


WS/Hon/8/4/1/16/8

The

Grantite



Review



Nascitur exiguus



acquirit eundo.

vires

Vol. XV. No. 8.

ELECTION TERM, 1937.

Price 1s. 3d.

INDEX.

	Page
Editorial	2
House Notes	3
Seniors	5
Senior's Criticisms	15
Juniors	16
Junior's Criticisms	18
The Water	19
The Senior Four	21
The Junior Senior Four	22
The Junior Four	23
Football Prospects	24
Fives	25
The O.T.C.	25
The Scouts	27
Music	27
The Literary Society	28
Old Grantites	30
Notices	31

EDITORIAL.

It seems somewhat late in the day to mention the Coronation so long after it has taken place, but owing to the fact that all GRANTITES have to be issued four months late we take this chance of saying a few belated words in passing. Eight Grantites, including one non-resident King's Scholar, obtained seats inside the Abbey. The remainder of the House watched the proceedings from Parliament Square. A full account appeared in the "Elizabethan" so it would be unnecessary to add any more, so let it suffice to say that both those in the Abbey and those braving the elements in Parliament Square contrived to enjoy themselves and make the most of that unique occasion.

The Election Term is always a time of parting and this particular one is no exception. We have to bid a reluctant farewell to Mr. Carleton. In the all-too-short time that he has been House Tutor he has done a great deal for the House both in work and also at Putney. Happily, he will not be altogether lost to us, as we hope to continue to see him at the meetings of the Literary Society. We wish him the best of luck as House Tutor of Busby's. In his place we welcome the Rev. R. C. Llewelyn. He is already well known to all Grantites either in the scholastic field or in connection with his energetic work for the School Mission.

The scheme of subscription for Membership of the Old Grantite Club has now been publicly announced. It is the same idea as the Elizabethan Club subscription and thus it enables payment to be made on the instalment system. This new arrangement should prove very popular and we hope that the membership of the Old Grantite Club will show a corresponding increase as a reward for the enterprise shown.

In almost every Editorial there appears some mention of re-decorations or repairs to the House and this term was no exception. The dormitories have been painted green and the old red blankets on the beds have been discarded and new green ones, which match the walls, have taken their place. The locker room ceiling has also been renewed. This was very necessary as the old one was rather fragile and the habit of using the whitewash on it as chalk for billiard cues had made it assume a rather moth-eaten appearance. These improvements, together with a coat of paint on the exterior of the House made it look very smart this term, though whether this smartness was reflected in our achievements this term we leave you to judge.

HOUSE NOTES.

We welcome this term J. A. Holloway (boarder) and E. R. Cawston (half-boarder).

We won Seniors; beating K.SS., BB., H.BB., RR. and A.HH. This year Seniors was played on the league system.

We were defeated in the first round of Juniors by H.BB. by 21 runs.

We were beaten in the Senior Fours by H.BB.

We were beaten in the Junior Fours by A.HH, after beating RR. ' A ' Crew.

We lost the Halahan Cup.

We lost the Squire Bowl, coming second to H.BB.

We won the House Choirs in the Music Competition. We also won the quartets, and the vocal ensemble.

We were fifth in Senior and Junior Shooting.

Congratulations to D. F. Cunliffe on being elected Hon. Secretary of Cricket.

Congratulations to J. W. Woodbridge on his Cricket Pinks. Also to H. A. Budgett, B. V. I. Greenish and M. L. Patterson on their Pink and Whites. Also to R. O. I. Borradaile on his Colts colours.

Congratulations to C. A. Argyle and J. P. Hart on their rowing Pink and Whites.

We congratulate B. V. I. Greenish and M. L. Patterson on their Cricket Seniors; J. P. Hart, C. A. Argyle, and H. H. E. Batten on their Water Seniors. Also to R. L. Fevez on his Cricket Juniors and to R. K. Archer on his Water Juniors.

We congratulate G. L. Y. Radcliffe on winning the Senior Sculls. Also C. R. Strother Stewart on being runner-up in the Junior-Senior Sculls.

We won the Junior Fives Cup.

J. C. S. Doll won the broken voice solo in the Music Competition.

D. L. B. Farley won the piano duets with J. E. Hare, A.H.H.

The Yard Ties were won by D. F. Cunliffe, J. B. Craig and C. E. Newman who beat S. Moller, J. K. Morrison and N. D. Sandelson in the final by 48 runs.

Mr. J. D. Carleton has been appointed House Tutor of Busby's. We wish him all success.

We welcome in his place the Rev. R. C. Llewelyn.

The Housemaster kindly gave us a Supper at the end of the term.

M. L. Patterson has been promoted to Lance Sergeant.

CRICKET.

The Past Term.

We have managed to retrieve a lost cricket shield from the haunts of the Rigauds, and this success has been due to the good team spirit of our Seniors side. Our bowling was not good, in fact at times it was very erratic, and it was really because of good fielding and of taking our chances when they came, that we managed to get all the sides out for under 130. Our batting has been our strong point, however, and when one states that in one game a Colts bat went in No. 10, it can be seen that we were as strong and stronger than any of the opposition.

Juniors has again eluded us—we lost to Homeboarders by 21 runs, but even if we had won through to the second round, we should not have beaten Ashburnham who were very strong.

Budgett (W. P.) led the House side down at Grove Park, and nearly always managed to come home victorious. Whether this was due to skilful captaincy or the weakness of the other sides, it is hard to say, but certainly Reed, who occasionally played, Evans, Winckworth, and Fevez were much above the standard of play.

With seven of this year's side available for next season under Woodbridge, Grant's should be able to keep the Senior's Shield, but I should like to see that Junior's cup back on the mantelpiece in the Hall!

D.F.C.

SENIOR'S.**Grant's v. King's Scholars.**

Cunliffe won the toss for Grant's and decided to bat. The innings did not begin very promisingly, for Fursdon was soon out, bowled by Meyer, and with Greenish also bowled by Meyer four runs later, two wickets were down for seven. Cunliffe, who was run out, and Wilkinson, who was bowled by Meyer, were both out for 21, at which point Meyer had taken three wickets for five runs.

Everything now depended on whether Budgett and Woodbridge could stay in until stumps were drawn, and this they proceeded to do; the total then was 67, Budgett not out 30, Woodbridge not out 20.

The next day Woodbridge and Budgett carried the score to 94, mostly to Budgett, who had reached his fifty, when Woodbridge was bowled in attempting a pull off Petley. The bowling was becoming ragged, and Budgett and Doll, who scored very quickly, hit the bowling to all sides of the field. Doll hit a good six off Halsall, and another off Hampton-Smith to complete a fine fifty. In the same over he made 22 runs. With the total at 189, Cunliffe called in his men.

Patterson and Reed opened the bowling for Grants against Dowding and Meyer. The scoring was very slow, only six runs being scored in the first five overs. Cunliffe relieved Reed, and with his third ball bowled Dowding, and with the score at 56 bowled Meyer, who had played well for his 38; and when Patterson got Wilkinson (E. M. H.) out with a catch at the wicket, Grants had got down to the weakness of College batting. Patterson's bowling was all too fast for the latter batsmen, and when Cunliffe bowled Allen, the last man in, the score was only 119.

Throughout the King's Scholars innings, Grant's fielding had been very good, and the wicket-keeping of Wilkinson was excellent, only one bye being let through. The bowling was not very good, and although good enough for College, may not be good enough for some of the other houses.

R.O.I.B.

GRANT'S v. KING'S SCHOLARS.

On May 24th and 25th, 1937.

Grant's.

D. L. Wilkinson, b Meyer	8
G. H. J. Fursdon, b Meyer	2
B. V. I. Greenish, b Meyer	3
D. F. Cunliffe, run out	0

H. A. Budgett, not out	75
J. W. Woodbridge, b Petley	24
J. C. S. Doll, c Dowding, b Meyer	67
Extras	10
<hr/>	
for six wickets (declared)	189
<hr/>	

R. A. Reed, M. L. Patterson, R. L. Fevez and C. E. Newman did not bat.

King's Scholars.

M. F. Dowding, b Cunliffe	6
E. S. Meyer, b Cunliffe	38
E. M. H. Wilkinson, c Wilkinson, b Patterson ...	13
D. Petley, b Patterson	14
R. M. T. Walker-Brash, c Fevez, b Greenish	3
D. R. Halsall, lbw., b Greenish	1
M. W. O'Brien, b Patterson	12
H. C. Garner, not out	8
N. J. P. Brown, run out	0
D. C. Hampton-Smith, b Cunliffe	9
J. M. Allan, b Cunliffe	8
Extras	7
<hr/>	

119

Grants won by 70 runs.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

King's Scholars.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Petley	7	0	37	1	37.00
Halsall	10	0	53	0	—
Meyer	12.5	0	44	4	11.00
Hampton-Smith	6	0	45	0	—

Grant's.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Patterson	14	2	23	3	7.6
Reed	4	1	18	0	—
Cunliffe	13.5	5	33	4	8.25
Woodbridge ...	10	3	22	0	—
Greenish	3	0	9	2	4.5
Newman	3	1	7	0	—

GRANT'S v. BUSBY'S.

Busby's batted first and made a very favourable start. At the end of Monday's play they had scored 96 for the loss of only one wicket. Soon after play commenced on Tuesday, Andrews reached his fifty, and went on to score seventy-one before he was caught by Woodbridge off Doll's bowling. The score was 115 when Andrews was out, and only four wickets had fallen, but there followed a complete collapse. Only seven runs were put on by the remaining seven men. This was partly due to the good bowling of Doll, who took six wickets for 29, but it was also due to the quality of Busby's batsmen. Patterson also bowled well and took 3 wickets in one over.

Grant's went in with 123 to make in about two and three quarter hours. Wilkinson and Newman opened for Grants, the latter making a very good sixteen before he was run out. Greenish came in and added 10 runs to the score, but he was caught out by an excellent catch of Hadwick's. However, throughout this time Wilkinson had been scoring steadily, and when Cunliffe went in the score was 60. From then onwards Wilkinson scored pretty rapidly and soon reached his fifty. Although Busbys had two good bowlers they had little effect on Grant's scoring, and Cunliffe hit three extremely good fours in succession of Sinclair's bowling. Wilkinson put the finishing touch by scoring a final four, thus Grant's won by eight wickets, Wilkinson having scored 57 not out and Cunliffe 22 not out.

R.L.F.

GRANT'S v. BUSBY'S

On June 31st and July 1st, 1937.

Busby's.

K. S. Andrews, c Woodbridge, b Doll	71
M. S. de Mowbray, run out	10
N. A. Jawdat, c Wilkinson, b Doll	25
J. S. E. Duke, c Budgett, b Doll	0
E. A. Sinclair, lbw, b Doll	3
F. F. Calway, b Patterson	1
A. R. C. Scarfe, not out	0
D. R. Hadwick, b Patterson	0
B. M. Deakin, b Patterson	0
J. F. Reid-Dick, b Doll	4
R. C. Morris, b Doll	0
Extras	8
Total	122

Grant's.

D. L. Wilkinson, not out	57
C. E. Newman, run out	16
B. V. I. Greenish, c Hadwick, b Reid-Dick	10
D. F. Cunliffe, not out	22
Extras	18
Total for two wickets	123

H. A. Budgett, J. W. Woodbridge, J. C. S. Doll, G. H. J. Fursdon, R. A. Reed, R. O. I. Borradaile, M. L. Patterson, did not bat.

Grant's won by eight wickets.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.**Busby's.**

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Sinclair	9	1	45	0	—
Calway	8	1	28	0	—
Jawdat	2	0	11	0	—
Andrews	2	0	12	0	—
Reid-Dick ...	2	0	9	1	9.00

Grant's.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Patterson	12	1	35	3	11.66
Doll	12	2	29	6	4.83
Cunliffe	6	0	36	0	—
Woodbridge ...	3	0	14	0	—

GRANT'S v. HOMEBOARDERS.

Homeboarders won the toss and elected to bat. Their innings was opened by Peck and Barley. Patterson opened the bowling from the pavilion end, and Doll, who had Barley l.b.w. with his first ball and the score at 5, bowled from the Victoria Station end. Gawthorne and Peck, however, retrieved this bad start, and it was not until the score reached 51 that Cunliffe had Gawthorne l.b.w. This was a valuable wicket. Hammond was soon out, coming out to a ball from Woodbridge, which beat him, and he was stumped. Glanfield was next caught and bowled by Cunliffe, Macwhinnie was bowled by Woodbridge, and Hanrott was out in like manner. Nobody seemed able to cope with Woodbridge's spin, and he certainly kept a very good length. With the score at 89, Peck was caught and

bowled by Woodbridge, after making a very useful but rather slow 35, and yet another wicket fell to Woodbridge 8 runs later when he bowled Page-Wood. Eyre and Woodwark, however, took the score from 97 to 118, before a good fast ball from Patterson bowled Eyre. Woodbridge had bowled very well, taking 6 wickets for 33 runs.

Wilkinson and Borradaile opened for Grant's and although Borradaile was out from the first ball he received from Eyre, Grants seemed to have an easy task, as Wilkinson was scoring very freely, particularly from Gawthorne, whom he hit for two sixes in one over. With the score at 40, however, Greenish and Wilkinson both were out, and when Budgett left at 56, Grant's were in a bad way. Woodbridge helped Cunliffe, however, to bring the total to 101 before he was out, and although Doll and Fursdon fell almost immediately, Cunliffe went on scoring consistently, and when Reed joined him, scored the winning hit, with three wickets to spare. It was a good match and rather extraordinary that two teams, both stronger in batting than in bowling should collapse and have such difficulty in scoring 120. This was partly due to a patch which both Eyre and Woodbridge found just outside the off-stump.

D.S.W.

HOMEBOARDERS v. GRANT'S, June 14th and 15th.

Homeboarders

J. H. T. Barley, lbw, b Doll	1
O. J. Peck, c and b Woodbridge	35
P. P. Gawthorne, lbw, b Cunliffe	27
S. J. Hammond, st Wilkinson, b Woodbridge	4
J. R. Glanfield, c and b Cunliffe	0
G. M. Macwhinnie, b Woodbridge	4
F. G. Hanrott, b Woodbridge	2
J. H. Page-Wood, b Woodbridge	11
P. C. Eyre, b Patterson	18
C. G. F. Hayes, b Woodbridge	0
G. M. Woodwark, not out	2
Extras	4
Total	118

Grant's.

D. L. Wilkinson, c Barley, b Eyre	31
R. O. I. Borradaile, c Hanrott, b Eyre	0
B. V. I. Greenish, b Hanrott	7
D. F. Cunliffe, not out	39
H. A. Budgett, c Barley, b Eyre	5
J. W. Woodbridge, c Eyre, b Peck	20
J. C. S. Doll, c Gawthorne, b Peck	7
G. H. J. Fursdon, b Gawthorne	2
R. A. Reed, not out	4
Extras	4
Total for seven wickets	120

C. E. Newman and M. L. Patterson did not bat
Grant's won by 3 wickets.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.**Grant's.**

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Patterson	4.3	1	18	1	18.00
Doll	8	2	23	1	23.00
Cunliffe	9	2	29	2	14.50
Woodbridge ...	10	1	33	6	5.50
Greenish	2	0	11	0	—

Homeboarders.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Eyre	9	2	22	3	7.33
Gawthorne ...	5	0	40	1	40.00
Hanrott	6	1	23	1	23.00
Peck	4	0	30	2	15.00

GRANT'S v. ASHBURNHAM.

Grant's lost the toss and Ashburnham decided to bat opening with Goatly and Nicol to the bowling of Patterson and Doll. Goatly batted brightly, but Nicol played his usual slow game. The first wicket fell at 40, when Goatly failed to get over a cut from Woodbridge, and was well caught by Doll at cover. Cunliffe managed his bowling rather well, making fairly frequent changes. At 52 Nicol was caught at silly-mid-off by Reed, and Trehearne was

bowled by Greenish one run later. Winckworth held a good catch to dismiss Hinge, and Cunliffe bowled Harston and Weingreen with his faster ball. Stumps were then drawn for the evening with the score at 68 for 6.

The next afternoon, to the bowling of Patterson and Cunliffe, the remaining four wickets went down for 16, Patterson claiming 3 for 9 runs.

Wilkinson and Borradaile opened the innings and started well, but with the total at 19, Borradaile was bowled by an in-swinging from Hinge, and Greenish was caught by Turner-Samuels off Hunt after scoring 12. Although Wilkinson and Budgett both left soon after, Cunliffe played very steadily, and when he was joined by Woodbridge, together they hit very hard to win comfortably by six wickets. W.P.B.

GRANT'S v. ASHBURNHAM.

On June 23rd, 1937.

P. Goatly, c Doll, b Woodbridge	24
S. J. W. Nicol, c Reed, b Cunliffe	18
K. A. H. Hinge, c Winckworth, b Cunliffe	8
D. A. Trehearne, b Greenish	0
J. I. P. Hunt, b Cunliffe	7
M. G. S. Harston, b Cunliffe	0
P. E. Weingreen, b Cunliffe	9
B. M. Turner-Samuels, b Patterson	4
P. G. Whipp, not out	2
M. C. Bang, b Patterson	0
R. T. C. Wade, lbw, b Patterson	7
Extras	5
Total	84

Grant's.

R. O. I. Borradaile, b Hinge	0
D. L. Wilkinson, c and b Trehearne	29
B. V. I. Greenish, c Turner-Samuels, b Hunt	12
D. F. Cunliffe, not out	40
H. A. Budgett, b Trehearne	4
J. W. Woodbridge, not out	6
Extras	12
Total for four wickets	103

J. C. S. Doll, M. L. Patterson, R. A. Reed, G. H. J. Fursdon and D. S. Winckworth did not bat.

Grant's won by six wickets.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.**Grant's.**

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Patterson ...	10.1	1	20	3	6.66
Doll	4	2	9	0	—
Cunliffe	11	4	33	5	6.60
Woodbridge ...	4	1	9	1	9.00
Greenish	2	0	8	1	8.00

Ashburnham.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Hinge	9	0	34	1	34.00
Hunt	11	2	24	1	24.00
Trehearne ...	6	1	20	2	10.00
Wade	2	0	11	0	—
Nicol	1	0	2	0	—

GRANT'S v. RIGAUD'S.

This was a very important match for Grant's, as they had won four matches, and Rigaud's three. If Grant's won or drew they would win the shield, but if they lost they would tie with Rigaud's. On paper Grant's had a very strong team, but how often has such a team failed in the past! Rigaud's, on the other hand, had to rely on two or three good players.

Grant's lost the toss and Rigaud's decided to bat, opening with Lewis and Jessop, against the bowling of Patterson and Doll. Patterson bowled really well, but Doll was off form, bowling too erratically, and after his third over was taken off in favour of Greenish. Attempting a short run, Jessop was out with the score at 24, and three runs later, Patterson held a brilliant right-handed catch high over his head to dismiss Lewis, and with Yonge out at 29, Grant's were on top. Stocker, however, batted well and offered stubborn resistance, but he could find nobody to stay with him. Richardson was out before scoring, at 35 Ospalak was run out, and at 37 de Boer was bowled by Greenish.

With Worthington, Stocker started a semi-revival, and together they took the score to 73, before Worthington and then Fryzer were beaten by Cunliffe, and although Phillipson stayed while the score reached 82, he was soon lbw to Woodbridge. Stocker was still unbeaten for 42, and if only he had found someone to stay with him, the Rigaud's score might have reached a respectable figure. Wilkinson kept wicket very well, letting through no byes, and the Grant's fielding was good with Newman outstanding.

Wilkinson and Greenish opened to Ospalak and Stocker, and both batted confidently against fast but inaccurate bowling. At 33

Stocker bowled a ball to Greenish which kicked slightly and the wicket-keeper and slips all appealed loudly. This was too much for the umpire, who gave the decision against the batsman. Fursdon was soon out, but Cunliffe and Wilkinson took the score to 60 before Cunliffe was beaten by a yorker from Ospalak and Wilkinson was lbw to Richardson for an excellent 29. Rigaud's got one more wicket, however, before Grant's won the match, for at the total of 78, Budgett was bowled by Jessop; but Woodbridge and Doll carried on the good work, and passed the Rigaud's score to win by five wickets. Rigaud's had some good bowling, but they were not such a well-balanced team as Grant's, relying entirely on Stocker, Lewis and Richardson to make runs for them. W.P.B.

GRANT'S v. RIGAUD'S

On July 1st, 1937.

Rigaud's.

K. V. Lewis, c Patterson, b Greenish	13
P. H. Jessop, run out	11
M. G. Yonge, b Patterson	3
J. D. Stocker, not out	42
C. A. Richardson, c Wilkinson, b Greenish	0
D. Ospalak, run out	2
A. P. De Boer, b Greenish	2
L. V. Worthington, b Cunliffe	15
J. M. Tasker, run out	3
J. Fryzer, b Cunliffe	0
L. F. Phillipson, lbw, b Woodbridge	0
Extras	1
Total	92

Grant's.

D. L. Wilkinson, lbw, b Richardson	29
B. V. I. Greenish, c Lewis, b Stocker	12
G. H. J. Fursdon, b Ospalak	0
D. F. Cunliffe, b Ospalak	11
H. A. Budgett, b Jessop	9
J. W. Woodbridge, not out	13
J. C. S. Doll, not out	2
Extras	25
Total for five wickets	101

R. A. Reed, M. L. Patterson, R. O. I. Borradaile, and C. E. Newman, did not bat.

Grant's won by 5 wickets.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.**Grant's.**

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Patterson	8	1	22	1	22.00
Doll	3	0	14	0	—
Greenish	5	0	13	3	4.33
Cunliffe	6	0	25	2	12.50
Woodbridge ...	6	2	17	1	17.00

Rigaud's.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Ospalak	7	0	22	2	11.00
Stocker	8	0	39	1	39.00
Richardson ...	3	1	15	1	15.00
Jessop	1	1	0	1	—

THE AVERAGES.**Batting.**

	Innings	Runs	Highest Times		Average
			Score	Not Out	
D. F. Cunliffe	5	128	56	2	42.66
D. L. Wilkinson ...	5	154	57*	1	38.50
H. A. Budgett	5	93	75*	1	31.00
J. C. S. Doll	4	83	67	1	27.66
J. W. Woodbridge ...	4	65	24	1	21.66
B. V. I. Greenish ...	5	44	12	0	8.80
G. H. J. Fursdon ...	4	6	2*	1	2.00

Also Batted: C. E. Newman 16. R. A. Reed 4*. R. O. I.
Borradaile 0 and 0. M. L. Patterson did not bat.

* Signifies not out.

Bowling.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
B. V. I. Greenish ...	12	0	41	6	6.83
J. C. S. Doll	27	6	75	7	10.71
M. L. Patterson ...	48.4	6	118	11	10.73
J. W. Woodbridge ..	33	7	95	8	11.87
D. F. Cunliffe	45.5	11	156	13	12.00

Grant's scored 636 runs for 24 wickets for an average of 26.5 runs per wicket as against 535 runs for 50 wickets for an average of 10.7 runs per wicket by their opponents.

CRITICISMS OF THE SENIORS TEAM.

Cunliffe, D. F. To have led the House to victory in all the five matches played under the new system has been a triumphant end to his cricket career at the School. I am not going to presume to criticise the technique of his play: more important even than being a good player, which incidentally he is, has been the way in which, as captain, he held the confidence of his team. T.M.R.

Wilkinson, D. L. His wicket-keeping throughout the term both in the 1st XI and in Seniors has been a model of efficiency and consistency. His batting in Seniors was always reliable, and he started the side off with a good innings nearly every game. A most valuable member of the side.

Doll, J. C. S. He has never regained either his form or his confidence since June of last year. He seldom looked comfortable (his innings against College being a welcome exception), and when he did hit the ball it was up in the air. This is a great pity, because there is no doubt that the batting ability is there, and he was always keen and anxious to improve. An excellent fielder and a much improved bowler with six valuable wickets against Busby's to his credit.

***Woodbridge, J. W.** He well deserved a "pink," hardly his first; he has made himself into a useful all-rounder. His batting has improved, he watches the ball well, although he has a great liking to turn every ball to leg, which is often the cause of his downfall. His bowling has been consistent if never brilliant, and he is a first-class fielder.

Budgett, H. A. He played an invaluable innings of 75 v. College, when the early batsmen had failed. Budgett, when he gets going, has all the strokes, but during the early part of an innings, he has a tendency to lift his head, and often gets caught in this way. Fielding good.

***Greenish, B. V. I.** He has at last shown the ability that one expected but never saw. As an opening batsman in the 2nd XI he has played many valuable innings, but in Seniors he unaccountably failed. This may have been due to the fact that he went in out of his usual place except in the last game. His bowling, if often erratic, can be very good, and his fielding is sound. I think he might be advised to bowl his off-break more often, and to bowl his leg-break slower, until he has found his length.

***Patterson, M. L.** Patterson bowled with all his heart in Seniors, and with some success, although for the earlier matches he showed a delight for pitching the ball almost on his own toe. With an uncomfortable action, it is surprising that he manages to get up as much pace as he does. During the latter part of the season, he

became far less erratic, and this, I think, is due to the fact that he does not try to bowl too fast. His fielding is good.

***Reed, R. A.** His batting at the moment looks stiff and uncomfortable. However, he hits the ball hard to leg, and has a good defence. His weakness is on the off-side, when he seldom manages to get his right foot well across. His fielding is lethargic, and he never gives one the impression of being fully alive to the proceedings.

***Fursdon, G. H. J.** He has lost some of the confidence he showed when he was a Junior. However, I am confident that the ability is there, and I hope he will have a more successful season next year. Fielding patchy.

***Borradaile, R. O. I.** It would be unfair to take his merit from the Senior games, because he has not justified himself. This was probably due to the fact that he had to open the innings. He played one or two good innings for the Colts, but he is rather anxious to start scoring before he has played himself in. Fielding good.

***Newman, C. E.** He had very little opportunity to show his worth in Seniors, and in Juniors he had a 'tennis' elbow. However, the only time he did bat, he played quite well, and his fielding was always excellent.

Fevéz, R. L. and ***Winckworth, D. S.** also played, Both played once.

* Signifies that he will be available next year.

D.F.C.

JUNIORS.

Grant's v. Homeboarders—1st Round.

Grants lost by 21 runs.

Grant's, who were without Newman, lost the toss and Homeboarders went in to bat. Newman was a great loss to Grant's, as he was the best bowler we had, and a useful batsman. However, Grant's met with an early success, as Macwhinnie, the Homeboard's captain, in trying to turn Borradaile to leg, was bowled. Evans and Borradaile both bowled well, straight and good length, and half the wickets were down for 30, but Peck, who had been such a nuisance in the Seniors' game, was still there and batting nicely. Instone was run out, a rather doubtful decision, I thought, and Hanrott was lbw, but first Burrowes and then Bodley stayed with Peck, and the score was 65 before Winckworth snatched up a catch behind the wicket off Evans to dismiss Peck who had batted very well. Once Peck was out the remaining two wickets fell

cheaply and Grant's had done well to dismiss Homeboarders for such a low score, which seemed well within the compass of the Grant's batsmen.

However, the fast bowling of Hanrott, well backed up by Peck and Burrowes, and the unenterprising batting of Grant's, not assisted in any way by some very doubtful decisions, prevented Grant's from reaching this aim. Twenty-five runs were on the board with only two wickets down, but then Borradaile was given out to a ball which hit him "dans l'estomac," and Hanrott bowled Evans with a good one. Wickets began to fall fast, Hanrott bowled all too straight, and our total was 21 runs behind when finally Page-Wood caught Sandelson.

