



The Elizabethan.

Vol. VIII. No. 22.

WESTMINSTER, MARCH 1897.

Price 6d.

NEKUIA.

SOME weeks ago we read with considerable surprise a paragraph in an evening paper which described, on trustworthy authority, the appearance at Windsor Castle of a ghost identified as that of Queen Elizabeth. Now, we had always been told that the ghost of Queen Elizabeth and that of a certain racket-playing Q.S. were in the habit of showing themselves on certain nights in or about Little Dean's Yard. We had never seen them, it is true, nor had we ever seen Bradshaw the regicide, in the Abbey Triforium, yet we felt bound to believe that one only had to be there at the right time in order to see all one wished. It seemed therefore, somewhat ungrateful of the ghost of our Foundress to confer upon Windsor a favour denied to her loyal scholars of Westminster. What had we done that she should desert us? Why, we asked, in this age of interviewing, does not some enterprising journalist, note-book in hand,

intercept the Royal spectre and give us the benefit of his impressions? But, stay! Why not do it ourselves if no one else will venture? What paper more fit to interview Elizabeth than that which bears her name? Our duty was plain: we determined, like Hamlet, to watch for the ghost, and, if it should appear, 'to speak to it though hell itself should gape.' To Windsor accordingly we went, equipped only with a school list and some back numbers of THE ELIZABETHAN, and our energy was amply rewarded. We caught the ghost (as the night was very fine) strolling on the Castle Terrace. It is needless to describe its appearance, for we are familiar with the Queen's portraits, and yet we imagine that portraits flatter her. Perhaps the artists dared not paint her as she was! So we thought as we stood and watched the ghost approach; but, to tell the truth, we were considerably alarmed at the same time when we realised our temerity in encountering so formidable a phantom.

How would Her Majesty be likely to receive us? We had read our history, and we knew that in life her temper had been none of the best—that she had boxed her courtiers' ears, pulled their hair, and sworn at them like a fish-wife. Upon reflection, however, it appeared most improbable that a disembodied spirit could act in such a manner, and we were further reassured by its mild and timid appearance on catching sight of us; it stopped and seemed inclined to turn back. Now was our opportunity. 'Speak!' we cried, with an adaptation of Shakespeare, 'thou shalt go no further.' The ghost made no reply. 'You will excuse me, your Majesty,' we went on. 'Good fellow,' said the Queen in a tone of weariness and disgust, 'trouble me not,' and she turned away. Will she call upon some ghostly Cecil or Walsingham to consign us to dungeon or block? thought we; but we persevered. We told her where we came from and what we were; we asked her why she had left her former haunts. 'Ah!' said she, 'you would interview me? Well, I will tell you, to quote from the play—"company, villainous company, hath been the ruin of me." I had almost resigned myself to that racket-player, and even Bradshaw was tolerable at a distance, but a worse than he has appeared—a ghost, riding a bicycle, a material ghost, has intruded himself upon us, and flits round Dean's Yard in defiance of its lawful occupants. I could not endure it, and that is why you see me here.' She sighed deeply, and we pitied her. 'Your Majesty has been badly treated, without doubt,' said we. 'If you would ask me anything more,' said she, 'be quick, for I must soon return.' 'May we take any message to your scholars at Westminster?' 'That I will return if I may wander undisturbed again,' said she. 'You do not object to the policeman?' 'No, no; it is the bicycle I cannot stand. Why, I was nearly run over once, and even a ghost objects to that.' We promised to do our best. 'Yes, you forty scholars should take more care of your foundress.' 'Forty?' we repeated. 'Ah! I forgot; there are more of you now.' 'There are; allow me to quote from the school list'—taking it from our pocket—"The foundation of Queen Elizabeth consists of sixty scholars." 'Well, live and learn,' she replied; and while she spoke her form became fainter and fainter, till we found ourself alone, wondering if we had seen or only dreamed.

WESTMINSTER WORTHIES.

No. 53.—GEORGE HENRY HARLOW.

WESTMINSTER, unfortunately, cannot claim many eminent painters among her alumni, but the recent appointment of Sir Edward J. Poynter as President of the Royal Academy naturally directs one's thoughts to the subject of our present sketch, who, next to the President, may be treated as the most illustrious painter who received his education at the School.

The posthumous son of a China merchant, George Henry Harlow was born in St. James's Street, on June 10, 1787. His father predeceased his son's birth some five months, so that the sole care of his five infant sisters and himself devolved upon his mother, who has been described as 'young, wealthy and handsome.' He seemed to have received from his mother all that care and attention of which only sons are wont to be the recipients, and there is no doubt that he was more or less spoiled by her. After receiving part of his education at Dr. Barrow's School in Soho Square, and subsequently at a Mr. Boys' School in Burlington Street, Harlow was sent to Westminster, but the date of his admission is apparently unknown, as it is left blank in the "Westminster School Register." His stay at Westminster was short, as his mother, perceiving his aptitude and predisposition for painting, consented to his seriously embarking upon it as a profession, in view of which he was removed from school, and placed under the care and tuition of Henry de Cort, the landscape painter. Harlow was not satisfied with his progress under de Cort, so left him on his own initiative and entered the studio of Samuel Drummond, the portrait painter, where he continued about a year. His next move was to the studio of the famous Sir Thomas Lawrence, and according to some accounts he went there on the advice of the Duchess of Devonshire. The terms made upon his entering Sir Thomas's studio are somewhat curious. The fee paid was one hundred guineas, and for this he had 'permission to have access to Sir Thomas's house at nine in the morning, with leave to copy his pictures till four o'clock in the afternoon, but to receive no instruction of any kind.' The fee was sufficiently high in the absence of any regular tuition. The talents of the pupil, however, in due course aroused the interest of the master, and he was employed by Lawrence to prepare some of his pictures in dead-colouring, and otherwise in forwarding them.

After being with Lawrence some eighteen months they parted anything but friends. The quarrel arose out of the painting of Lawrence's portrait of Mrs. Angerstein. This portrait included a Newfoundland dog, which was painted in dead-colour by Harlow. The dog proved a great success, and Harlow, perhaps not unnaturally, thought that he should be the recipient of some of the praises which followed its exhibition. He was not a docile artist's 'ghost.' Conversation on the subject produced from Sir Thomas the following

remark: 'As the animal you claim is among the best things I ever painted, of course you have no need of further instruction from me. You must leave my house immediately.' This sarcastic remark seems somewhat unfair having regard to the fact that instruction was expressly excepted from the terms of the pupilage. He left the studio in high dudgeon, and perpetrated his somewhat doubtful revenge at Epsom. He was staying at a tavern in New Inn Lane, and having run up a bill which he could not pay he arranged to liquidate it by painting a sign-board for the house. This took the form of Queen Charlotte's head. It was painted both sides: the one presented a front view of Her Majesty, in a sort of dashing caricature of Sir Thomas's style; the other represented the back view of the Queen's person, as if looking into the sign-board; and underneath was painted 'T. L., Greek Street, Soho.' Upon their next meeting high words are said to have passed between the two.

About the time of his entering Lawrence's studio some friends of Harlow's father endeavoured to detach him from his self-selected profession, but without success. They offered to procure him an East Indian writership, to which he replied, 'I care not for riches—give me fame and glory.' After parting with Lawrence, Harlow set up on his own account and worked hard at his art. He became a candidate for an Associateship of the Royal Academy, but was not elected. Indeed, the only vote he received was from Fuseli, who stated that 'he voted for talent and not for the man.' From this remark and from his non-election, personal feeling would appear to have entered largely into the subject, and its cause may perhaps be attributable to his quarrel with the President. Harlow's ambition was to command success as an historical painter, but his earlier efforts were only indifferently successful. As a portrait painter he fared better, and obtained an extensive practice. His first exhibited production is said to have been a drawing of his mother. At the Academy he was represented for the first time in 1804, when he exhibited a portrait of Dr. Thornton. In historical subjects his first exhibits were 'Queen Elizabeth striking the Earl of Essex,' which figured at the Royal Academy Exhibition in 1807, and 'the Earl of Bolingbroke entering London,' at the British Institution in the following year. His picture of 'Hubert and Prince Arthur,' painted in 1815, was the first which attracted much public attention, but, notwithstanding this, it does not seem to have satisfied Mr. Leader, for whom it was painted on commission, as it was exchanged by the artist for portraits of that gentleman's daughters. The next picture of his to be mentioned is probably the one by which he is generally remembered. Mr. Welch, a professor of music, gave him a commission to paint a portrait of Mrs. Siddons as Queen Katharine in Shakespeare's 'Henry VIII.' He commenced the portrait from memory, though the great actress afterwards gave him one sitting. Subsequently Harlow, in his usual

independent way, decided to introduce into the picture portraits of the Kemble family, and thus converted the portrait of the actress into the well-known 'Trial Scene.' One of the portraits in the background is said to represent the artist himself. Mr. Welch's consent to the alteration was neither asked nor obtained, but he acted generously in accepting the picture in its altered form. Although the picture, as we all know it from the engraving by George Clint, of course entailed more work on the part of the artist, the latter did not endeavour to obtain an increase in the agreed fee. The picture was exhibited at the Royal Academy in 1817, and received considerable notice. The fine engraving above referred to no doubt materially added to the success of the picture, but the central picture of Mrs. Siddons is distinctly striking. It should not be omitted to be mentioned that Harlow was indebted to Fuseli for many hints given during the progress of the painting of the picture, though the advice was given by Fuseli after such a blunt fashion that it is a wonder it was not resented by Harlow. At the time, the latter was engaged in painting Fuseli's portrait, for which he gave twelve sittings. Harlow's next picture at the Royal Academy, 'The Virtue of Faith,' was not successful. It was purchased by a Mr. Tomlinson, who cut up the picture for the sake of the heads.

With all his vanity and good opinion of himself, Harlow was well aware that his art education was very far from perfect. Accordingly, in 1818, he left England for Rome to study the 'art of drawing the human figure with purity and taste.' He seems to have been extremely industrious at Rome, and to have made good use of his time in improving himself in his art. In addition, he appears to have been generally fêted and entertained. He made while there the acquaintance of Canova, who introduced him to the Pope. He always had a great facility of execution, and he gave the artists in Italy some cause for astonishment in the rapidity with which he copied Raphael's 'Transfiguration'; Canova remarking that 'it seemed rather the work of eighteen weeks than of eighteen days.' It was certainly a smart performance. He received the honour of being elected a member of the Academy of St. Luke at Rome, to which he presented a picture of his own painting entitled, 'Wolsey receiving the Cardinal's Hat in Westminster Abbey.' According to his letter to a Mr. Tomkinson, written on November 23, 1818, Benjamin West, Fuseli, Lawrence, and himself were the only English artists who belonged to the Academy of St. Luke as Associates. The honour was thus no empty one, and a striking contrast to the treatment he had received in his own country. In addition, he was invited to paint his own portrait for the Uffizi Gallery at Florence, where it hangs in the company of many illustrious artists who have been similarly honoured.

Though he was quite unaware of it, his earthly career was now nearly at an end. He arrived back in England from Rome on January 13, 1819, when he was shortly afterwards seized with glandular affec-

tion of the throat, which, owing to neglect, caused his death on February 4. He was buried in St. James's Church, Piccadilly, under the altar, and many of his contemporaries in art attended his funeral. At the time of his death he was only in his thirty-second year. His early death put an untimely end to a very promising artistic career. Possessed of talent, he thought lessons given by others 'impertinent,' and 'all studies in academies and schools as so much time bestowed in an attempt to destroy originality' (*Cunningham*). He was also averse to 'rules,' 'fixed periods of study,' and 'limitations of subject.' Though a rapid worker, as we have seen, he was, from his want of proper training, defective in execution. He was most successful in his portraits, which, 'though much in the style and manner of Lawrence, have a character of their own. His portraits of ladies were always graceful and pleasing.' Had he lived to a mature age it is more than probable that he would have greatly enhanced his reputation. He was possessed of a most retentive memory, as instanced by his portrait of a man whom he had only once met in the street, which he painted from memory after the man's death.

Harlow is stated to have had a handsome presence, to have been of an amiable disposition, and to have been generally popular. At the commencement of his artistic career he affected an extravagance of dress and indulged somewhat in licentiousness of conversation, but at any rate by his thirty-first year he had given up these absurd fancies, which were probably only originally assumed out of self-vanity and a mistaken idea that his importance was thereby increased. Many another youthful genius has adopted similar or substituted eccentricities which have passed away after a brief season. At one time, too, he liked to be thought a scholar, saying to Fuseli, 'I was educated a scholar, having been at Westminster School, and therefore wish to see the subjects given in the original languages,' but Fuseli led him into a trap which showed that his knowledge of the classics was not equal to his assumption, and rebuked him for his pretence. The reproof, which was no doubt well deserved, was toned down by the complimentary preface—'You are, undoubtedly a good portrait painter, and, I think, in small pictures such as you are painting of me, stand unrivalled.' 'As an artist,' say *Cunningham*, 'he was eminently skilful in colour and in human character, and handled his subjects with unusual taste and grace; but he discovered, after all, no new way of awakening our emotions; he followed the beaten track in which others trode, and perhaps his highest fame is that of having had some of his heads mistaken for the work of Lawrence.' Notwithstanding their mutual quarrel Sir Thomas Lawrence generously spoke of him as 'the most promising of all our painters.' During his short life Harlow exhibited forty-five pictures at the Royal Academy and five at the British Institution.

We have already referred to his own portrait of himself in the Uffizi Gallery at Florence. A drawing from this by J. Jackson, R.A., was presented in 1888

to the National Portrait Gallery by G. Harlow White, a nephew of the painter.

URLLAD.

School Notes.

The following is the Football Card filled in up to date:—

		1896	
Sat.	Oct. 3	.	Clapham Rovers. (Lost 1-3.)
"	" 10	.	Old Reptonians. (Lost 1-3.)
"	" 17	.	F. Bickley's XI. (Lost 2-6.)
"	" 24	.	Old Westminster. (Lost 1-5.)
"	" 31	.	Old Carthusians. (Lost 3-6.)
Wed.	Nov. 11	.	Christ Church, Oxon. (Lost 2-8.)
Sat.	" 14	.	Old Foresters. (Lost 1-9.)
Wed.	" 18	.	Selwyn Coll., Camb. (Drawn 1-1.)
Sat.	" 21	.	Trinity Coll., Oxon. (Lost 3-4.)
Wed.	" 25	.	L. A. Fevez's XI. (Won 2-1.)
Sat.	" 28	.	Casuals. (Lost 2-6.)
"	" 28	.	2nd XI. v. Old Harrovians. (Drawn 0-0.)
"	Dec. 5	.	Old Etonians. (Drawn 0-0.)
"	" 5	.	2nd XI. v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI. (Drawn 1-1.)
		1897	
"	Jan. 23	.	Old Felstedians. (Scratched.)
"	" 23	.	2nd XI. v. Clapham Rovers 2nd XI. (Scratched.)
"	" 30	.	Casuals (Scratched.)
"	Feb. 6	.	Clapham Rovers. (Lost 4-6.)
Wed.	" 10	.	Old Etonians. (Won 5-0.)
Sat.	" 13	.	Keble Coll., Oxon. (Lost 2-6.)
"	" 20	.	Old Westminster. (Won 4-3.)
Wed.	" 24	.	Cambridge O. WW. (Drawn 1-1.)
Sat.	" 27	.	Charterhouse (at Godalming). (Lost 1-3.)
"	Mar. 6	.	Old Brightonians. (Lost 1-2.)
"	" 6	.	Clapham Rovers (2nd XI.) (Won 4-2.)
Wed.	Mar. 10	.	Old Malvernians. (Lost 1-3.)
Sat.	" 13	.	Old Wykehamists. (Scratched.)
"	" 20	.	Old Harrovians.
Wed.	" 24	.	Q.SS. v. T.BB.

The Charterhouse match this year was on the whole a pleasant surprise. After the number of matches lost during the season, it was most creditable to the Eleven to make such a capital fight of it.

The following have received their pinks:—

P. T. Jones	W. F. Corfield	C. E. L. Johnston
S. M. Anderson	W. V. Rayner	A. C. Robinson
F. Young	W. H. Lonsdale	W. C. Stevens.

Upper Elections played Grants on Thursday, February 25, and won 6-0.

The pancake greeze was held as usual on Shrove Tuesday. After a better struggle than usual, B. H. Willett won the trophy. The *Daily Mail* published a long account next day of the 'Westminster Championship' (!) and did its best to be humorous. The *Daily Telegraph* also alluded to it, but was more sedate; while the *Globe* went so far as to call Willett the hero of the hour—in a certain part of London.

The result of the bye-election held in January was accidentally omitted from the last number. It was as follows :—

*W. H. Myers	T. C. S. Keely
J. A. Highmore	G. D. Brooke
G. T. Boag.	
* Non-residential.	

Sir G. M. O'Brien, K.C.M.G. (O.W.), has been appointed Governor of the Fiji Islands. Sir G. M. O'Brien was elected head to Trinity in 1863.

The *Pauline* had a short account of a visit to the Play. It is curious how often the mistake occurs in this kind of article of calling the dormitory 'medieval.' It was, of course, as the School almanac says, not begun till 1720.

Sir Edward Poynter came down in person on March 13 to ask for his late Play in honour of his election as President of the Royal Academy.

We have noticed lately a book which we recommend to Liberal members of the Debating Society, entitled 'Essays in Liberalism.' The essay on 'Liberalism in outward relations' is written by J. S. Phillimore (O.W.).

The gale on Wednesday, March 3, was so violent as to break off the big flagstaff 'Up-Fields,' which fell upon the corner of the pavilion, causing no little damage.

The dates of the Sports are fixed for Friday and Saturday, April 9 and 10.

H. O. C. Beasley is secretary to the Cambridge U.A.F.C. for 1897-98.

Marriage.

RYDE—SHAW.—On the 2nd instant, at St. Peter's Church, St. Leonards-on-Sea, by the Rev. F. G. Hughes, Frank Cecil Ryde, of 29 Great George Street, Westminster, youngest son of the late Edward Ryde, Esq., of Poundfield House, Woking, Surrey, to Ethel Christina, fourth daughter of the late William Henry Shaw, Esq. (solicitor of the Great Eastern Railway Company), and of Mrs. Shaw, of Glenhaven, Cloudesley Road, St. Leonards-on-Sea.

THE FIELDS.

WESTMINSTER *v.* CAMBRIDGE O.WW.
 This match was played 'Up-Fields' on Wednesday, February 24, and resulted in a draw of one goal each. Gwyer played as a substitute in goal for the visitors. From the first we had the greater part of the game, but the wind made accurate shooting impossible. Anderson tried several unsuccessful shots, and had bad luck in not scoring more than once. Barnett

was playing an effective, if rather rough, game at back, and with Gwyer in goal, baffled all our attempts. Hands for us brought no score, and after Gwyer had been called upon to handle the ball, a corner for them followed a run down by Snell, who soon broke away again from a pass by Beasley and shot, but Rayner was safe. The O.WW. forwards attacked strongly, and Young and More just cleared when things were looking bad. Blaker and Anderson both shot without success, and Corfield forced a corner, from which Blaker again shot, but the ball went over the bar. Blaker and Anderson found it very hard to get past Sherring, who was doing an immense amount of work at half. A good centre from Robinson was headed away by Tacey, and Sherring passed to Beasley, who passed to Moon, who scored a grand goal with a high shot in the corner (0-1). Beasley almost added another, Rayner cleverly dealing with his shot. Half-time :

Cambridge O.WW..... one goal.
 Westminster..... nil

Early in the second half Blaker and Corfield were noticeable, but Sherring and Barnett always nullified their efforts. Another chance was lost when Blaker centred well, but no one was up to put it through. After Barnett had kicked away a long shot from Johnston, at last Blaker scored from a centre by Corfield, with a side shot into Gwyer's hands, the ball somehow falling into the net (1-1). Stevens nearly got through again, but Barnett came to the rescue and cleared by giving a corner, which came to nothing. 'Hands' was given for us near their goal, and good shots from Lonsdale and Johnston just failed, and the latter again a moment later sent in a long shot, which Gwyer just saved. A corner for the School followed, Langhorne shooting hard just over his own goal. Beasley broke away, but Johnston was too much for him. From this point the game was all in our opponents' quarters, and Robinson was unfortunate in shooting across the mouth of goal and just outside the further post. Blaker and Stevens also shot, but without success, and time came with the score :

Westminster one goal.
 Cambridge O.WW. one goal.

We had considerably the best of the game, and certainly deserved to win, but our shooting was spoiled by the wind and a light ball. Corfield showed great improvement forward, and Johnston was also good at half on the same wing, as, too, were the other halves, and both backs.

The teams were :

WESTMINSTER,

W. V. Rayner (goal); P. T. Jones and R. E. More (backs); C. E. L. Johnston, W. H. M. Lonsdale, and F. Young (half-backs); W. F. Corfield, S. M. Anderson, R. N. R. Blaker, W. C. Stevens and A. C. Robinson (forwards).

CAMBRIDGE O.WW.

M. L. Gwyer (goal); R. O. Barnett and D. W. Tacey (backs); T. N. R. Griffin, F. B. Sherring, and H. Y. Langhorne (half-backs); H. S. Snell, H. O. C. Beasley, L. J. Moon, D. Shearme and J. H. Alderson (forwards).

WESTMINSTER *v.* OLD WESTMINSTERS.

The Old Westminsters being engaged in a cup-tie, only brought a moderate team to oppose the School, on February 20. The start was inauspicious, as J. F. More scored in the first minute from a centre by Hogarth (0-1). However, from this point we had far the best of the game, nearly till half-time. Blaker shot from a pass by Anderson, and forced Southey to concede a corner which was faultlessly kicked by Johnston, but the ball was sent over the goal by Blaker. 'Hands' and another corner were turned to no account, and a hard long shot from Young just skimmed the bar. Anderson missed badly from a good centre from the right, but, after we had pressed hard for a few minutes, he easily equalised (1-1). Immediately afterwards, Blaker almost scored, but Fevez succeeded in robbing him of the ball. An attempt on the part of their left-wing was quickly pulled up by Johnston, who was playing a very good game at half-back. Anderson again netted the ball from a pass by Blaker, but was clearly offside, and the point was disallowed. But, after a good but ineffectual shot from Corfield, Blaker by some tricky play put his side ahead with a grand shot (2-1). Stevens had a clear opening but missed badly through hesitation, and the ball travelled to the other end, where J. F. More again made the scores level from a centre by Hogarth (2-2). Blaker, after several unsuccessful attempts, sent in a long shot which Southey only partly saved, and Anderson rushing up put the ball through (3-2). The Old Westminsters then had the best of the game till the interval, Rayner being called upon to save shots from Gates and Willett. Half-time :

Westminster 3 goals
Old Westminsters 2 goals

In the second period of the game, the play was more even, Fevez and Whittow constantly repulsing our forwards, and R. E. More and Jones defending well for the School. However, J. F. More again broke through our defence and scored his third goal in the match (3-3). Rayner saved well when Hogarth looked certain to score, and Anderson took the ball down the field and shot. Southey stopped the ball, and a goal was appealed for but not allowed. Hands for us looked well, but Stevens shot weakly and the ball was got away. And Blaker shot wide when he was well away. We attacked strongly, but Corfield was given off-side and play was transferred to mid-field. But Blaker got away again and hit the bar with a grand shot, and Robinson scored from the rebound (4-3). 'Hands' for them near our goal was cleared by Lonsdale ; and it was all we could do to keep them from scoring. Robinson put in a good centre, but Fevez got it away before Blaker could reach it. Rayner was again forced to give a corner from a terrific long shot from Fevez. We were now acting mostly on the defensive, and time was called with the score still

Westminster 4 goals
Old Westminsters 3 goals

For the School the forwards were very weak in front of goal, and the match would have been won by a far greater margin, if they had taken all the opportunities offered them. Johnston was greatly improved at half-back, and More was very good at back.

Teams :—

WESTMINSTER.

W. V. Rayner (goal) ; P. T. Jones and R. E. More (backs) ; C. E. L. Johnston, W. H. M. Lonsdale, and F. Young (half-backs) ; W. F. Corfield and S. M. Anderson (right), R. N. R. Blaker (centre), W. C. Stevens and A. C. Robinson (left) (forwards).

OLD WESTMINSTERS.

I. B. Southey (goal) ; A. Whittow and L. A. M. Fevez (backs) ; H. F. Chatterton, F. G. Oliver, and H. R. Blaker (half-backs) ; A. H. Hogarth and E. A. Gates (right), A. S. Willett (centre), J. F. More, and R. H. More (left) (forwards).

WESTMINSTER *v.* CHARTERHOUSE.

It is, on the whole, with satisfaction that we take up the pen to write an account of the Charterhouse match this year. For one thing, for the first time since 1891 we have not been beaten pointless, and for another, the Westminster eleven, after passing through a comparatively unsuccessful season, at length showed what they were capable of, and gave our opponents perhaps the best game that the series has witnessed since the memorable draw above alluded to. True, we were beaten 1-3, but the score by no means represents the game. We may be told that this is a commonplace excuse, but we fancy even the Carthusians will acknowledge that the match was anybody's match till the last ten minutes, when the slight advantage which knowledge of the ground gave them, as well as better condition, enabled them to put on a couple of goals, and win a most interesting and exciting game. We are glad that the game was so good for two reasons ; first, it must take away the interest from a Carthusian point of view, to play such one-sided matches as were the case from 1892-95 ; and, secondly, because the good fight Westminster made will give an added fillip to the football at Vincent Square, of which it had seemed this season sorely in need. We are pleased to think that Fox's captaincy was the means of turning the tide, and we hope next year to be able to congratulate Blaker on a still better result.

The match this year was played on February 27 at Godalming. The Carthusians accorded the Westminster eleven a generous cheer as they went on the field, and punctually at three o'clock Ryder kicked off, and Charterhouse went away with a rush which was well repelled by More and Jones, and after some desultory play on the left wing, Jones cleared with a fine kick, and Corfield ran down the wing, but his centre was sent behind. From the kick off Forbes made a good attempt, but the ball went over the bar. Westminster then took the ball down by pretty mid-field play, and

Blaker, when in a good position, shot wide. Play then fluctuated a good deal, but Forbes, who played a masterly game throughout, gave Johnston and Jones a lot to do. At last, after an unproductive corner, Anderson and Corfield ran down, passing and re-passing the full length of the ground. Anderson's final pass to Blaker was intercepted, but again obtaining the ball he shot, the goal-keeper just tipping the ball over the bar. Smith was very hard to pass, and from one of his kicks the game was taken down to the Westminster goal, where Gordon, when looking dangerous, was robbed by More, but a 'hands' near our goal looked certain to score; Jones, however, headed well away. Offside against Blaker frustrated our efforts, but he soon afterwards got possession, and dodging the backs, had only the goal-keeper to beat, but missed by inches. A few minutes later, after a corner for Charterhouse, Gordon shot from a few yards' distance and scored the first goal, which, perhaps, might have been saved (0-1). From the kick off Westminster pressed hard, and Corfield and Anderson again made a good run, but Blaker's shot went wide. At the other end Rayner saved well from Gordon, who, with Ryder, put in some very good work hereabouts. A fine cross shot from Anderson was the next item of interest: a yard's difference would have made it a goal. Just after, Blaker ran all round Smith and Scott, but again unaccountably failed in the mouth of goal. Half time was then called, the score still standing at 0-1. Immediately after Rayner had to save a shot from Moss, who a minute later hit the bar, though 'offside' was given against him. Stevens, who was playing much above his usual form, got the ball well past the halves, and Blaker, from his pass, put in a good shot, and Scott conceded a corner, which was, however, got away. There was a moment of intense excitement when Forbes, dashing down the wing centred right into goal; the ball hit the post, and Gordon rushing up only just headed outside. Charterhouse kept up the pressure, and Rayner made a capital save, again from Gordon, while Ryder passed man after man with the ball always at his toe. Just as goal seemed imminent, Jones gave a corner, which afforded a slight relief; two more followed in rapid succession, but at length Robinson received the ball from Young and raced away, centering just at the right moment to the foot of Blaker who scored the first goal that Westminster has obtained against Charterhouse for five years with a grand shot (1-1). The cheers were loud and long, and Westminster, encouraged by their success again went away on the left only to be pulled up by Wingfield. Play was now very exciting and each goal was visited, one goal kick being the occasion of an incident which created much laughter. A very small scion of Charterhouse toddled on to the field of play and kicking off for Westminster with tremendous power, sent the ball rolling as far as the twelve yards' line. We hope he will not make too great use of the experience he gained by that kick against Westminster in years to

come. Charterhouse were now playing for all they were worth. More, Jones, and Johnston (the play was mostly on the Carthusian left wing) defended grandly and cleared time after time, but the pace was beginning to tell. Blaker made several capital runs and the forwards were very often dangerous, but Charterhouse again returned to the attack, and Ryder, after Jones had cleared finely, got the ball on the rebound and headed it like a cannon ball (1-2). Westminster strove hard to equalise, and Lonsdale tackled Ryder very finely in mid-field and sent the ball in to Blaker who dashed away with it, and it seemed that the scores were going to be brought level again. But Smith dashed all our hopes to the ground and sent well on to Forbes. There was a *mêlée* in front of goal, and Gordon, getting the ball, shot hard at short range. Rayner pounced on the ball and threw away, effecting the best save in the match. Forbes again got the ball, and passing to Gordon the latter shot a goal which could not have been saved. Charterhouse pressed up to be the end but did not score again, the result being:—

Charterhouse 3 goals.

Westminster 1 goal.

The Charterhouse combination was very good, Ryder in the centre keeping his men grandly together, and dribbling and shooting like another G. O. Smith; we know no higher praise. Of the other forwards, Gordon and Forbes most often threatened danger and the best play was seen on that wing. Jones and Forbes were pretty evenly matched in pace, and their tussles came off about equally divided. Moss was too well marked to be often dangerous, but he combined perfectly with Ryder. The halves were not quite up to the Carthusian standard, Wingfield being perhaps the pick, but Ryder had often to come back himself for the ball. The backs and goal-keeper were all good, though the latter was not very severely tested owing to the fine defence of Smith, whose kicking and tackling were alike admirable. He should make his mark as soon as he goes up to the 'Varsity. For Westminster, the whole team played in a way which fully justified the remarks in the February number of *The Elizabethan*. We were sure our confidence in them was not misplaced. Had it not been for the ground, which the Carthusians themselves acknowledge is worth two goals to them any day, we might have drawn, if not won. Rayner did what he had to do capitally, and fielded the ball very neatly. On More and Jones fell a great deal of work, and they proved themselves fully equally to it. Jones' pace stood him in good stead, and he tackled and kicked with great judgment. More set his men a very good example of hard work, and was very hard to pass. He was as reliable as any back on the ground, though it would be hard to draw distinctions between him and Smith. Lonsdale at centre half did not stick to Ryder quite as much as he might have done, but worked hard. Johnston had a big handful with Gordon and Forbes, but played quite his best game, and fed Corfield and Anderson

well throughout. Young was very useful, but the men against him were not so dangerous. He passed with great judgment. Blaker was the life and go of the forwards, and if his shooting had been more certain might have won us the match. His was quite a different style to Ryder's, but equally effective. Anderson and Corfield made a good wing, and the improvement of both of them as the season has advanced has been very marked. They combined well throughout, and one of Anderson's shots deserved a goal. Stevens and Robinson made a fast pair, and seemed to like the ground. Robinson centred well, and Stevens used his weight effectively.

In conclusion, we must thank Mrs. Haig-Brown most heartily for her kindness in providing tea for the spectators from Westminster, and also the Charterhouse Eleven for their courtesies and good sportsmanship. We hope all future matches may be contested in as good a spirit, and though we may hope for a different result, we cannot expect a better game than that of 1897.

Teams :—

WESTMINSTER.

W. V. Rayner (goal); R. E. More (captain) and P. T. Jones (backs); C. E. L. Johnston, W. H. Lonsdale, and F. Young (halves); W. F. Corfield and S. M. Anderson (right), R. N. R. Blaker (centre), W. C. Stevens and A. C. Robinson (left), (forwards).

CHARTERHOUSE.

A. F. Sprules (goal); A. L. Scott and G. S. Smith (capt.) (backs); M. F. R. Wingfield, H. Musker, C. H. Wild (halves), R. R. Forbes and C. W. Gordon (right), C. F. Ryder (centre), W. L. Moss and C. D. Mucklow (left), (forwards).

Referee, Mr A. H. Tod; Linesmen, H. C. Hollebone (Charterhouse), M. L. Gwyer (Westminster).

WESTMINSTER v. OLD BRIGHTONIANS.

This match was played on March 6, having been postponed owing to the date of the Charterhouse match being altered. The team was photographed before the match, and it was nearly 3 o'clock when Blaker kicked off for the School against a moderate wind. The visitors were the first to attack, and Moorhouse shot outside from a neat pass by R. Young. The pressure was still kept up, and it was only More's sound defence that kept them from scoring. Blaker missed an opportunity by kicking too hard in front, and so allowing Rydon to get the ball and clear. But a moment afterwards Corfield got away from a punt by Rayner, and Blaker had no difficulty in scoring from his centre (1-0). Encouraged by this early success, we maintained a steady attack for some time on their goal. Stevens put in a terrific shot from a pass by Anderson, which was splendidly saved by Rydon, but off-side was given against Stevens. This brought them temporary relief, and Jones had to clear. Anderson and Blaker both had hard luck, the former's shot just being turned aside by the wind, while a fine attempt by Blaker missed by inches. Soon afterwards a bad mistake by Jones let them in, and after Rayner had kept out a shot from Young, the ball rolled slowly

into the goal from a 'bully' (1-1). Even play ensued, a good shot from Crump being saved by Rayner, and Corfield shooting over the goal at the opposite end. A corner fell to us, which was splendidly kicked by Young; the ball curling in and hitting the further post, rebounded into play, and Blaker missed badly by shooting wildly over. Hands for both sides resulted in nothing, and the game was chiefly remarkable for our weak shooting. From another miss-kick on the part of Jones, Rayner effected a wonderful save, when a goal seemed certain. The ball was kept in our quarters nearly all the time, and our forwards seemed utterly unable to get away. After an unsuccessful attempt by Moorhouse, when he had a clear opening, the same player scored easily, Rayner only partially clearing a grand side shot from Young (1-2). Soon afterwards half-time came, with the score standing :

Westminster 1 goal
Old Brightonians —..... 2 goals.

The second period of the match was rather uninteresting, the ball being constantly behind or in touch, for neither side played up well, and the shooting was especially wild. A corner and a 'hands' for us availed us nothing. Moorhouse ran right down the field and ended up by shooting wide. Corfield and Robinson both shot feebly behind, but when Anderson forced 'hands' off Goodbody, it really seemed as though we might get a goal, but Blaker shot softly, and Rydon easily saved. Two useless corners were given for us, and one for Old Brightonians, from which Blaker got away, but was pulled up by Goodbody. The same back soon after had some difficulty with Corfield, but eventually removed the danger. Rydon saved very well from Blaker, when lying at full length on the ground, and again from Lonsdale a moment afterwards. We kept the ball near their goal for the greater part of the time, till the end, but Rydon was very safe whenever he had any shots to deal with. After a corner had been obtained by us, and sent behind, the whistle blew for time, and a poor game ended in another defeat for Westminster. Score :

Westminster..... 1 goal
Old Brightonians..... 2 goals.

The game was very disappointing after the team's display at Charterhouse a week before; no one played up to form except More and Rayner. Anderson was the best of the forwards, who were otherwise very weak, especially in front of goal. None of the halves were good, Lonsdale being the most useful.

Teams :—

WESTMINSTER.

W. V. Rayner (goal), P. T. Jones and R. E. More (backs), C. E. L. Johnston, W. H. M. Lonsdale, and F. Young (half-backs), W. F. Corfield, S. M. Anderson, R. N. R. Blaker, W. C. Stevens, and A. C. Robinson (forwards).

OLD BRIGHTONIANS.

H. L. Rydon (goal), A. Bird and F. W. Goodbody (backs), D. W. Sutton, N. C. Cooper, and B. W. King (half-backs), G. Henson, D. J. Crump, H. C. Moorhouse, R. Young, and W. McCawen (forwards).

WESTMINSTER 2ND XI. v. CLAPHAM ROVERS 2ND XI.

This match was played on March 6, and resulted in a win for the School by 4 goals to 2. The visitors kicked off at 10 minutes to 3, and pressed at once, but the ball was quickly returned, and Bernays scored our first point from a centre by L. Y. Barnby, about five minutes from the start. The ball was still kept near their goal, and Young was called upon to save. Hands brought them relief for a time, but we pressed again, Gwatkin and Willett having shots. But it was some time before Murray notched our second point. Bernays was again noticeable on the left, and after a few moments he added a third goal. Though the Clapham Rovers made occasional rushes, the home team more than held their own, and their defence was quite sufficient to ward off any attacks. Willett scored from a pass by Gwatkin, and at the other end the ball was rushed through, and thus at half-time Westminster crossed over, leading by 4 goals to 1. In the second half the Clapham Rovers made the game more even, having the wind in their favour, and Gwyer had more work to do than in the first period of the game. 'Hands' against Lonsdale let in our opponents and they forced a corner, from which Sworder scored their second point. But from this time we had rather the best of the game, though no more scoring was done, owing to the weak shooting. Thus when time was called Westminster were left victorious by 4 goals to 2. We were undoubtedly the better team, and the game was more in our favour than would appear from the score. The forwards were distinctly weak in front of goal, but all the back division were good, Lonsdale, Eustace and Deacon being most noticeable.

The teams were :—

WESTMINSTER.

M. L. Gwyer (goal); L. E. Deacon and E. M. Eustace (backs); A. C. Barnby, H. R. Lonsdale, and E. A. Cotterill (half-backs); L. Y. Barnby, R. J. Murray, F. A. S. Gwatkin, B. H. Willett and G. H. Bernays (forwards).

CLAPHAM ROVERS.

D. H. W. Young (sub.) (goal); E. Haigh and H. S. Simmonds (backs); R. Palmer, E. H. Blake, and F. Pitcairn (half-backs); W. Rutherford (sub.) H. F. Tabet, S. Galsworthy, P. W. Master, and M. H. Sworder (forwards).

WESTMINSTER LEAGUE.

Now that the League matches are drawing to a close it is interesting to observe how open the question of supremacy still is. Counting two points for a win and one for a draw, it will be seen from the subjoined table of results that out of the eight teams competing (with three exceptions), it is still possible for any team to head the final list. Up to date C have the proud distinction of never having suffered defeat, whilst E, A, and F have only been beaten once. The

meeting between C and E should influence the final result greatly, and should produce a good game. Then again A, who have been beaten only once, have to meet G and H, who have not met with very much success, and their chances of running C very close are therefore considerable. Last year the final games in the competition lost much of their interest from their one-sided character, but this year they will be most exciting. Would it be out of place to urge the members of the teams to use every effort to ensure their attendance 'Up-Fields' on Mondays, as it is unsatisfactory to both sides if the services of substitutes have to be engaged?

The following is the table of results up to date :—

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
A		W 4-2	L 0-2	W 2-0	W 5-0	W 2-0		
B	L 2-4		L 2-6	W 3-0		L 0-1	L 2-8	L 5-2
C	W 2-0	W 6-2		W 3-2		D 1-1	W 9-2	
D	L 0-2	L 0-3	L 2-3		L 0-1			W 2-1
E	L 0-5			W 1-0		D 1-1	D 1-1	W 4-0
F	L 0-2	W 1-0	D 1-1		D 1-1		W 3-1	W 2-0
G		W 8-2	L 2-9		D 1-1	L 1-3		W 3-0
H		L 2-5		L 1-2	L 0-4	L 0-2	L 0-3	

The Captains are :

A...O. H. M. Williams	E...H. R. Flack
B...W. Rutherford	F...E. F. Colville
C...B. H. Willett	G...H. J. Hudson
D...R. C. Jewesbury	H...F. Waterfield

O.W.W. F.C.

THE AMATEUR CUP.

THE Old Westminsters have at length taken leave of the Amateur Cup, being beaten in the third round by their old opponents the Old Carthusians. It was played on the same day as Westminster v. Charterhouse, and curiously enough had the same result, 1-3 in favour of Old Carthusians.

The subjoined accounts are from the *Field* :-

SECOND ROUND.

OLD WESTMINSTERS v. 2ND EAST LANCASHIRE REGIMENT.

Owing probably to the dreary weather only a moderate company assembled on Saturday on the

Essex County Ground at Leyton to witness the decision of this tie. The Old Westminsters were strongly represented, and, having decidedly the best of a fast and stubbornly contested game, they gained a deserving victory by four goals to one. The visitors started from the pavilion end, and the Westminster forwards at once carried the ball up, but Severn shot wide. After a period of even play the Westminsters pressed strongly, and Paley had to deal with fine shots by Barwell and Severn, only saving on the second occasion at the expense of a corner. The Westminsters renewed the attack, Sandilands being very conspicuous, but their opponents defended well, and eventually broke away and assumed the offensive. Davey had a chance from a pass by Lewis, but headed just wide of the goal. By a capital display of passing, the Westminsters' forwards carried the ball up again, and a neat pass by Severn enabled Sandilands to score with a very fast low shot, after twenty minutes' play. Fine efforts by Sandilands and Street were fruitless, and then, owing to a mistake by E. G. Moon, the Regiment had a good opening, but Lewis shot very widely. Although the East Lancashire Regiment frequently attacked, the Old Westminsters continued to have the best of the game, Paley saving very finely from Sandilands. The interval arrived, however, without further scoring, the Westminsters leading by one goal to none. Immediately after re-starting, Sandilands was badly tripped when he seemed certain to get through, and nothing came of the free kick awarded for the foul. At the other end Moon saved at the expense of a corner, and from this Bryce equalised. The Westminsters replied by a persistent attack, Paley saving well from Sandilands and Barwell, while Street finished up a dashing run by driving the ball hard on to the cross-bar. The visitors worked their way to the other end of the ground, Moon having more than once to clear, while once the ball was headed into the net, but a goal was not allowed, owing to the player being off-side. Eventually the Westminsters assumed the upper hand again, and were twice within an ace of scoring. Their efforts were at last rewarded, E. G. Moon scoring after a free kick near goal. A little later, as the result of pretty passing, Sandilands got possession, and, racing down, he outpaced the opposing backs, and scored with a grand low shot. L. J. Moon added another goal after Paley had failed to clear from Barwell. During the remaining few minutes the Westminsters had the advantage, and they won as above stated.

OLD WESTMINSTERS.

W. R. Moon (goal); E. G. Moon, A. Whittow (backs); H. O. C. Beasley, F. B. Sherring, W. F. Fox (half-backs); W. T. Barwell, L. J. Moon (right wing), R. R. Sandilands (centre), A. R. Severn, F. Street (left wing), (forwards).

EAST LANCASHIRE REGIMENT.

Private Paley (goal); Private Everett, Private Ward (backs); Private Harwood, Private Bryce, Private Tobin (half-backs); Corp. Lewis, Private McGuinness (right wing), Bandsman Davey (centre), Private Booth, Private W. Murray (left wing), (forwards).

Referee, Mr. S. R. Carr (Referees' Association).

THIRD ROUND.

OLD CARTHUSIANS *v.* OLD WESTMINSTERS.

The encounter between these prominent teams in the above competition attracted a company of over 3,000 to the Essex County Ground at Leyton on Saturday afternoon, and, with each club strongly represented, and the turf in good condition, a very fast game ensued. The Old Carthusians were undoubtedly superior, but, owing to a collision and fall towards the close of the first half, Hewitt became so lame that he left the ground at the interval, and took no further part in the proceedings. This greatly weakened the Carthusians' line of attack, but, having much the best of the exchanges in the opening stage, and fairly holding their own in the second, they won a well-contested game by three goals to one. No sooner had the Westminsters kicked off than the Carthusians carried the ball down, and Smith scored with a fast low shot. A minute later W. F. Stanbrough also defeated Moon, and the Carthusians followed up these early successes by a very persistent attack. Eventually a long pass by Sandilands enabled Barwell to get away on the right wing, and he finished a dashing run by a clever shot, but McGaw smartly saved. Again the Carthusians pressed, and Buzzard was very near scoring, the ball striking the crossbar. The Westminsters occasionally forced matters, but they were never very dangerous, and Moon was obliged to save from Smith, W. F. Stanbrough, and Buzzard. Hewitt once got through, and another goal seemed certain, but W. R. Moon rushed out and deprived him. As the result of the collision Hewitt fell, and was so lame that he left the ground at half-time. Subsequently Moon saved very finely from Smith, by knocking the ball over the crossbar. Although the Carthusians again returned, they could not increase their score before the interval, when they led by two goals to none. Upon resuming, the Westminsters for a time had somewhat the best of the play, but it afterwards ruled very even, each side attacking strongly in turn. Sandilands once missed the mark by a few inches, while at the other end W. F. Stanbrough, with a good opening, drove the ball just over the crossbar. Eventually Whittow passed to Sandilands, who shot, and, although the ball was returned, it went to Barwell, the latter driving it into the net. Shortly afterwards W. F. Stanbrough ran down, but his effort was foiled by W. R. Moon. McGaw then saved well from Barwell at one end, while Moon conceded a fruitless corner to Smith. Just before the close Buzzard scored from a corner kick, and the Carthusians won a hard game as above stated. The defence on both sides was good, Timmis and Wilson for the Carthusians, and Beasley for the Westminsters being very prominent.

OLD CARTHUSIANS.

J. T. McGaw (goal); E. H. Bray, W. U. Timmis (backs); G. S. Wilson, E. C. Bliss, S. Darvell (half-backs); C. D. Hewitt, E. F. