I cannot close this sketch of Carteret's life without acknowledging my obligations to his recent biographer, Mr. Ballantyne. I have striven manfully to make these articles something more than an abridgment of his book; but I have failed signally in trying to discover a single omission of any importance. His opinions on men and measures may sometimes be debateable, but it would be well indeed were it possible that every 'Westminster Worthy' should find so painstaking and appreciative a biographer.

FLOREAT.

School Notes.

WE have great pleasure in printing the following quotation from a daily paper :

OXFORD, June 2.

CHRIST CHURCH.—The Rev. Thomas Banks Strong, M.A., Theological Tutor of the House, formerly Westminster Junior Student, has been appointed to an Official Studentship for fifteen years. Mr. Strong gained a First Class in Classics at Moderations in 1881, and a Second Class in the Final School of *Literæ Humaniores* in 1883.

Mr. Strong was a Town-boy here, and took his election to Oxford in 1879.

Another subject of congratulation for past and present members of the School is the electoral victory of Mr. James Lowther in the Isle of Thanet.

D. S. Long has gained a First Class in Natural Science.

The provoking state of the weather lately has had a very bad effect on our cricket practice up fields. In Lamprobaties two players enjoyed the rare distinction of remaining not out for a fortnight. Even when play is possible the lookers-on are most uncomfortable, as can be testified by the numerous O.W.W. who were at Lord's for the Oxford and Cambridge match.

Repairs have been executed in the roof of 'Gym.' to prevent the rain from coming in and forming a row of small pools along the foot of the wall. These improvements, however, though salutary in effect, are inconvenient in process, and the noise accompanying them has caused annoyance to more than one master.

Mr. Steen has produced a small publication in pamphlet form, dealing with a few of the difficulties occurring in French composition. We hope this will be of considerable use in preventing the mistakes which are at present, alas ! too frequent.

C. J. M. Fox has fulfilled all expectations of his batting this year. He made 21 and 32 against Sussex, 42 against Notts, and 93 against Yorkshire. We are pretty sure to hear more of him before the season closes.

Election Sunday falls this year on July 29, and we re-assemble on September 27.

Yet another O.W. success to be inserted in our

columns: J. E. Phillimore has got a place in the Entrance Examination for the Indian Civil Service.

The names of those who have been elected to College are as follows: C. A. Kirkpatrick, P. B. Henderson, B. Strauss, A. M. Andrews, P. E. Knapp, C. F. Watherston, and W. H. L. Goolden. F. J. Plaskitt received the one vacant exhibition.

WESTMINSTER AND ETON.

To supplement the articles issued in Nos. 21 and 23 of this volume on the subject of our aquatic contests with Eton, we here insert a list of the results of the races *in extenso* :

Year.	Course.	Winners.
1829.	Putney to Hammersmith and back.	Eton, by $\frac{1}{4}$ mile.
1831.		Eton, by $\frac{1}{4}$ mile.
1836.	Staines Bridge to Penton Hook and back	Eton.
1837.	$2\frac{1}{2}$ miles, starting from Datchet Bridge	Westminster, $3\frac{1}{2}$ lgths.
1842.	Barker's Rails to Putney	Westminster, 35 sec.
1843.	Putney to Mortlake	Eton, by 45 sec.
1845.	Barker's Rails to Putney	Westminster, 65 sec.
1846.	Putney to Mortlake	Westminster, 3 lgths.
1847.	Barker's Rails to Putney	Eton, by $1\frac{1}{2}$ minutes.
1860.	Putney to Chiswick Eyot	Eton, by 50 sec.
1861.	Putney to Chiswick Eyot	Eton, by 4 lengths.
1862.	Putney to Chiswick Eyot	Eton, by 7 lengths.
1864.	Chiswick Eyot to Putney	Eton, by $7\frac{1}{2}$ lengths.

THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER v. UPPER TOOTING.

THIS match took place at Vincent Square on Saturday, June 23, and resulted in a defeat of the School by 171 runs and one wicket. Barwell, winning the toss, sent in Street and Rutherford. After some very steady batting, Rutherford was bowled by a lob from Du Buisson (1 wicket for 41), having played well and coolly for his eight runs. Some minutes afterwards Street was bowled by Felton for a finely-played 33. Oliver succumbed to Du Buisson for 2, and was succeeded by Olivier; but Barwell gave more trouble, making 17 before he was bowled by Felton. With the score now at 69 for 4 wickets, Clapham joined Olivier, and after some free hitting Olivier was unfortunately run out for 14. From this point we made much less resistance. At 95 Daniel's off stump was taken out of the ground, and Armitage, who followed, sent a catch, off the first ball he got, to Titcomb at mid-off. The teams then adjourned for luncheon; and on recommencing, Blaker went to the wicket which Armitage had vacated. Clapham was bowled by Patrick for 17, and was succeeded by Sharpe. Blaker, after adding 8 runs to the score, was bowled in the following over by Felton. The final partnership of Sharpe and Paget was dissolved by Patrick,

who bowled the latter at 129. This last wicket added 17 runs, of which several were extras.

Bonner and Thorne went in first for Upper Tooting against the bowling of Street and Olivier. When Bonner had made 5 runs he put the ball into Rutherford's hands, but the latter dropped it. If this catch had been held, the aspect of the match would have been very different. Six changes of bowling were tried, but in spite of all that could be done Bonner and Thorne could not be separated till the score had reached 110, when the latter was caught at square-leg by Olivier, after contributing 33 runs. Olivier soon dismissed the next two men, who only made 2 runs between them; but the score mounted 25 runs while they were in. Before the fall of the next wicket Blaker was badly hurt by running against the roller whilst trying a catch, and had to retire from the field. Then Rutherford missed another chance, Du Buisson sending the ball right into his hands, and making 20 before he was at last bowled by Street. Veitch then went to the wicket, and after various changes was bowled by Barwell. His innings of 25 included several good hits. Tritton took his place, and in the following over Bonner closed his brilliant career, being bowled by Clapham. In putting together his 144 runs he gave one or two chances besides the one which Rutherford missed so early in the innings. Clapham took Tritton's wicket in his next over, with the score at 261. After Barwell had missed a catch in the deep field, another off Patrick's bat was secured by Thorne, who was playing substitute for Blaker. Felton, who, having succeeded Bonner, was the last to succumb to our bowling, contributed 24 runs, among which were a six, two fours, and three threes. He was bowled by Street after bringing the score up to 306 in conjunction with Titcomb. Thornton went in, but stumps were drawn before any more runs were made.

For our opponents Patrick was the most fortunate bowler, taking 4 wickets for 17 runs; for the School Clapham was the most successful, while Street's batting was better than our men have usually displayed. The fielding of the Westminster Eleven, with one or two exceptions, was poor, more catches being dropped than those already mentioned. We hope to see great improvement in this line before the Charterhouse match takes place, or we shall have very little chance of making a display that will not discredit us.

WESTMINSTER.

F. Street, b. Felton	33
E. Rutherford, b. Du Buisson	8
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Felton	17
F. G. Oliver, b. Du Buisson.....	2
R. E. Olivier, run out	14
E. L. Clapham, b. Patrick	17
E. C. Daniel, b. Patrick	0
P. Armitage, c. Titcomb, b. Patrick	0
H. R. Blaker, b. Felton	8
C. C. Sharpe, not out	5
L. G. Paget, b. Patrick	4
Extras	21

129

UPPER TOOTING.

G. F. Bonner, Esq., b. Clapham	144
F. G. Thorne, Esq., c. Olivier, b. Blaker ...	33
C. Grant-Wilson, Esq., b. Oliver	0
F. C. Ryde, Esq., b. Oliver.....	2
W. H. Du Buisson, Esq., b. Street	20
J. G. Veitch, Esq., b. Oliver ..	25
H. B. Tritton, Esq., b. Clapham	4
E. E. Felton, Esq., b. Street	24
M. N. Patrick, Esq., c. Thorne(sub.) b. Street	5
J. H. Titcomb, Esq., not out	7
R. G. Thornton, Esq., not out	0
Extras	42

Total (for 9 wickets) 306

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

WESTMINSTER.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Felton	26	14	37	3
Bonner	3	0	18	0
Titcomb	5	3	9	0
Du Buisson	9	1	28	2
Patrick	7.4	1	17	4

Titcomb bowled a wide.

UPPER TOOTING.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Street	24.3	4	92	3
Olivier	19	4	54	3
Blaker	11	3	32	1
Clapham	14	3	32	2
Paget	4	0	21	0
Armitage	3	0	9	0
Barwell	5	0	32	0

Olivier bowled two wides; Barwell bowled one wide.