Grant's might have won the game if they had batted better on an easy wicket. Newman was indeed a loss, but as it was Homeboarders were without two of their regular side. An interesting and amusing game. Borradaile is to be congratulated on captaining the side well, and encouraging his batsmen to hit the bowling, when things were not going well.

D.F.C.

GRANT'S v. HOMEBOARDERS—1st. Round.

Homeboarders.

O. J. Peck, c Winckworth, b Evans	30
G. M. Macwhinnie, b Borradaile	8
J. R. A. Stickland, b Evans	2
J. H. Page-Wood, b Borradaile	0
A. P. Ridley-Thompson, b Evans	0
G. A. S. Blake, c Cranfield, b Evans	0
D. S. T. Instone, run out	4
F. G. V. Hanrott, lbw, b Evans	3
D. E. St. J. Burrowes, b Morrison	8
P. O. Bodley, not out	11
G. M. Woodwark, c Davison, b Morrison	3
Extras	3
Total	72

Grant's.

F. D. Gammon, b Hanrott	10
J. K. Morrison, lbw, b Peck	1
D. C. Evans, b Hanrott	10
R. O. I. Borradaile, lbw, b Peck	8
E. R. Cawston, b Hanrott	8
D. S. Winckworth, b Burrowes	5

L. E. Cranfield, st. Stickland, b Peck	1
M. H. Flanders, c Macwhinnie, b Hanrott	1
J. B. Craig, b Hanrott	0
N. D. Sandelson, c Page-Wood, b Burrowes	0
D. P. Davison, not out	0
Extras	7
Total	<u>51</u>

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Hanrott	9	0	12	5	2.40
Peck	6	1	20	3	6.66
Page-Wood ...	2	0	8	0	—
Burrowes	1	0	4	2	2.00

Grant's.

	Overs	Maidens	Runs	Wkts.	Average
Evans	10	0	36	5	7.20
Borradaile ...	12	2	20	2	10.00
Morrison	4.3	0	10	2	5.00
Cranfield	2	0	3	0	—

CRITICISMS OF THE JUNIORS TEAM.

***Borradaile, R. O. I.** (Capt.). See Senior's criticism.

Evans, D. C. Evans bowled well in Juniors, and although he had to bear the brunt of the bowling he kept a consistently good length. His batting is good defensively, but he gets himself out in an endeavour to score quickly, which is impossible for one of his size.

Winckworth, D. S. His wicket-keeping has improved, but he still snatches at the ball, especially the one on the leg-side. His batting is rustic, but he can defend his wicket stubbornly. He is always keen.

Gammon, F. D. His batting is less adventurous than it was, though like so many left-handers he has a preference for balls on the leg stump rather than for those on the off. His fielding is often quite good, but he gives one the impression of fielding with his thoughts miles away from the cricket field.

Morrison, J. K. His batting is weak, for he still does not know how to choose the right ball to hit. His bowling, however, is much improved, and he keeps a steady length. His fielding is quite good, but he has difficulty in bending down to stop the hard hit.

***Cawston, E. R.** He has played many good innings this term, and it was unfortunate that he did not make more in Juniors. His off-side strokes are very stiff, but he watches the ball carefully on to his bat. Fielding quite good. A very promising young cricketer.

Cranfield, L. E. Cranfield is very keen, and for this reason it is a pity he is not better. His batting, however, has definitely improved, and with constant practise he should be a useful bowler.

Craig, J. B. His size handicaps his batting, which is often rather wild. Fielding good.

Flanders, M. H. He has at last learnt not to hold his bat right at the bottom of the handle, and he is now able to hit the ball quite hard, especially to leg. He still has a tendency to lift the ball, and this is often the cause of his downfall. Fielding quite good.

***Davison, D. P.** Davison started the term with very little or no idea of how to bat, but with a good eye for ball games, he picked it up quickly, and by the end of the term, could bat quite respectably.

***Sandelson, N. D.** Sandelson is very keen, and when he grows he should be quite useful, as he has a very good idea of the game. Fielding weak. He must stop the ball with his hands, and not rely on his shin, ankle, or foot.

*Signifies that he will be under 16 next year.

D.F.C.

THE WATER.

Grants at Putney this year did not achieve the same measure of success as last. We lost the Halahan Cup, the Rouse-Ball Cup and the Townboys Rudder and the Jeffrey's Cup for the Junior-Senior Fours. We had no one who had a chance of repeating C. A. Argyle's success in the Junior Sculls, and C. R. Strother-Stewart failed to repeat his achievement in the Junior-Senior sculls, being beaten on the post by M. J. Wedgwood Benn of Homeboarders in the final. Only the Junior-Senior Four won a heat, the Senior and the Junior Fours being beaten by Homeboarders.

There were, however, four bright spots. We gained more points than any other house for members of the first three eights. We had G. L. Y. Radcliffe and J. K. Morland in the first, H. H. E. Batten, C. A. Argyle and J. P. Hart in the second and R. D. Rich and C. R. Strother-Stewart in the third eight. Second, we put on a Junior Four for the first time for at least five years. That is a good sign and I hope that it will be maintained. We again provided the winner of the Senior Sculls in G. L. Y. Radcliffe; his opponent fell in in the

final when he was about two lengths down. Lastly, we should have won the Halahan Cup if Strother-Stewart had won his race. Actually we came equal third with Ashburnham. Homeboarders beating us by 19 points, and Rigaud's by 6.

In the Junior Sculls we had five competitors, I. G. Ball, V. B. Levison, F. H. Hughes, F. G. Overbury, and F. J. Earle. All were unfortunately beaten in their first races.

In the Junior-Senior Sculls we had R. D. Rich, C. R. Strother-Stewart, F. E. Noel Baker, R. K. Archer, and V. G. H. Hallett entered. Hallett and Archer, unfortunately, were beaten in their first races, Rich and Noel Baker survived one heat, Rich being beaten by E. P. Hawthorne of Homeboarders who went on to the semi-final and Noel Baker by T. B. Nicholas of College, who was then beaten by Strother-Stewart who had beaten A. T. P. Harrison of Ashburnham in the first round. Strother-Stewart met Hawthorne, who had broken the record in an earlier heat, in the semi-final. The water was very bad and a strong head wind hindered Hawthorne who is about three stone lighter than Strother-Stewart. The latter's weight got him home by $1\frac{1}{2}$ lengths after a most exciting race. He must learn to get his hands away faster and to link with one hand in front of the other and not on top. At the moment he does not use all his weight to advantage. The final was rowed under moderate conditions from the U.B.R. Stone to the end of the fence. As far as Beverley there was nothing between them, with Strother-Stewart if anything leading. Strother-Stewart then started to gain slightly and at the top of the Football Ground won about half a length up. Wedgwood Benn then started to spurt. At first Strother-Stewart held him, but the last ten strokes Wedgwood Benn went up fast to win a magnificent race by three quarters of a length. It was in the last ten strokes that Strother-Stewart's slowness away with his hands told against him.

In the Senior Sculls our four competitors were J. P. Hart, H. H. E. Batten, C. A. Argyle and G. L. Y. Radcliffe. Hart and Batten were beaten in their first races, and as luck would have it Argyle and Radcliffe were drawn together. In this race, rowed up over the mile course Argyle went away fast, and had a lead of about two lengths at the Boathouse. Radcliffe then started coming up, and by Beverley was about a length down. Argyle spurted at this point, but failed to go up, and Radcliffe was a length up by the top of the Football Ground. Here there was nearly a collision, and a few strokes later Argyle caught a crab and lost about two lengths. He spurted hard in but only gained about a length. If he had been the luckier in the draw he might well have got through several heats. Radcliffe then beat S. F. Raleigh and R. G. Evans, both of Busby's, after good races and met M. Knowles of Homeboarders in the final. This race was rowed up over the mile course under rather nasty

conditions. Both scullers went off hard and there was nothing in it to Beverley. Here Radcliffe started to go up and by the Football Ground was two lengths clear. At this point Knowles unfortunately caught a crab with his right scull and fell in.

In the Coxwains Handicap Sculling J. K. Morland could not quite get in first. He finished about two lengths behind E. N. Skrender and about a length behind D. R. Mullis, who was rowing in a fixed seat with half a minute start.

G.L.Y.R.

SENIOR FOURS.

Semi-Final

Homeboarders: Middlesex 1.

Grant's: Surrey 2.

Won by 2½ lengths in 4 mins. 43 secs.

Rowed on Thursday, July 22nd, over a course from the Mile Post to the U.B.R. Stone.

Both crews raced off level, Grant's showing great vigour but less dash than Homeboarders. At the end of the first minute both were striking 32, but Grant's steered rather wide, and Homeboarders on the Middlesex station and inside of the bend had settled down and were leading by three quarters of a length at the Football Ground. At Beverley, Homeboarders rowing well together at 33 and getting a long finish were half a length clear. Grant's had dropped to a vigorous but uncertain 25, but at the Black Buoy they spurted, and, rowing at 32, drew up a little. At the Boathouse Homeboarders were rowing 34 and Grant's were getting ragged and beginning to bounce their boat, and at London R.C. Homeboarders draw away to win well by 2½ lengths.

J.D.C.

SENIORS CRITICISMS.

G. L. Y. Radcliffe (Stroke). A tireless worker, who uses his head as well as his muscles and never gets rattled. He did not have enough time to settle down to rowing on stroke side, and in consequence never looked really comfortable. He had a tendency to shoot his slide, and though he cured himself of this in practice paddling it developed again as soon as he started rowing.

J.D.C.

J. P. Hart (bow). His swing used to be much too straight but he has cured that to a great extent now, but he still tends to swing round onto his left foot. He must learn to use his feet more both to control his slide forward and to balance the boat. He must also improve his blade work.

H. H. E. Batten (2). He is rather short, and also needs to pay more attention to his feet. He shoots his slide rather in the four and slips more when sculling. He must use his back more at the beginning. He must also try to get his hands away fast while keeping the movement smoother.

C. A. Argyle (3). He also is short and must learn like bow and two to use his feet to control his slide. He works very hard indeed, but when working hard tends to lose his poise and balance. He must also improve his blade work, letting the bottom do more of the work.

J. K. Morland (Cox). He has improved a great deal this year, becoming more sure of himself and decisive, and he has increased his power of concentration. He must learn, however, not to use so much rudder, especially in races. G.L.Y.R.

JUNIOR-SENIOR FOURS.

First Round.

Grant's: Surrey 1.

Rigaud's 'A': Middlesex 2.

Won by $1\frac{3}{4}$ lengths in 5 mins. 29 secs.

This race was rowed down over the Mile Course. Rigaud's gained a little on the start but Grant's then came up and by Beverley had a lead of half a length which they increased by a length by a "twenty." The crew rowed well, keeping their length all the way.

The crews were.—Grant's: R. K. Archer (bow), 9st. 8lbs.; F. E. Noel Baker, 8st. 8lbs.; C. R. Strother-Stewart, 12st. 1lb.; R. D. Rich (stroke), 9st. 11lbs.; J. K. Morland (cox), 9st. 2lbs. Rigauds 'A': P. P. Brittlebank (bow), 9st. 6lbs.; E. F. G. Maynard, 10st. 2lbs.; R. Wakeford, 10st. 0lbs.; S. L. Wagstaff (stroke), 9st. 3lbs.; M. C. A. Lyall (cox), 6st. 8lbs.

Semi-Final.

Ashburnham: Surrey 1.

Grant's: Middlesex 2.

Won by $1\frac{3}{4}$ lengths in 5 mins. 15 secs.

This race was also rowed down. Both crews did good starts, but soon after Ashburnham started going up and by Beverley were about a length up. Here Grant's spurted, but made no impression,

and immediately Ashburnham spurred they went away. The crews rowed very neatly and well together and kept their length, but they seemed to have lost some of their dash and were not using all their weight.

The crews were.—Ashburnham: A. T. P. Harrison (bow), 7st. 13lbs.; W. H. Allechin, 9st. 9lbs.; P. F. Taylor, 10st. 6lbs.; R. H. Nicholson (stroke), 10st. 11lbs.; P. G. B. Lofting (cox), 6st. 7lbs. Grant's: Same as above.

JUNIOR-SENIORS CRITICISMS.

R. K. Archer (bow). He does not swing quite straight and at the finish has difficulty in getting his shoulders over and the finish drawn right out. His blade work is quite good, but he must try to become longer.

F. E. Noel Baker (2). He has improved immensely lately and has learnt to control his swing. He must improve his blade work and get his oar down to the water before the beginning. He tends to loose his finish and to become short in a race. He must concentrate on getting his shoulders over and finishing out long.

C. R. Strother-Stewart (3). He too, has improved, but is still far from using all his immense weight. He must become much longer at the finish and harder all the way back and also get his hands away faster.

R. D. Rich (stroke). He strokes quite well, but tends to loose his poise out during a race. He is very long, but tends to shy his blade and then dig. His slide goes, and then gets a bit out of control.

JUNIOR FOURS.

Semi-Final.

Homeboarders: Surrey 1.

Grant's: Middlesex 2.

Won by 4 lengths in 4 mins. 44 secs.

This race was rowed down from the end of the fence to the U.B.R. Stone. Both crews did good starts but very soon after Homeboarders started to go up, and in spite of plucky attempts at a spurt Grant's could never get near them.

The crews were.—Homeboarders: A. J. M. Clark (bow), 9st. 7lbs.; A. D. M. Nash, 7st. 9lbs.; P. B. Taylor, 9st. 7lbs.; J. Morton

(stroke), 9st. 7lbs.; D. R. Mullis (cox), 7st. 7lbs. Grant's: V. B. Levison (bow), 7st. 1lb.; F. J. Earle, 8st. 3lbs.; F. G. Overbury, 8st. 3lbs.; I. G. Ball (stroke), 8st. 1lb.; A. J. Henderson (cox), 8st. 9lbs.

