



# The Elizabethan.

Vol. XIV. No. 4.

WESTMINSTER, MAY 1913.

Price 6d.

## THE ATHLETIC SPORTS.

THE sports were held at Vincent Square on April 4 and 5 in cold but fine weather. The conditions for running were not of the best, the wind being strong and the ground being heavy; but in spite of this the performances were well above the average. Taken all round, the sports were a great success in their results. There was only one actual record—that being Forbes's throw of the hammer—but the general standard was high, and there is hardly one of the winning performances that we need blush for, which cannot be said for last year's sports. Greatest honours are due to Pink, who won the Open Hundred Yards and the Open Quarter in excellent times, won the Long

Jump with a jump of over nineteen feet, and came second in the Mile. Besson also ran admirably, winning the Mile and running second in the Open Hundred Yards and the Open Quarter. These two between them secured the Sports Cup for Rigaud's. Creditable performances were also achieved by Partridge in the Open Hurdles and Plaskitt in the Half Mile with Hurdles; while Ainsworth-Davis and Cargill won the High Jump and Throwing the Cricket Ball respectively. Of the Juniors, Martyr, Robinson and Spence were the most conspicuous. Robinson in particular showed himself a promising athlete: he won the Hundred Yards and Hurdle Race under 15 and came second in the Quarter Mile under 15.



Mr. Tanner presided in the absence of the Headmaster, while E. Horne, Esq., M.P., kindly gave away the prizes, and expressed his pleasure in a few humorous words. We hope that he will accept our best thanks for his kind assistance. Special thanks are also due to Mr. O. R. Borradaile and Mr. L. A. M. Fevez for 'starting' and judging respectively, and to Messrs. R. T. Squire and A. T. Willett for their useful help. The Stewards were: A. S. Forbes, J. W. McCulloch, A. C. V. Miles, R. S. Partridge (Hon. Secretary), F. W. Pink, G. B. F. Rudd, and J. M. Troutbeck.

#### FRIDAY, APRIL 4.

##### 1.—300 YARDS HANDICAP. OVER 16.

Ainsworth-Davis (2 yards), 1; Freeston (scratch) 2.  
Time, 36 $\frac{1}{2}$  secs.

Ainsworth-Davis won easily, but Freeston came up well at the finish.

##### 2.—LONG JUMP. UNDER 15.

Spence (G.), 1; Messinesi (R.), 2. Distance, 15 ft. 3 in.

A good junior jump, though we hoped for something better from Spence, who cleared 15 ft. 5 in. last year.

##### 3.—HALF MILE WITH HURDLES. OPEN.

###### CHALLENGE CUP.

Plaskitt (A.H.), 1; Hurst-Brown (R.), 2; Ainsworth-Davis (H.B.), 3. Time, 2 mins. 32 $\frac{1}{2}$  secs.

Cargill set a fast pace, but after the first round gave way to Hurst-Brown, who kept the lead till the last few hurdles, when he was passed by Plaskitt.

##### 4.—HURDLE RACE. UNDER 15. SEMI-FINALS.

*Heat 1.*—Leighton (A.H.), 1; Messinesi (R.), 2.  
Time, 21 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 2.*—Robinson (K.S.), 1; Wilson (H.B.), 2.  
Time, 20 $\frac{1}{5}$  secs.

##### 5.—100 YARDS. OPEN. HEATS. CHALLENGE CUP.

*Heat 1.*—Besson (R), 1; Forbes (H.B.), 2.  
Time, 11 $\frac{1}{5}$  secs. Won easily.

*Heat 2.*—McCulloch (A.H.), Miles (G.), dead heat.  
Time, 11 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 3.*—Pink (R), 1; Partridge (K.S.), 2.  
Time, 10 $\frac{4}{5}$  secs.

##### 6.—HIGH JUMP. UNDER 15.

Wilson (H.B.), 1; Spence (G.), 2. Height, 4 ft. 5 in.

Not a very good jump. Spence was again disappointing, seeing that he cleared 4 ft. 7 in. last year.

##### 7.—HURDLE RACE. OPEN. HEATS. CHALLENGE CUP.

*Heat 1.*—Barraclough (K.S.), 1; Carless (A.H.), 2.  
Time, 18 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs. Won easily.

*Heat 2.*—Dawson (K.S.), 1; Cobbold, F. (R.), 2.  
Time, 20 secs.

*Heat 3.*—McCulloch (A.H.), 1; Miles (G.), 2.  
Time, 19 $\frac{1}{5}$  secs. Won by inches.

*Heat 4.*—Plaskitt, G. (A.H.), 1; Partridge (K.S.), 2.  
Time, 18 $\frac{3}{5}$  secs. Won easily. Second and third were close.

*Heat 5.*—Waterson (K.S.), 1; Plow (R.), 2.  
Time, 19 secs.

##### 8.—100 YARDS. UNDER 15. HEATS.

*Heat 1.*—Forster (A.H.), 1; Dobbie (A.H.), 2.  
Time, 14 secs.

*Heat 2.*—Macfarlane (H.B.), 1; Leighton (A.H.), 2.  
Time, 13 secs.

*Heat 3.*—Matthews (R.), 1; Messinesi (R.), 2.  
Time, 12 $\frac{3}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 4.*—Robinson (K.S.), 1; Oliver (G.), 2.  
Time, 12 $\frac{1}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 5.*—Storrs (K.S.), 1; Thomas (R.), 2.  
Time, 13 $\frac{1}{5}$  secs.

Robinson and Matthews both showed good form and won their races with ease.

##### 9. THROWING THE CRICKET BALL. OPEN. CHALLENGE CUP.

Cargill (K.S.), 1; Forbes (H.B.), 2. Distance, 85 ft. 4 in.

Cargill just beat Forbes' best throw with his last throw. His performance was distinctly below the average.

##### 10.—100 YARDS. UNDER 16. HEATS.

*Heat 1.*—Bennett (R.), 1; Birdwood (K.S.), 2.  
Time, 12 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 2.*—Julian (H.B.), 1; Brodie (A.H.), 2.  
Time, 11 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 3.*—Martyr (A.H.), 1; Kerpen (R.), 2.  
Time 11 $\frac{1}{5}$  secs.

*Heat 4.*—Rathbone (A.H.), 1; Tenison (A.H.), 2.  
Time 12 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs.

##### 11.—440 YARDS. OPEN. CHALLENGE CUP.

Prize presented by the Rev. A. G. S. Raynor.  
Pink (R.), 1; Besson (R.), 2; McCulloch (A.H.), 3.  
Time, 55 $\frac{3}{5}$  secs.

Pink went ahead at the start, running at a very good pace, which he kept up till the end. Besson ran well and finished not far behind him. A bad third.

##### 12.—150 YARDS. UNDER 14.

Mackinnon-Wood (R.), 1; Berryman (R.), 2.  
Time, 19 $\frac{2}{5}$  secs.

Won by a good 5 yards in very fair time.



13.—HALF-MILE HANDICAP. OPEN.

Prize presented by R. Tanner, Esq.

Philpot (40 yards), 1; Hodges (5 yards), 2; Oliver (40 yards), 3.  
Time, 2 mins. 20 secs.

There was a very large entry, but half the competitors fell out after the first round. Hodges with only 5 yards start ran excellently, being only just beaten by Philpot.

14.—SERVANTS' RACE.

Brown, 1.

SATURDAY, APRIL 5.

15.—HURDLE RACE. OPEN. SEMI-FINALS.

Heat 1.—Barracough (K.S.), 1.

Heat 2.—Partridge (K.S.), 1.

Heat 3.—Waterson (K.S.), 1.

Plaskitt had very bad luck in his heat in falling badly over one of the hurdles. He was ahead at the time and looked like winning.

16.—100 YARDS. UNDER 16. SEMI-FINALS.

Heat 1.—Julian (H.B.), 1; Rathbone (A.H.), 2.

Time, 11<sup>2</sup>/<sub>5</sub> secs.

Heat 2.—Martyr (A.H.), 1; Brodie (A.H.), 2.

Time, 11<sup>2</sup>/<sub>5</sub> secs.

17.—HIGH JUMP. OPEN. CHALLENGE CUP.

Ainsworth-Davis (H.B.), 1; Plaskitt G. (A.H.), 2.

Height, 5 ft. 1 in.

A very fair jump.

18.—HURDLE RACE. UNDER 15. FINAL HEAT.

Robinson (K.S.), 1; Leighton (A.H.), 2. Time, 20 secs.

A very good junior time. Robinson led all the way and finished a good 5 yards ahead of Leighton.

19.—100 YARDS. OPEN. FINAL HEAT.

Pink (R.), 1; Besson (R.), 2. Time, 10<sup>2</sup>/<sub>5</sub> secs.

Pink and Besson ran neck to neck the whole way and quite left the rest behind. Pink finished just ahead of Besson.

20.—THROWING THE CRICKET BALL. UNDER 15.

Ayland (H.B.), 1; Spence (G.), 2. Distance, 67 ft.

Quite a moderate throw.

21.—100 YARDS. UNDER 16. FINAL HEAT.

Martyr (A.H.), 1; Julian (H.B.), 2. Time, 11<sup>2</sup>/<sub>5</sub> secs.

Martyr easily beat his rivals thanks to his huge stride, but Julian ran well, having no difficulty in securing the second place.

22.—HURDLE RACE. OPEN. FINAL HEAT.

Partridge (K.S.), 1; Barracough (K.S.), 2.

Time, 18<sup>2</sup>/<sub>5</sub> secs.

A very good race. Partridge beat Barracough by 2 ft., who was inches ahead of Waterson. Waterson was really the best hurdler, his difficulty being that his stride was not quite long enough.

23.—LONG JUMP. OPEN. CHALLENGE CUP.

Pink (R.), 1; Cargill (K.S.), 2. Distance, 19 ft. 4 in.

Pink was in quite a different class to the rest of the competitors. His jump of 19 ft. 4 in. has rarely been exceeded at the School.

24.—100 YARDS. UNDER 15. FINAL HEAT.

Robinson (K.S.), 1; Storrs (K.S.), 2. Time 12<sup>2</sup>/<sub>5</sub> secs.

Robinson won easily, but Storrs only just secured second place.

25.—THROWING THE HAMMER. OPEN.  
CHALLENGE HAMMER.

Forbes (H.B.), 1; Partridge (K.S.), 2. Distance, 84 ft. 5 in.

A record throw for the School. Partridge also did a good throw of over 80 ft.

26.—QUARTER MILE. UNDER 16.

Martyr (A.H.), 1; Julian (H.B.), 2. Time 63 secs.

A very fair time. Hunt went ahead at the start, Julian passing him at the last bend, but Martyr sprinted well on the straight, and finished well.

27.—ONE MILE FLAT RACE. OPEN.  
CHALLENGE CUP.

Besson (R.), 1; Pink (R.), 2; Hodges (K.S.), 3.

Time, 5 mins. 2 secs.

Troutbeck led for the first three laps, but was then passed by Pink and Besson, and later by Hurst-Brown and Hodges. Besson won eventually by about 5 yards. Pink was second, with Hodges very close behind him.

28.—QUARTER MILE. UNDER 15. CHALLENGE CUP.

Oliver (G.), 1; Robinson (K.S.), 2. Time 65 secs.

Oliver won by about 4 yards.

29.—CONSOLATION RACE.

Mansbridge 1; Gordon 2.

30.—O.W.W. RACE.

W. B. Harris, 1; Denza, 2.

31.—BAND RACE.

TUG-OF-WAR:—

K.S.S. v. T.BB.

	st.	lb.		st.	lb.
1. Cargill, R. E. D.	11	10	1. Forbes, A. S. ...	12	8
2. Durrant, W. B. W.	12	3	2. Gordon, A. G. ...	12	6
3. Thompson, M. A.	12	5	3. Plaskitt, G. M. ...	12	5
4. Crow, A. D. ...	11	8	4. Ealand, V. F. ...	12	6
5. Wilcox, K. T. D.	13	0	5. Miles, A. C. V. ...	11	5
6. Partridge, R. S.	13	0	6. Hurst-Brown, C.	11	5

K.S.S. won (2—0).

Last year K.S.S. won (2—0).



POINTS FOR THE HOUSE CHALLENGE CUP.

EVENT ...	Throwing the Cricket Ball—under 15.	Half-Mile with Hurdles	High Jump—Under 15.	Throwing the Hammer.	Long Jump—Open.	Long Jump—Under 15.	100 Yards—Under 16.	Throwing the Cricket Ball—Open.	Quarter-Mile—Open.	Quarter-Mile—Under 16	100 Yards—Open.	Hurdle Race—Under 15	High Jump—Open.	Hurdle Race—Open.	150 Yards—Under 14.	Quarter-Mile—Under 15	One Mile.	100 Yards—Under 15.	TOTAL.
Points	3 1	5 3 1	3 1	5 3	5 3	3 1	4 2	5 3	5 3 1	4 2	5 3	3 1	5 3	5 3	3 1	3 1	5 3 1	3 1	115
K.S.S. ... (Holders)				3	3			5				3		8		1	1	4	28
Ashburnham...		5					4		1	4		1	3						18
Grant's ...	1		4			3										3			11
Rigaud's ...		3			5	1			8		8				4		8		37
H.BB. ...	3	1		5			2	3		2			5						21

INTER-HOUSE TUGS-OF-WAR. SEMI-FINAL.

(A) Ashburnham v. H.BB.

	st. lb.		st. lb.
1. Plaskitt, G. M. ...	12 0	1. Forbes, A. S. ...	12 0
2. Graham, E. ...	12 2	2. Ashley, J. O. M. ...	11 10
3. Pite, A. G. ...	11 8	3. Garvin, R. G. ...	11 6
4. Martyr, J. B. ...	11 0	4. Aitken, J. D. ...	10 7
5. Cardew, C. G. ...	10 5	5. Pulvertaft, A. ...	10 7
6. McCulloch, J. W. ...	10 0	6. Champness, N. C. ...	10 4

H.BB. won (2-0).

(B) Rigaud's v. Grant's.

	st. lb.		st. lb.
1. Gordon, A. J. M. ...	11 10	1. Miles, A. C. V. ...	11 5
2. Cobbold, F. R. ...	10 7	2. Ealand, V. F. ...	12 6
3. Hurst-Brown, C. ...	11 2	3. Hodgson, E. L. ...	12 5
4. Plow, R. ...	11 6	4. Calvert, C. ...	11 0
5. Vernham, N. ...	10 6	5. John, D. M. ...	10 10
6. Pink, F. W. ...	10 7	6. Shepherd, J. E. ...	10 9

Rigaud's won (2-0).

FINAL.

H.BB. won (2-0).

School Notes.

RUDD and Cobbold represented the School in the Public School Rackets Competition. They defeated Radley, but succumbed to Wellington, the ultimate winners.

There are nineteen new boys this term.

The Concert will be held Up School on the 30th inst.

We append the Cricket Card.

Played at Vincent Square.

May 10th, Sat.	v. Household Brigade.
„ 17th, Sat.	v. I Zingari.
„ 24th, Sat.	v. Eton Ramblers.
„ 31st, Sat.	v. Christ Church.
June 7th, Sat.	v. Sussex Martlets.
„ 11th, Wed.	v. Lords and Commons.
„ 18th, Wed.	v. Radley (at Radley).
„ 21st, Sat.	v. Oxford University Authentics.
„ 27th, Fri.	v. Charterhouse (at Vincent Square).
„ 28th, Sat.	
July 2nd, Wed.	v. M.C.C.
„ 5th, Sat.	v. Old Wykehamists.
„ 12th, Sat.	v. Free Foresters.
„ 19th, Sat.	v. O.WW.
„ 26th, Sat.	v. Incogniti.
„ 28th, Mon.	K.S.S. v. T.BB.

RACKETS.

IN the Public Schools Competition at Queen's Club our Rackets pair, Rudd and Cobbold, gave a very creditable display and succeeded in reaching the semi-final. After a bye they were drawn to play Radley, who had beaten Malvern in the first round, and proved successful by 4 games to 3 after a capital match. Unfortunately, Wellington, who were much the strongest pair up, had to be met in the semi-final, and the result, 4-0 in favour of Wellington, was only in accordance with anticipation. It is worthy of note that except in the first round, in which their first string was distinctly off colour, Wellington did not have a game scored against them in the course of the competition. We print the following accounts of the games given in the *Times* newspaper:—



RADLEY *v.* WESTMINSTER.

This was an extremely level match all through. Radley won the first game, but lost the second after a set and also the third. Then they won the fourth and the score was two all. Westminster won the fifth game and went to 14-11 in the sixth. Then Cobbold served himself out—a far too common blunder in the Public Schools matches, which this year must already have provided at least 20 instances—and Radley got in. With the score at 12-14 Rudd hit down an easy one and Radley went to game-ball all and won the set. Westminster, however, made no mistake in the last game. Rudd by a fine run of service took the score to 8-1, and they won the game at 15-3 and the match.

Irwin, the Radley first string, is a young player of much promise. He has stroke and return and a useful service. He played well all through and was backed up by his partner, who kept the ball going. Rudd, of Westminster, is not a natural player, but he is a fine, manful hitter. He grasps the racket like a club, and in an overhand service and in the rallies he hits it fore-hand with all the will in the world and all the strength of a stocky frame. He can do little with the difficult ones, but he manages to get up a good many somehow. Cobbold is a little slow on his feet, but he did admirable work with his length service and in the rally. Score:—

	1st game.	2nd game.	3rd game.	4th game.	5th game.	6th game.	7th game.	Total aces.
We-tminster	12	18	15	7	15	14	15	= 95
Radley	15	16	10	15	3	17	3	= 79

WELLINGTON *v.* WESTMINSTER.

Wellington again had a winning game to play, and again they played it well. They are both extremely good servers for boys, and the pace of the service was too much for Westminster even when they returned it. They hardly ever secured the attack, and Rudd, when Westminster got in, was never given a chance to find a length for his hard hammering service. Both the Wellington players were in form. Carnegie as a second string is so good as hardly to be a second string at all, and the value of his play and steadiness is invaluable to the pair. Score:—

	1st game.	2nd game.	3rd game.	4th game.	Total aces.
Wellington	15	15	15	15	= 60
Westminster	2	1	6	12	= 21

The School pair wish to express their thanks to Mr. Day for the keen interest which he has taken in their progress by his constant visits to Queen's Club during their practices. They also wish to thank Judy for his excellent training and instruction.

DEBATING SOCIETY.

ON April 3 the motion 'that this House deplores the influence of the turf on the national character' was discussed.

The Proposer (C. A. CROW), speaking with painful hesitancy, attributed many evils to the influence of the turf, and declared betting to be the source of all the harm. It was not real sport, and there were few trainers and owners that did not follow the turf as a profession, and not as a means of amusement. The betting evil reached its worst in the case of those who could not afford it, and bookies in Switzerland were very much to be deplored.

The Opposer (R. E. D. CARGILL) said that though racing people did not make it a profession, they naturally trained to win. If there was corruption on the turf and bribery of jockeys, it must be remembered that there were black sheep in every flock. Racing was not the only outlet for betting, and betting was inevitable. The turf also did a good work in preserving the breed of horses.

The Seconder (E. D. ASKWITH) thought that betting in other sports did not excuse betting on horses, and believed it to encourage greed. He did little to challenge the Opposer's remarks.

K. T. D. WILCOX denied that a desire to make money showed greed. He pointed out that racing was the only test of a horse's worth, and that the English thoroughbred was unrivalled.

C. HURST-BROWN displayed a most astounding knowledge of racing matters, and did his utmost to tear C. A. Crow's arguments to pieces. He denied that bookmakers were dishonest, that jockeys were frequently bribed, and that there were many owners of doubtful reputation. He asserted that the rich lost at betting, but that the poor man was more cautious, and generally won.

S. L. HOLMES pointed out that betting would continue if racing were suppressed. He somewhat irrelevantly expressed his approval of the presence of royalty at race meetings.

Upon the motion being put to the vote, it was lost by acclamation. Throughout the debate the speeches failed to reach a high level, and the supporters of the motion made poor use of the many arguments at their disposal.—W. J. N. L.

THE following is the card of the Debating Society for Lent Term:—

Jan. 23.—Impromptu Debates.

Jan. 30.—'That this House would welcome the introduction of compulsory military service.' For the motion, L. H. Chidson and W. J. N. Little; against the motion, R. W. Stannard and T. R. Dawson. Ayes, 9; Noes, 16.



*Feb. 6.*—‘That in the opinion of this House taxes on imported food are indispensable for the well-being of the country.’ For the motion, W. B. W. Durrant and W. E. Bruges; against the motion, G. C. Lowry and J. M. Troutbeck. Ayes, 14; Noes, 9.

*Feb. 13.*—‘That this House would welcome the enfranchisement of women.’ For the motion, G. C. Lowry and W. B. W. Durrant; against the motion, R. S. Partridge and A. G. Pite. Ayes, 9; Noes, 15.

*Feb. 27.*—‘That this House would welcome the restoration of its complete veto to the House of Lords.’ For the motion, C. Hurst Brown and Q. C. D. Bovey; against the motion, J. M. Troutbeck and H. C. Rambaut. Ayes, 9; Noes, 12.

*March 13.*—‘That in the opinion of this House, Party Government is an impediment to honest politics.’ For the motion, W. E. Ashmead-Bartlett and J. W. MacCulloch; against the motion, H. A. Carless and P. W. G. Kann. Ayes, 14; Noes, 10.

*March 27.*—‘That this House views with apprehension the disestablishment of the Welsh Church.’ For the motion, S. L. Holmes and G. B. F. Rudd; against the motion, S. F. Waterson and W. H. V. Nelson. Carried by acclamation.

*April 3.*—‘That this House deplores the influence of the Turf on the national character.’ For the motion, E. D. Askwith and C. A. Crow; against the motion, R. E. D. Cargill and K. T. D. Wilcox. Lost by acclamation.

### House Notes.

K.SS.—Though we were not as successful as we had hoped in the Sports—losing the Cup as we did to Rigaud’s, after a hard fight—yet we have the pleasant task of congratulating several of our number on their well-deserved successes. Of these Partridge, Cargill and Robinson were the most prominent, while Barraclough, Hodges, and Storrs also gave us some precious points. We must congratulate our team for the tug-of-war on having easily pulled over the Town-boy representatives. This is the third time running that College has achieved this; we hope that it will become a regular custom in the future.

Several of our members have been distinguishing themselves in the Corps: Little, Waterson, Sibley, Crow, C.A., and Holmes having all obtained promotion to various ranks of eminence.

We shall have to live without Partridge’s guiding hand for the first three weeks of the term, as he is still enjoying holidays at home in quarantine for mumps; H. A. G. Phillimore also has not yet come back, while Bruges has left us for good and all, to undertake the stern business of banking. We wish him all success. It is too early as yet to predict at all definitely about our hopes for the cricket season; but we again have an energetic leader in Rudd, while Wilcox also is an old Pink.

ASHBURNHAM.—House Notes coming at the beginning of term leave us with practically nothing to say, but we must do our best.

Müller and Cowell have left, while we have only one new boy, Lindo, which brings our numbers down to 81.

Our efforts in the Sports were not very brilliant, but, as our hopes were not high, we were not surprised. Plaskitt, C. M., put up two good performances in the Half-Mile with Hurdles and the High Jump, while Martyr showed very good form in winning the Under 16 100-Yards and Quarter-Mile, and he ought to be very useful in the future.

It is too early as yet to write of our prospects in Juniors, but we have great hopes for Seniors as we have an excellent chance of bringing it off.

GRANT’S.—We must congratulate N. Kohnstamm on being made a Monitor and Blundell on being bracketed first in the Junior Gym. Cup. Fraser and Heigham left us last term, and three new boys have come to take their places, Andrews, Collis, and G. Kohnstamm. In the Sports we were not very successful, but our Juniors, notably Spence, Wilson and Oliver, performed excellently, thus giving us great hopes for next year. Last term’s Yard ties were won by Miles, Spence and N. Radford, who defeated Waddington, J. Kohnstamm, and Hepburn in the Final. At this early period of the term we cannot foretell much, but our chances of retaining both the Senior and Junior Cricket Trophies seem to be excellent. For the Swimming we still have three of last year’s team. In the Corps Thacker has been made a Corporal and Shepherd a Lance-Corporal. Finally, we wish success to all Grantites who have examinations in the near future.

H.BB.—Numerous fellows are to be congratulated this month on their various achievements. First come the members of the Tug-of-War team who, in a brilliant fashion, won the Cup for the House; next, Davis, Forbes, Ayland and Julian are to be congratulated on gaining for the House some valuable points in the recent sports. J. D. Aitken and Forbes are also to be congratulated on passing Certificate A. Our numbers this term are still larger than those of last term, as we have to welcome nine new boys.

We are all glad to hear that Davis’s operation went off successfully and that he is rapidly regaining his health; so that we entertain great hopes of seeing him amongst us soon.

Fives ties will be started in a fortnight and we hope to get many entries. Last term’s ties were won by Ashley and Furze.

Our prospects for Juniors this year are good, and if only the members of the team can lay aside the nervousness that generally seizes them we should do well.



RIGAUD'S.—In the first place we begin by congratulating ourselves on carrying off the Sports Cup in the face of great opposition, especial tribute being paid to Pink and Besson on their magnificent performances. We have to record the loss this term of Freeston B., Arthur, and Reid, but in their places we have Fleischmann, Hyde, Rowlands, Spicer, Isaacs and Read, and Gonne, who has visited us previously on several occasions. Burford has become a boarder. Yard ties last term were won by Pink, and a start has been made this term, several games being already completed. Concerning cricket it is too early to be able to predict with any certainty, but, as far as can be seen, we stand as good a chance as any in the contest for the Shield. Hurst-Brown and F. Cobbold, whose doughty deeds need no exploiting here, are for the present our representatives in the Cricket XI., and Pink in the Shooting VIII. As regards racquets and fives we can only imagine that the time which has elapsed has added to the cunning of our respective pairs.

### Old Westminsters.

THE King has conferred the rank of King's Counsel on Mr. Cecil J. B. Hurst, C.B., who has gone to America as British Agent and Counsel in the arbitration between this country and the United States.