WESTMINSTER v. OLD CARTHUSIANS.

THIS match was played 'up fields' on Saturday, June 30.

Our opponents won the toss, and sent in Wright and Richards against the bowling of Street and Paget. The first men made a good stand and runs came pretty freely, the score amounting to 37 before Richards was run out for 22. Parry, who came next, was also shortly afterwards run out. Prinsep then joined Wright, and these two made a long stand, hitting the bowling all round the field, but, with the score at 98, Prinsep was bowled for a well-played 28. Powell came next, but after making a few runs he was splendidly caught in the slips by Street off Clapham's bowling. And almost directly afterwards Wright was bowled by the same bowler. Jefferson and Woodbridge then made a good stand for the eighth wicket, taking the score from 156 to 214, when Jefferson was bowled by Blaker. Woodbridge was then joined by W. E. Lewis, and these two put on 40 for the last wicket, and the innings closed for the large total of 258. Wright's innings of 61 was a very fine one; among his hits being 6 fours, 4 threes, and 8 twos.

For us, Street and Rutherford went to the wickets first, against the bowling of Richards and Parry, and we began very badly by Rutherford being bowled without scoring in the second over. Barwell came

in next, but he was bowled by Parry after making 7. Clapham then joined Street, who had been playing very well and scoring fast, but, after hitting up 13, he was bowled by an underhand. Olivier came next, and he seemed about to make a good score when he was unluckily run out. Street was soon afterwards caught by Prinsep for a well-played 41. His innings was a very brilliant one, his cutting being especially fine. The next three wickets fell without adding much to the score, but Armitage stayed in a little while, making 11, and Paget made 5. The innings closed for the fair total of 112 for us. Clapham and Street took three wickets apiece, Clapham taking three for 36, and Street three for 62.

OLD CARTHUSIANS.

C. W. Wright, Esq., b. Clapham	61
L. M. Richards, run out	22
C. W. Parry, run out	2
H. S. Prinsep, c. Clapham, b. Street	28
E. O. Powell, c. Street, b. Clapham	11
G. A. Coulby, c. Barwell, b. Oliver	26
W. H. Salmon, c. Armitage, b. Street	6
H. G. Jefferson, b. Blaker	18
C. M. Woodbridge, c. and b. Clapham	37
H. S. Ponsonby, c. Barwell, b. Paget	2
W. E. Lewis, b. Street	25
H. L. Lewis, not out	0
Extras	20
Total	258

WESTMINSTER.

F. Street, c. Prinsep, b. Woodbridge	41
E. O. Rutherford, b. Parry	0
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Parry	7
F. G. Oliver, b. Richards	1
E. L. Clapham, b. Ponsonby	13
R. E. Olivier, run out	13
H. R. Blaker, c. H. L. Lewis, b. Richards	2
E. C. Daniel, b. Parry	5
A. G. Lambert, l.b.w. Parry	1
P. Armitage, c. Prinsep, b. Richards	11
A. E. Balfour, not out	3
L. C. Paget, b. Parry	5
Extras	10
Total	112

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

OLD CARTHUSIANS.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Street	33	8	62	3
Paget	26	1	76	1
Blaker	9	2	40	1
Clapham	16.2	5	36	3
Oliver	11	4	24	1

Clapham bowled a no ball.

WESTMINSTER.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Richards	23	6	42	3
Parry	18	7	29	5
Ponsonby	4	0	19	1
Woodbridge	9	3	12	1

Woodbridge bowled two no balls.

WESTMINSTER v. MASTERS' XI.

THIS match took place 'up fields' on Wednesday, July 4, on a very wet wicket, and ended in a decisive victory for the School, it being the only win of the season as yet. The Masters brought into the field a team consisting of 4 Masters, 5 O.W.W., one present Westminster, and another. They did not give the School much trouble, and were dismissed for 72, E. G. Moon making a good stand at the last wicket. Barwell then sent in Oliver and Street, both of whom played exceedingly well, and were not separated until they had knocked up 96. Street was then bowled with a well-played score of 42. Olivier did not remain long with Oliver, and gave way to Clapham. Then Oliver was immediately bowled after a fine innings of 57, the first 50 this season. Barwell then joined Clapham, but the latter kept the bowling to himself, and in twenty-five minutes knocked up his 44. Barwell also played well, and was only dismissed by a sharp piece of stumping by W. R. Moon. The tail of the Eleven, as usual, were next to useless, and all were out for 213. The School thus were victorious by 141 runs. The fielding of the Masters' Eleven was good, especially that of Mr. Fox and Mr. Raynor. Their batting was not so successful, the Masters themselves only contributing one run. Barwell's wicket-keeping showed tremendous improvement. The average of extras in all the former matches was over 27, there being a total of 212. In this match there were only two extras. The fielding all round was much improved.