JUNIORS' CRITICISMS.

V. B. Levison (bow). He has difficulty in keeping time, owing to the slowness with which he gets his hands away, and also his slowness back. He must learn to go back much harder and faster, and to get hands away fast without "hoicking."

F. J. Earle (2). He is long forward, but has not yet got the strength commensurate with his length. He must learn to get his oar down to the water and not dig, and also to keep his arms straight coming forward.

F. G. Overbury (3). He works very hard, but does not get a fair return for it as he is almost always early on his stroke. He is rather too long at the finish, and then to make up for that trembler forward without any poise. He must learn to get this.

I. G. Ball (stroke). He stroked quite well, but he is liable to allow his crew to become short at the finish as he does not always get his own shoulders over and hold out the stroke. He is not quite "cohesive" enough coming forward, and allows his legs to open too far.

A. J. Henderson (cox). He coxes quite nicely, but has a tendency to use too much rudder and not to keep his rudder lines taut. His voice is quite good, though a little monotonous. He is a little heavy for a cox, especially if he grows much.

FOOTBALL PROSPECTS.

There is every prospect of having a good team again this year. Six of last year's victorious XI are available as well as the whole of the Juniors team that was narrowly beaten in the final by H.B.B.

Reed and Greenish should be a sound pair of backs and if some good half-backs are forthcoming to fill the gaps left by Budgett and Moller our defence should be hard to pierce. There are plenty of good forwards, including Woodbridge, and at present the only trouble appears to be that they will be rather small. However, this need not handicap them unduly if they are fast and can combine well together.

Juniors is as yet a long way ahead, but when it does come we should be able to produce a team capable of doing well, if not even winning the Cup. Most of last year's team are still young enough and the few gaps that will have to be filled should cause no difficulty.

M.L.P.

FIVES.

We beat College by 2 matches to 1 in the Final of the Junior Fives Competition, having previously beaten A.H.H. and H.B.B. I think this is the first time the Junior Fives Cup has been Up House, but as most of the team will be available for the coming season we have every chance of retaining it.

JUNIORS CRITICISMS.

R. O. I. Borradaile. A very promising player with a good idea of the game. He has a very useful smite, but is too inclined to miss simple shots.

D. S. Winckworth. Rather clumsy, but a very hard working player. He generally hits the ball too high and too hard.

R. L. Fevez. Another very useful player, though rather weak with his left hand. He has developed a good smite.

C. E. Newman. Quite a promising player who might become very good with more practice. He is rather weak on the step.

B. Y. I. Greenish. Also requires plenty of practice. At present he is rather clumsy, but might become quite good.

D. C. Evans. Handicapped by size (or rather lack of it), but tries very hard; has not got a very effective smite.

It was very hard to choose between Borradaile, Winckworth and Fevez. They are all about the same standard. Unfortunately they were all inconsistent, which made it harder to choose. Their general fault is that they do not make enough use of the box and are also inclined to miss easy shots. Most of the team were too fond of hitting the ball as hard as possible, not realising that an accurately placed shot is often more effective.

D.L.W.

O.T.C.

With the end of Camp another O.T.C. training year comes to an end. Camp is, and should be looked on, as the climax of the year's training, and the higher numbers this year point to a growing realisation of this. Besides being the climax of the year's training, Camp is both enjoyable and also a good holiday after the work of the examinations. Everyone looked better at the end than at the beginning, a change in which the plentiful supply of sunshine worked its full share. Indeed, the weather could not have been better. Strensall has a bad reputation but it belied it this time.

The tactical training was most interesting, the demonstration, of which there were not so many as at Tidworth, good, and almost up to the standard there. One demonstration was of mounted

cavalry action, which was both spectacular and showed up, perhaps unconsciously, the archaicness of mounted troops. The first two days were occupied by platoon training, followed by a most interesting morning dredging the camp bathing pool with kapok equipment, which was rather the worse for wear after it had been used for two weeks and a final between the companies of each of the five battalions. The next two days were devoted to inter-company training, as were Nights Ops. which went well from the point of view of Westminster, No. 2 platoon capturing the enemies' headquarters. On the last day of inter-company training Westminster found one of the attacking companies with Trent, but unfortunately remained in reserve owing to a misunderstanding between the battalion commander and the company commander, Radcliffe. The last day was used for a demonstration of aircraft, and for the trying out of various methods of concealment on the march from air observation. The photographs of this are going to be forwarded to contingents and should prove of great interest.

The first Grant's tent, consisting of G. L. Y. Radcliffe, H. H. E. Batten, R. A. Reed, R. L. Fevez, M. G. Finn and L. E. Cranfield, won the Tent Competition. It was only won by one point, but the marks throughout were close, only about ten marks separating the first and last.

Our success in the Tent Competition is the only asset we have to set against our disgraceful shooting. In both the Senior and the Junior we came one from last. In the Senior this was largely due to the fact that the team leader could not find the second ring in the landscape target, owing to the badness of the glasses—officers—for the use of—provided. The Senior four were R. A. Reed, J. W. Woodbridge, M. L. Patterson, G. L. Y. Radcliffe and team leader D. L. Wilkinson. The Junior four were C. E. Newman, R. O. I. Borradaile, L. E. Cranfield and P. J. Dannhorn.

The training during the first part of the term consisted of a tactical exercise in Richmond Park, under the eyes of Lord Bridgeman, our inspecting officer, and devised by him. This scheme was drawn from an incident, imaginary or otherwise, in Palestine, in which a brigand with the picturesque name of Rodzianko had to be captured. Most of his men were captured, but the brigand escaped so well that neither his own men, the enemy or the umpires knew where he was.

Our most interesting day was spent at Bordon, where the contingent executed an attack with all the officers and N.C.O.'s duplicated by regulars from the Cameronians. This was followed by an interesting demonstration of modern motorised equipment and tank guns.

An attempt, perhaps a little ambitious, in view of the nature of the ground, was made to repeat this one afternoon later in the term on Putney Heath. The term has, on the whole, been the most interesting for a long time.

G.L.Y.B.

THE SCOUTS.

The Lent Term was spent in the usual routine work; six Tenderfeet joined, out of whom three were Grantites, these brought up the Grant's total to twenty Scouts, all of whom by the end of the Election Term were 1st Class Scouts.

During the Easter holidays Mr. Barber and three energetic Scouts decided to go on a walking tour in Wales. They started at Hereford, and in eleven days covered 190 miles; Townroe and Argyle unfortunately had to drop out in the middle, the former owing to a sprained muscle, but the S.M. and Cunliffe survived the ordeal, ending up again at Hereford. At the end of the Lent Term it had practically been decided to hold this year's camp in Wales, but at the beginning of the Election Term matters developed quickly; the S.M. and A.S.M. had decided to take a number of Scouts to La Grave in the French Alps; the motion was put to the vote of the troop, the result was that about thirty-five wished to go. This was too many; the numbers were decreased to twenty-six Scouts by means of voting by the P.L.'s who each picked out the most experienced campers. Mr. Garnett has spent the rest of the term working out the details of trains, fares, etc! This party will leave Victoria on the evening of the last day of term, hoping to arrive at La Grave sometime during the evening of the following day.

The Field-days were spent in the Leith Hill—Holmwood district; 1st Class Badge candidates plotted out their maps, while the rest of the troop carried out cross-country "manoeuvres" and signalling.

All the week-end journeys were completed in spite of the bad weather. The last Field-day, which was only a half-day, was spent in pitching the three camps in Green. The main camp going to France, the remainder who are going to the site on Dartmoor, which was used four years ago; and the small camp for the Juniors, from the Millbank School, which is to be held in Wales under the management of three O.W.W. Scouts, E. R. Bindloss, A. N. Winckworth and W. G. Steven, and W. P. Barnes who is still in the School Troop.

At the beginning of the Election Term there were seven King's Scouts in the troop of whom three were Grantites; it is to be hoped that during the coming year there will be many more King's Scouts up Grant's.

H.A.B.

MUSIC.

In the Music Competition on July 9th we were able to add to the successes of last Election Term. The choirs, quartets and instrumental music were judged by Mr. Reginald Jacques who, with his witty "cracks," undoubtedly added to the hearty atmosphere

in the singing. The standard of the choirs, he said, was of a much higher standard than on previous occasions, and so I am pleased we came first.

College, who have now held the cup for about fifteen years, were again successful; they had plenty of opposition from us, and it was not until the last event that they were certain of winning. Besides coming first in the choirs, the quartet and ensemble won very easily, other Houses not showing much evidence of previous practice. The quartet sang at the Concert, the first time a quartet has sung at a concert for a very long time. J. C. S. Doll won the broken voice solo, he will be missed in future competitions. In the orchestra and chamber music we came second to College in each case, this will probably stagger some people, but nevertheless it is quite true!

I have enjoyed doing the House music this term, and I feel sure that if everyone shows as much enthusiasm and interest as was evident in this competition. Grant's will at last be able to win the cup. Anyhow try again and good luck.

J.P.H.

GRANT'S LITERARY SOCIETY.

Election Term.

The numbers of our meetings had to be restricted this term owing both to the usual outside attractions and to exams. However, the meetings that we did have were enjoyable ones and the standard of reading was as high as it has ever been.

At long last we managed to get copies of "Call it a Day," and it was a great success. Strother-Stewart and Patterson are to be congratulated on the way in which they conducted the "below-stairs" scenes. We then read Henry the Fourth, Part II, which is, I think, better than Part I. The comic characters with their superb names such as Wart, Feeble, Bullcalf, and Fang making such good relief. We finished the term with "Escape," by Galsworthy, and besides having a full house, we were very pleased to welcome Mr. Llewelyn, who becomes House Tutor next term.

Although Mr. Carleton is deserting the House for Busbys, he has kindly consented to carry on as the Secretary's advisor-in-chief, and I am sure J. P. Hart, who takes my place and to whom I wish every success, will find him as helpful as I have done.

D.F.C.

“ Call it a Day,” by Dodie Smith.

Cast.

Dorothy Hilton	Moller
Vera	Reed
Roger Hilton	J. D. Carleton, Esq.
Ann Hilton	Cunliffe
Martin Hilton	Radcliffe
Catherine Hilton	Dick
Cook	Patterson
Mrs. Milson	Strother-Stewart
Paul Francis	T. M. Murray-Rust, Esq.
Ethel Francis	Woodbridge
Muriel Weston	Morland
Frank Haines	Budgett
Elsie Lester	Hart
Beatrice Gwynne	Rich
Alistair Brown	Doll
Joan Collett	Morland
Stage Directions, etc. ..	T.M. Murray-Rust, Esq.

“ King Henry the Fourth. Part II.”

Cast.

Northumberland	T. M. Murray-Rust, Esq.
Falstaff	J. D. Carleton, Esq.
Lord Chief Justice	Budgett
Archbishop of York	Moller
Mistress Quickly	Strother-Stewart
Fang	Woodbridge
Snare	Dick
Prince of Wales	Cunliffe
Poins	Moller
Bardolph	Reed
Lady Percy	Morland
Lady Northumberland	Rich
Doll Tearsheet	Patterson
Pistol	T. M. Murray-Rust, Esq.
Peto	Budgett
King Henry the Fourth ..	T. M. Murray-Rust, Esq.
Warwick	Moller
Silence	Moller
Mouldy	Woodbridge
Wart	Cunliffe
Feable	Reed
Bullcalf	Budgett
Shadow	Strother-Stewart
Colevile	Hart

"Escape," by John Galsworthy.

Cast.

Girl	Morland
Matt Denant	Cunliffe
Plain Clothes Man	Radeliffe
Policeman	Rev. R. C. Llewelyn
Fellow Convict	Patterson
1st Warder	Doll
2nd Warder	Wilkinson
Lady	Dick
Maid	Rich
Old Gentleman	L. E. Tanner, Esq.
1st Wife	Strother-Stewart
Captain	Budgett
Shopkeeper	Wilkinson
Sister	Hart
Man	T. M. Murray-Rust, Esq.
2nd Wife	Moller
Farmer	Budgett
1st Labourer	Woodbridge
Little Girl	Rich
Miss Dora	Reed
Miss Grace	Dick
Constable	Patterson
Parson	L. E. Tanner, Esq.
Bellringer	Rev. R. C. Llewelyn
Stage Directions	J. D. Carleton, Esq.

OLD GRANTITES.

Mr. Kenneth Macmorran, K.C., has been appointed Chancellor of the diocese of Lincoln, and Mr. G. H. Guillian Scott, Chancellor of the diocese of Oxford.

The Rev. Philip Usher has been appointed Warden of Liddon House.

MARRIAGES.

HUNTER—PARK. On August 8, 1936, Francis Trevor Hunter to Audrey Elizabeth, daughter of Mr. C. N. Park, of Scarborough.
MERE—CONNOLLY. On June 22, 1937, Robin Mere to Margaret Freda, eldest daughter of Mr. and Mrs. H. S. Connolly, of Cheadleholme, Cheshire.

NOTICES.

All correspondence should be addressed to the Editor, 2, Little Deans Yard, S.W.1, and all contributions must be clearly written on **one side** of the paper only.

The Hon. Treasurer of the Old Grantite Club and of "The Grantite Review" is P. J. S. Bevan, and all subscriptions should be sent to him at 4, Brick Court, Temple, E.C.4.

The Hon. Secretary of the Old Grantite Club and "The Grantite Review" is A. Garrard, and all enquiries should be sent to him at Fuller's Farm, West Grinstead, Horsham, Sussex.

Back numbers may be obtained from the Editor, price 1/-.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of contributors and correspondents.

Floreat.