At Oxford Mr. K. D. Murray is in the First Class in Classical Moderations. In the Second Class are Mr. A. C. Edgar, Mr. F. C. Doherty, Mr. M. Holroyd, Mr. A. R. I. Mellor, and Mr. J. W. N. Smith.

At St. Bartholomew's Hospital the Senior Scholarship for Anatomy and Physiology and the Foster Prize for Anatomy have been awarded to Mr. E. B. Barnes. We are informed that it is many years since the Scholarship was won by a student of so youthful standing in the hospital.

Mr. A. H. Aglionby has gone as a master to Port Hope School, Toronto. We are informed that this is a new School to be run on the lines of an English Public School.

At Cambridge Mr. H. F. R. Rawson played in the Senior's Match, scoring 55, and Mr. R. R. Rawson in the Freshmen's Match.

### Births.

ON April 2, the wife of Richard N. R. Blaker, of twin daughters.

On April 22, the wife of Hubert Morgan-Browne, of a daughter.

On May 2, the wife of Arthur Stretton Gaye, of a son.

### Marriages.

PAGE—HOLDERNESS.—On April 5, Charles Max Page, F.R.C.S., to Helen Alice, only daughter of Sir Thomas Holderness, K.C.S.I.

WATERFIELD—HAZEL.—On April 4, William Francis Herschell Waterfield, to Aimée Marguerite, second daughter of Commander James Hazel, R.N.

FOXLEE—FLINTAN.—On April 2, Richard William Foxlee, to Olive Marjorie, elder daughter of F. R. Flintan, of Holmwood, Surrey.

HUELIN—LAKE.—On April 3, Edward Scotton Huelin, to Hilda Winifred, youngest daughter of the late William Robert Lake, of Sutton, Surrey.

### Obituary.

THE death of HENRY SALWEY removes the eldest of three brothers who were at the School in the 'fifties. He was elected Head to Christ Church in 1855 and at Oxford was in the Christ Church boat and won the double rackets. Ordained in 1860 he was Vicar of Fildwick from 1868 to 1875 and afterwards for ten years Vicar of St. Andrew's, Ashley Place. He had no son, but his nephew was at the School. Mr. Salwey died on March 14.

A well-known Westminster of a later generation was CHARLES EDMUND NEWTON-ROBINSON, who died on April 21. The eldest son of the late Sir John Charles Robinson, C.B., he was admitted in 1866, and elected to Trinity in 1872. He was called to the Bar in 1879. He had many accomplishments, being a poet, an expert in art, a swordsman, and a yachtsman. He took part in the Olympic Games at Athens in 1906. In his last years he came much before the public as the founder and chairman of the Land Union. He had no son.

The death of JOHN EVELYN BASTONE removes the last of three brothers who were at the School in the 'nineties and have been cut off at an untimely age.



## Correspondence.

To the Editor of the 'Elizabethan.'

SIR,—In preparing the appended statement of Charterhouse matches, which THE ELIZABETHAN has not done since 1886, I have been struck by the iteration by all critics from 1883 onwards, of the same complaints, such as:—

(a) The lightness of the football team, the remedy being, of course, increased pace.

(b) The slowness of the team, particularly in the inside forwards and wing halves. Remedy suggested, dribbling and passing practice by forwards unopposed from one end of the ground to the other. I ask, however, if this is much use. Cannot sprint races be organised every fortnight through the winter terms for some object, say, Maundy money or a cup for best results? Fairly frequently in the Sports (always after the great match) some obscure person is discovered to win races whose football languishes in the cramped area of a lower game. Put on a wing with plenty of room on the Big ground, such an one might become a player. Anyhow, by practising sprinting, the pace of a team must improve.

(c) Bad management of a team before the match. We read complaints of snow, matches scratched, shortness of practice, slackness in coming up fields; or again, of staleness due to too many matches. Frequently a good match up to half-time becomes a howling licking through lack of condition.

(d) Casualties not allowed for. Somebody is always crocked. It has to be allowed for and is not. Worse, somebody is played because he is a Pink, regardless of the fact that he is suffering from illness or strains and is quite unfit to play. The captain nurses eleven men for this match; he ought to have about fifteen in hand. A live dog (even of the station cap species) is better than a moribund lion.

(e) Temperament and nerves, more particularly in cricket. The big men of the side, on whom so much depends, know it; are self-conscious and play back to half-volleys, and the side is rattled out from nerves. The crack bat who dithers ought to go in late. Then there is the troublesome person in both games who shows enormous promise at sixteen and is perfectly hopeless ever after. From seniority he gets an important place in a team when he ought to be dropped till he gets his form back. If he recovers you have had his substitute playing for the school and making a useful reserve. In both teams and individuals the most unlikely have come off against Charterhouse, and the brilliant ones have failed. Would not a small committee of O.W.W. captains take some of the burden of team selection off a school captain's shoulders.

Bad shooting I attribute to nerves. I would sacrifice all combination and style for the Charterhouse match, at least in favour of five fast, scrambling, clumsy, hustling people without nerves. They would get goals by flukes somehow, whereas combination and nerves cannot produce a goal per decade. Similarly, impossible cricketers have made runs in most reprehensible ways against Charterhouse, where fine cricketers have made blobs. Knowledge of men is required; a school captain is hardly old enough to have it. Then more school matches are necessary and holiday play on fast grounds. Don't

tell the O.W.F.C. I wrote this because I know nothing about games really, and am only a tiny little

RABBIT.

N.B.—I only give results to 1907.

P.S.—The above was written before reading any account of last term's match; I am not reflecting upon individuals but trying to summarise the wisdom of generations.

FOOTBALL.	YEAR.	CRICKET.
	1850	Westminster, 20 runs.
	1851	„ 8 wickets.
Westminster ... 2-0 ...	1863	
„ ... 2-1 ...	1864	
Draw ... 0-0 ...	1865	„ 4 runs.
Charterhouse ... 1-0 ...	1866	Charterhouse, 22 runs.
	1867	Westminster, 59 runs.
	1868	„ Innings and 17 runs.
	1869	Charterhouse, 10 wickets.
	1870	„ 7 wickets.
	1871	Westminster, 22 runs.
	1873	„ Innings and 17 runs.
	1874	Drawn.
Charterhouse ... 2-0 ...	1875	Drawn.
Westminster ... 0-1 ...	1876	Charterhouse, 195 runs.
Charterhouse ... 2-0 ...	1877	Westminster, 20 runs.
„ ... 1-0 ...	1878	Charterhouse, 279 runs.
„ ... 4-2 ...	1879	Westminster, 23 runs.
„ ... 4-3 ...	1880	Charterhouse, 78 runs.
„ ... 3-2 ...	1881	„ Innings and 177 runs.
„ ... 3-2 ...	1882	Westminster, 207 runs.
„ ... 5-1 ...	1883	Charterhouse, 125 runs.
Westminster ... 2-1 ...	1884	„ 74 runs and 6 wickets.
Charterhouse ... 3-0 ...	1885	Drawn.
Westminster ... 4-2 ...	1886	Charterhouse, 8 wickets (First 2 day match).
Charterhouse ... 1-0 ...	1887	Charterhouse, 10 wickets.
Draw ... 3-3 ...	1888	„ 74 runs.
Charterhouse ... 8-0 ...	1889	„ 6 wickets.
„ ... 8-0 ...	1890	Westminster, innings and 40 runs.
Draw ... 2-2 ...	1891	Charterhouse, 118 runs.
Charterhouse ... 5-0 ...	1892	„ Innings and 15 runs.
„ ... 5-0 ...	1893	„ 105 runs.
„ ... 6-0 ...	1894	„ Innings and 147 runs.
„ ... 6-0 ...	1895	„ Innings and 66 runs.
„ ... 2-0 ...	1896	„ 185 runs.
„ ... 3-1 ...	1897	Westminster, 2 wickets.
Westminster ... 4-1 ...	1898	Drawn.
„ ... 2-1 ...	1899	Westminster, 128 runs and 3 wickets.
Charterhouse ... 7-2 ...	1900	Charterhouse, 90 runs.
„ ... 6-0 ...	1901	Westminster, 92 runs.
„ ... 2-0 ...	1902	Charterhouse, 155 runs.
„ ... 2-1 ...	1903	„ 6 wickets.
„ ... 1-0 ...	1904	„ 8 wickets.



FOOTBALL.	YEAR.	CRICKET.
Charterhouse ... 4-0 ...	1905	Charterhouse Innings and 187 runs.
„ ... 3-0 ...	1906	„ 9 wickets.
„ ... 3-0 ...	1907	„ Innings and 157 runs.
„ ... 4-0 ...	1908	„ Innings and 47 runs.
„ ... 2-1 ...	1909	Westminster, 3 wickets.
Charterhouse ... 29 won.		Charterhouse ... 27 won.
Westminster ... 8 won.		Westminster ... 15 won.
Drawn ... 3		Drawn ... 4

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

SIR,—

Once they obeyed great Dr. Murray's orders,  
Homeboarders;  
Modest and neat of speech they deigned to be  
Plain H.BB.;  
But now they disallow the 'pedant's' rights  
(And K. D. Murray suffers sleepless nights),  
Homeboarderites.  
And Evolution leads the helpless writer  
To prophesy the coming of that Blighter  
Homeboarderorderiter.

I am, Yours faithfully,  
F. WATERFIELD.

April 29.

Angel Court,  
Friday Street, E.C.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—In the reports of Football matches one rarely finds the names of the visiting side. This bad habit attains its climax in the current number of THE ELIZABETHAN, the names of the Eton XI. v. Westminster on March 13 being omitted. Without knowing the strength of the opposition, how can one form any idea of the merit of the School team? Is it not also rather discourteous to our visitors?

Yours truly,  
L. A. N. FEVEZ.

3 Elm Court,  
Temple, E.C.  
April 10, 1913.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

SIR,—May I ask for a small portion of your space to deal with a matter affecting the School Mission? The objects of a School or College Mission, as I understand, are twofold: in the first place to raise funds for social work, and in the second place to bring members of the School or College in touch with those who belong to a different social status to their own. The first of these objects can be effected by other means; the second can, for the most part, only be effected by means of a Mission, and is therefore the more important. Now, sir, in the case of the Westminster Mission we do raise a certain, though not a very large, amount of money, but we do not, as it appears to me, attain a satisfactory measure of success in the second and more important direction. The activities of the Mission may be roughly classified under three heads: the boys' club, etc., the Church Lads' Brigade, and the Cadet Corps. As regards the club, it has enjoyed occasional visits from Old Westminsters, but the responsibility for its regular running has devolved on one of Mr. Ellis's curates, who is not an Old Westminster and who has plenty of work to do in the parish without doing what is not by rights his busi-

ness at all. As to the C.L.B., Mr. Geare and Mr. Clarke are O.W.W., but when Mr. Geare was recently in need of further assistance, he had, I believe, to obtain it outside the ranks of O.W.W. As regards the Cadet Corps, I myself am an O.W., but Mr. Northcote, my subaltern, is an Old Haileyburian and I have no O.W. assistant. The position is the less satisfactory seeing that the majority of Westminster boys are Londoners, living in London in vacation and working in London after they leave, so that one would not expect non-London schools to be able to support their Missions, as I am afraid they do, better than Westminster. While I write this letter in what I conceive to be the interests of the Mission as a whole, I desire, as you may have suspected, Mr. Editor, to give it a special application. We badly need further assistance with the Cadet Corps, whether on the Social, the Athletic, or the Military side. We should be glad of help, regular or occasional, in any of these directions; but what we want most of all is some really efficient member of the School O.T.C. who would be competent to drill a company, which expects rather a high standard of efficiency. It would not be necessary, in the first instance, for him to take a commission in the Battalion. He could see whether he liked the work first, although I think he would find our Battalion, in which all the officers are Public School and 'Varsity men, with the exception of the Colonel, who was in the Regular Army, a very pleasant one to join. If any Old Westminster, or present member of the School who is leaving shortly, would communicate with me at the above address, I should be only too glad to give him the fullest information, and if he likes show him the company at work.

Yours faithfully,  
H. I. P. HALLETT.

#### A NAME UP SCHOOL.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

SIR,—In Barker and Stennings we find the name of R. H. Dick as a name up School with the date of 1802, and the names of A. B. Dick and W. F. Dick with the same date. The Register also gives the name of Abercromby J. Dick, the fifth son of Dr. William Dick, of Tullymet, as born in 1794 and leaving the School in 1810. The fact that three elder sons of Dr. Dick owned the initials R. H., A. B., and W. F., makes it highly probable that our names are those of these sons. Robert Henry Dick was born about 1785 and entered the army as an ensign in 1800. In those days it was quite usual for a boy to be at school for some years after he had been gazetted to a regiment. Dick seems not to have joined his regiment until 1806, when he went to Sicily and got his first wound at Maida, where another Westminster, Major-General John (afterwards Sir John) Stuart led his troops to a brilliant victory. Robert Dick's splendid services are well known—his skill and gallantry at Busaco and Torres Vedras, at Burgos and Salamanca, at Quatre Bras and Waterloo. His promotion was rapid, and he became a Knight of Hanover in 1832 and of the Bath in 1837. In 1841 he received the command-in-chief in Madras. He fell at Sobraon, 'whilst personally animating,' as Lord Gough wrote, 'by his dauntless example, the soldiers of her Majesty's 80th Regiment in their career of noble daring.'

If anyone can supply positive proof of the identification here suggested, it would certainly seem that Sir Robert Dick's shield ought to be painted up School. It may be further remarked that as Sir John Stuart was a Knight Grand Cross of the Bath he was entitled to supporters, and that his shield ought to be repainted with supporters in one of the larger panels.

Your obedient servant,  
Z.



### Our Contemporaries.

We acknowledge with thanks the receipt of the following :

*The Wellingtonian, Old Boy's Magazine (2), The Penn Charter Magazine, The Felstedian, The Harrovian, The Radleian, The Meteor, The Eton College Chronicle, The Reptonian, The Salopian, The Wykehamist, The Marlburian, The Bradfield College Chronicle, The Haileyburian, The Blue, The Forest School Magazine, The Cheltonian, The Working Men's College Journal (2), The Edinburgh Academy Chronicle, The Lancing College Magazine, The Cantuarian, The Rossalian, The Malvernian, The Tonbridgian, The Blundellian, The Clavinian, The Caythusian, The Pauline, The Laurentian, The Olavian, The Arena.*

### 'THE ELIZABETHAN' CLUB.

THIS Club was founded in the year 1864, and consists of Old Westminsters. Its objects are to promote intercourse among Old Westminsters and to preserve the associations and further the interests and prosperity of the School. The subscription to the Club is 10s. 6d. per annum, or on the payment of £3 3s. all future subscriptions may be compounded for.

Old Westminsters who wish to join the Club should communicate with the Hon. Secretary, A. K. CLARK-KENNEDY, Esq., 6 West Eaton Place, W.

### NOTICES.

ALL contributions to the June number of THE ELIZABETHAN should reach the Editor at 3 Little Dean's Yard, Westminster, not later than June 1st.

Contributions must be written on one side of the paper only.

Correspondents must enclose their names with contributions, not necessarily for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

Back numbers are obtainable of the Editor, price 1s. each.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary, 3 Little Dean's Yard, Westminster.

The terms of subscription to THE ELIZABETHAN are as follows (payable in advance):—

	£	s.	d.
ANNUAL (payment in advance)	0	4	0
TRIENNIAL	0	10	0
LIFE COMPOSITION	5	0	0
„ „ (after the age of 30)	4	0	0
„ „ ( „ 40)	3	0	0
„ „ ( „ 50)	2	0	0

Subscriptions now due should be forwarded at once to J. SARGEAUNT, Esq., Little Dean's Yard, Westminster School, S.W. (not addressed 'The Treasurer').

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his contributors or correspondents.

Moreat.