Subjoined is the score :

MASTERS' XI.

H. A. Haines, Esq., b. Paget	7
R. Tanner, Esq., c. and b. Street	0
F. G. Thorne, Esq., c. Clapham, b. Street	12
E. L. Fox, Esq., c. Armitage, b. Street	1
W. R. Moore, Esq., st. Barwell, b. Paget	5
H. B. Street, Esq., c. Hamilton, b. Street	1
P. C. Probyn, Esq., c. Barwell, b. Paget	0
E. Tanner, Esq., c. Barwell, b. Street	11
Rev. A. G. S. Raynor, b. Clapham	0
E. G. Moon, Esq., b. Clapham	26
H. C. Witherby, not out	7
Extras	2
Total	72

WESTMINSTER.

F. G. Oliver, c. Street, b. Haines	57
F. Street, b. H. B. Street	42
R. E. Olivier, c. R. Tanner, b. Haines	3
E. L. Clapham, b. Street	44
C. S. W. Barwell, st. W. R. Moon, b. Thorne	5
E. V. Rutherford, b. Street	1
A. G. Lambert, b. Street	0
P. Armitage, st. W. Moon, b. Haines	7
R. E. A. Hamilton, c. W. R. Moon, b. Thorne	4
L. C. Paget, not out	0
Extras	21

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

MASTERS' XI.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
F. Street	16	6	32	5
L. C. Paget.....	11	2	24	3
E. L. Clapham	5·3	1	15	2

WESTMINSTER.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
E. Tanner	18	7	26	0
H. Haines	17·2	3	56	3
E. G. Moon.....	7	0	28	0
P. C. Probyn	4	0	20	0
H. B. Street	16	6	23	5
W. R. Moon	2	0	14	0
F. G. Thorne	10	3	26	2

Probyn and Thorne each bowled a wide.
Street bowled a no ball.
E. G. Moon bowled two no balls.

WESTMINSTER v. AUTHENTICS.

THIS match was played on Saturday, July 7, in rather dull weather. Authentics, winning the toss, went to the wicket with Llewelyn and Woodbridge. Both these batsmen made a firm stand against the bowling, although Llewelyn only made 10, of which 8 were singles. When he was caught, Britten-Holmes joined Woodbridge, who was playing a very good game; Holmes, however, was soon bowled by Paget for 3. H. H. Castens made a useful 13, and was caught by Lambert off a high ball of Clapham's; Coles and Christopherson did not offer any resistance to the bowling, Clapham getting rid of the former for 7, and Street of the latter for 0. Baker was badly run out for 10, and shortly after Cousins was dismissed by one of Paget's peculiar balls after adding 16 to the score. R. Berens played splendidly at this part of the game, putting together a most useful 20. H. Stone made a single, and Hon. T. Powys 14, after being badly missed four times. On the latter becoming l.b.w. to Paget, the innings closed for 121.

The first innings of Westminster was started at a quarter-past three with Oliver and Street, Woodbridge and Christopherson sharing the attack. Disasters were in store, for, with the score at 3, Christopherson bowled Oliver and caught and bowled Rutherford in the same over. After Barwell had hit a four to leg, Christopherson was again successful, bowling Street. Clapham and Barwell now got together, and matters improved slightly, Woodbridge soon retiring in favour of Llewelyn. The change was disastrous to Westminster, the new bowler bowling Clapham and Lambert with consecutive balls, the score being 28. Before another was added Christopherson bowled Barwell and Daniel, and 7 wickets were down for 28. Armitage and Olivier took the score to 37 before the latter succumbed to Llewelyn. Blaker left in the same over, but Armitage succeeded in obtaining four more runs before Paget was clean bowled by Llewelyn, the innings thus closing, after an hour's play, for the paltry total of 41. Christopherson's and Llewelyn's

bowling analyses speak for themselves—5 wickets for 3 runs, in particular, being not an everyday performance.

Being in a minority of 80, we had to follow on, and, as generally happens, a marked improvement showed itself. Street and Oliver made a very fair start, causing 30 to go up before the latter was bowled by Stone for 11. The next four batsmen, with the exception of Rutherford, who contributed 11, did not stay with Street long; but when Olivier came in, the bowling was completely mastered, and no other wicket fell, the total at the drawing of stumps being 123 for 5 wickets, Olivier not out 18. Street had played capital cricket all through and had placed 54 to his credit, having done much to compensate for the wretched performance of the School in the first innings.

AUTHENTICS.

W. D. Llewelyn, Esq., c. Clapham, b. Street	10
C. M. Woodbridge, Esq., c. Oliver, b. Paget	35
E. Britten-Holmes, Esq., b. Paget.....	3
H. H. Castens, Esq., c. Lambert, b. Clapham	13
P. Coles, Esq., b. Clapham.....	7
P. Christopherson, Esq., b. Street	0
P. J. Baker, Esq., run out	0
F. C. Cousins, Esq., b. Paget	16
R. Berens, Esq., not out	20
H. T. Stone, Esq., c. Blaker, b. Street	1
Hon. T. Powys, l.b.w., b. Paget	14
Extras	2

121

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.		Second Innings.	
F. Street, b. Christopherson...	5 not out	54	
F. Oliver, b. Christopherson...	0	b. Stone	11
E. B. Rutherford, c. and b. Christopherson	0	b. Baker	11
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Christopherson	10	c. Baker, b. Coles ...	0
E. L. Clapham, b. Llewelyn...	8	b. Coles...	4
A. G. Lambert, b. Llewelyn...	0	run out	3
R. E. Olivier, b. Llewelyn ...	1	not out	18
E. C. Daniel, b. Christopherson	0		
P. Armitage, not out.....	7	did not bat.	
H. R. Blaker, b. Llewelyn ...	0		
L. C. Paget, b. Llewelyn.....	0		
Extras.....	10		24
	41		123

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

AUTHENTICS.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Street	25	6	55	3
Paget	23	5	47	4
Clapham	9	3	13	2
Olivier.....	1	0	1	0

WESTMINSTER.

First Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Christopherson	14	3	15	5
C. M. Woodbridge...	8	2	13	0
W. D. Llewelyn.....	5·3	4	3	5

Woodbridge bowled a wide.

Second Innings.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
Christopherson	11	7	13	0
E. Britten-Holmes...	4	1	9	0
H. Stone.....	7	2	12	1
P. Coles	11	5	19	2
P. T. Baker	9	4	9	1
Cousins	6	2	15	0
Llewelyn.....	12	5	28	0

WESTMINSTER *v.* CHARTERHOUSE.

THIS match was played at Godalming on Friday and Saturday, July 13 and 14, in splendid weather. A start was not made till after lunch, when Barwell, having won the toss, decided on the risky experiment of putting his opponents in first; the result, however, of their first innings shows that this was the best thing that could have been done.

Gatehouse and Stanbrough first represented Charterhouse, our bowlers being Street and Paget. Gatehouse cut the former's third ball for 3, and in the next over got Paget to leg for a couple; but only one more run had been added when Stanbrough was caught at the wicket (6-1-0). Rayner came in, but after making 3 was bowled by Street (9-2-3). Price was next, and drove the second ball he received for 2; two singles were then added, and a 3 off Paget by Gatehouse, but in the next over of Street's he was clean bowled (16-3-10). Streatfeild followed in, and was missed in the deep-field by Clapham before he had scored. Then Price, after making 3, was caught in the slips off Paget (22-4-6). Shortly after Foster's arrival, Streatfeild drove Street for 4, but in the next over was well caught by Daniel from a lofty drive (27-5-7). At this total two more wickets fell, Gilliat being out *l.b.w.* to Street (a decision which the batsman did not seem to relish at all), and Crossman bowled (27-7-0). Foster was then driven back into his wicket by a good ball from Paget, having been in some time for his 4 runs. Prince Albert came in next, but soon lost Halsted, who was run out in attempting a short run (37-9-4). With the arrival of Woodbridge the first stand of the match was made, Prince Albert playing steadily and with confidence. At 51 Clapham took the ball from Paget, and from the last ball of his first over the Prince was out to a smart catch at slip, having played an exceedingly useful innings of 18. The innings thus closed for 55. Street bowled exceedingly well throughout the innings, as his analysis will show, and Paget's slows puzzled the batsmen a great deal.

Westminster began their innings with Oliver and Street, but the start was unfortunate, as Streatfeild with his second ball clean bowled Street, and in his next over Rutherford shared the same fate (0-2-0). Barwell now came in and drove Streatfeild well for 4, but soon lost Oliver, who was caught off a hard return (9-3-2). The next to go was Barwell, being bowled by Rayner (9-4-7). Ash, though he kept up his wicket for some time, did not score, and mat-

ters were beginning to look serious when Clapham began to hit, and being well backed up by Olivier, the score slowly rose until at 29 Streatfeild bowled Clapham for a well-played 18. Daniel, who was next in, made 7 by lucky snicks before being bowled (39-7-7). Armitage now joined Olivier, and a stand was made, both playing well, but when the score had risen to 56 Olivier was bowled for a useful 15. Balfour was out at 62, and Paget one run later, and the innings closed for 63, or 8 runs to the good, Armitage carrying out his bat for 10.

Charterhouse commenced their second innings with Prince Albert and Gatehouse, but the score was only 4 when Street bowled the latter. Rayner came in, but soon lost the company of the Prince, who was completely beaten by a splendid ball from Paget (11-2-6). Price came in and a good stand was made, and although a double change of bowling was tried, it was not till the score had reached 67 that Rayner was bowled by Oliver for 27. Streatfeild, after making 14, was caught at deep extra cover off Paget. Foster made 2 and then returned the ball to Olivier. Gilliat came in, and shortly after Price was well caught by Olivier in the deep field; he had played a splendid innings of 43. Crossman now joined Gilliat, and together they raised the score to 125, despite changes of bowling, when stumps were drawn for the day. On Saturday play was resumed at two o'clock, and 14 more runs were added, when Crossman was out for 25, being caught by Oliver at cover point. Stanbrough went in, and after 10 runs had been put on, Gilliat skied one and was easily caught by Barwell (149-8-24). The other two wickets soon fell, and the innings closed for 160. Stanbrough not out 12.

Westminster, wanting 153 to win, sent in Oliver and Street; but Oliver, when the score was only 2, returned the ball to Streatfeild, the stroke being a very poor one. Armitage helped Street to raise the score to 17 before the latter was bowled. At 22 Armitage was out, having made 13 in good style. Clapham came in, and after 14 runs had been added, Ash was beaten by Rayner (36-4-7). Barwell came next and played well, but lost Clapham, who, in cutting a ball, was well taken by Halsted at point (40-5-7). Daniel, who came in, made a single off Rayner, but in the next over was clean bowled by Streatfeild. Olivier did not stay long, being out in a similar way (48-7-0). Rutherford came in and played carefully, while Barwell hit, and the score rose to 63 before Streatfeild bowled Barwell with a splendid ball. Balfour quickly made a couple of 3's, and was then bowled; the last wicket, however, gave some trouble, and 10 runs were added before Paget was out, Rutherford taking out his bat for 9. The innings realised 79 runs, Charterhouse thus winning an interesting match by 73 runs. This victory is mainly due to Streatfeild's fine bowling, his 17 wickets only costing 67 runs. The bowling of Street and Paget is also worthy of notice, both of them doing exceedingly well. Our fielding on the first day was very good, but

on Saturday it was a little slack. Another noteworthy feature is that Charterhouse only gained two extras off us—a bye and a leg-bye—which says a lot for our wicket-keeping. In conclusion we must thank the Cartusians for the kind and hospitable way in which they entertained us, and hope that next year the match will have a closer finish.

CHARTERHOUSE.

<i>First Innings.</i>		<i>Second Innings.</i>	
R. Gatehouse, b. Street	10	b. Street	1
W. F. H. Stanbrough, c. Barwell, b. Paget	0	not out	12
C. M. Rayner, b. Street	3	b. Oliver	27
H. Price, c. Street, b. Paget	6	c. Olivier, b. Oliver	43
E. C. Streatfeild, c. Daniel, b. Paget	7	c. Street, b. Paget	14
A. Foster, hit wicket, b. Paget	4	c. and b. Oliver	2
W. E. Gilliat, l.b.w., b. Street	0	c. Barwell, b. Street	24
D. Crossman, b. Street	0	c. Oliver, b. Paget	25
H. H. Prince Albert, c. Street, b. Clapham	18	b. Paget	6
E. F. Halsted, run out	4	c. and b. Street	2
G. H. Woodbridge, not out	3	b. Street	2
Extras	0		2
	55		160

WESTMINSTER.

<i>First Innings.</i>		<i>Second Innings.</i>	
F. Street, b. Streatfeild	0	b. Streatfeild	6
F. G. Oliver, c. and b. Streatfeild	2	c. and b. Streatfeild	2
E. V. B. Rutherford, b. Streatfeild	0	not out	9
C. S. W. Barwell, b. Rayner	7	b. Streatfeild	18
E. L. Clapham, b. Streatfeild	18	c. Halsted, b. Streatfeild	7
C. W. Ash, b. Streatfeild	0	b. Rayner	7
R. E. Olivier, b. Streatfeild	15	b. Streatfeild	0
E. C. Daniel, b. Streatfeild	7	b. Streatfeild	1
P. Armitage, not out	10	b. Streatfeild	13
A. E. Balfour, b. Streatfeild	0	b. Streatfeild	6
L. C. Paget, b. Rayner	0	b. Streatfeild	6
Extras	4		4
	63		79

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

CHARTERHOUSE.

<i>First Innings.</i>				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
F. Street	22	15	19	4
L. C. Paget	21	5	32	4
E. L. Clapham	1	0	4	1

<i>Second Innings.</i>				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
F. Street	32	15	42	4
L. C. Paget	22	2	67	3
E. L. Clapham	5	2	11	0
F. G. Oliver	12	3	34	3
P. Armitage	6	3	4	0

WESTMINSTER.

<i>First Innings.</i>				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
E. C. Streatfeild	20	9	26	8
C. M. Rayner	19.2	7	33	2

<i>Second Innings.</i>				
	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wickets
E. C. Streatfeild	26.1	9	41	9
C. M. Rayner	26	12	34	1

Obituary.

WE have to record here the recent death of the Ven. HENRY SANDERS, Archdeacon of Exeter. He got second into College in 1820, and took his election to Oxford third in 1824. He obtained his M.A. in 1830, and some time afterwards was appointed head-master of Blundell's School, Tiverton. On his retirement in 1847, he was presented with the living of Sowton, and not long after this was appointed Archdeacon. He was very well known throughout the diocese, and was generally respected and beloved for his amiable qualities and conscientious hard work. His death took place on June 24, at the age of 85.

Correspondence.

FROM OUR OXFORD CORRESPONDENT.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—The First Annual O.W. Dinner came off on Friday, June 15, at the Clarendon Hotel. The chair was taken by C. C. J. Webb, and we sat down thirty-three, including five guests from Cambridge and two from the School. The Chairman, in proposing the first toast, 'The Queen,' alluded to the bereavement which had that day fallen on the Royal Family. Later in the evening Shore played a violin solo, and there were several songs, of which I will only specify the 'Floreat,' which appeared in *The Elizabethan* last year, and which is now so popular at Cambridge. It was sung by the composer, the author also being present. A few Oxford O.W.W. disapproved of the change from the wine to this dinner, and stayed away. The majority of the absentees, however, approved of the dinner, but were unable, from various other reasons, to be present. The dinner was certainly a success, and it would be ungrateful to the first year's committee to lay too much stress on the fact that the meal itself was expensive and bad. Oxford O.W.W. are much behind their Cambridge brethren in point of unity, and this annual dinner is very much to be welcomed as a step in the right direction.

C. G. Moore was bracketed head for a science scholarship at the House, but unfortunately was beaten on a second trial. There are, I think, about thirteen of our number who are not coming up next term, five of whom have been in for Honour Greats. I will give a complete list in my next letter. P. M. Francke took his degree on June 30.

Believe me, yours truly,
July 9, BOSPOROS,

FROM OUR CAMBRIDGE CORRESPONDENT,

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—The May Term we have just ended has brought with it its usual record of degrees and triposes, and it may be convenient to begin this letter with a summary of these.

In the Mathematical Tripos Watt appeared among the Senior Optimes, and R. F. Markham in the Juniors. We

had no serious representative in the Classical Tripos, Bompas anticipating his turn by a year, in consequence of his duties as an Indian civilian; he succeeded, however, we were pleased to see, in obtaining a place in the first division of the third class on his two years' work. In Natural Science, Long has a first, and, in Theology, Sharpe a third; moreover, C. F. Ingram has passed the examination for the LL.M. degree. All these, with the exception of Bompas, have taken their degrees, in addition to R. and A. Armitage. It would, however, be premature to lament their going down, for we hope that several of them may be in residence for another year. We regret, however, that Denman has finally left us, having been ordained to the parish of Oundle on Trinity Sunday last.

The Third Trinity boat, with its two Westminster, Smyth and R. Armitage, improved wonderfully as the races drew near, and had no difficulty in keeping its place. We hope we may get some promising oars from Westminster next October, who will try for a place in the eight, which, says report, is going to put the Eton and Westminster colours at the head of the river next year.

The Westminster Club met on May 2 in Boyd-Carpenter's Rooms at King's, we regret to say, for the last time; for, after some discussion of the School Mission, Boyd-Carpenter announced his resignation of the presidency, and we were unable to induce him to change his mind. Buttar was therefore elected into his room, and Street into the secretaryship vacated by the new president. The meeting then, after passing a vote of hearty thanks to the retiring officers, proceeded to discuss a proposal to play matches at cricket, and the proposers succeeded in electing themselves a captain. As no more has been heard of this development of energy, it is presumed the project was found impracticable, and has been allowed to die a decent death. A good display of Westminster cricket, however, has been made by Sherring, Veitch, Street, and Stevens, representing Trinity and other elevens.

Meanwhile, we await the October term in hope that the electors will send us a goodly complement of scholars, and that the unwary major candidates will not be distracted from the better way by the new exhibitions which Oxford has laid its snares in their path.

TRIN. COLL. CAMB.

THE BAT-ROOM.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—My attention was drawn the other day to the general aspect and state of the bat-rooms, &c., by the adverse remarks of certain of our opponents in a recent match 'up fields.' It cannot be of any considerable credit to a great school when strangers to the cricket-ground compare the buildings which stand in place of a pavilion to cow-sheds and Irish mud-huts. Still, if the School are unable to have a proper pavilion, it is no reason whatsoever why their dressing-room and lavatory should suffer from the want of cleanliness. After a game of cricket or football, one is apt to long for something in the way of a wash; but, at Vincent Square, this is undertaken under great inconvenience and difficulty. Never in the recollection of the writer has there been seen such a useful commodity as a nailbrush in the lavatory, while there is, with an occasional exception, a general and despairing cry from intending washers

of 'What! no soap!' but after a long and tiring hunt a muddy cake of Windsor is sometimes produced from under the basins. Hot water is a luxury unknown, and the less said about the uninviting pieces of calico which hang for towels the better.

The writer is perfectly aware that to pull down those unsightly-looking sheds, and erect a pavilion worthy of the School, would be a matter of considerable expense, but this cannot be urged to excuse the state of the lavatory. Sponges are inexpensive articles; a nailbrush can be procured for 6d., while a very small outlay will stock the lavatory with soap (I recommend Pears') for many a season.

I had almost forgotten to mention the fact that, as a rule, only two and sometimes only even one basin can be used on account of the important fact that the necessary plug is an absentee, perhaps having gone out for a stroll with the soap.

It is time that the attention of those in responsibility should be called to these disgraceful defects, and it is to be hoped that in a short time there should be no necessity for complaints of this kind.

Trusting sincerely that this may be the case, and apologising for trespassing to so great an extent upon your valuable space,

I remain, dear Sir,

Yours very truly,

THE 'STROLLER.'

St. Peter's College, Westminster.

Our Contemporaries.

WE beg to acknowledge the receipt of the following: *The Blue, Carthusian, Wykehamist, Marlburian, Durham University Journal, Barnet Elizabethan, Rossallian, Shirburnian (2), Meteor, Newtonian, and Haileyburian.*

NOTICES.

All contributions to the October number of *The Elizabethan* to be sent in by October 3 to the Editor, St. Peter's College, Westminster.

All other communications must be addressed to the Secretary of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster, and on no account to the Editor or printers.

The yearly subscription to *The Elizabethan* is 4s. It is requested that all subscriptions now falling due, or not yet paid up, should be forwarded to F. STREET, Treasurer of *The Elizabethan*, St. Peter's College, Westminster. Post-Office Orders to be made payable at the Broad Sanctuary Post Office, Westminster.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his contributors or correspondents.

Contributions cannot be inserted unless they are written on one side of the paper only.

A few photographs of the cast of 'The Phormio,' 1887, may still be had on application to the Captain, St. Peter's College, Westminster.

Moreat.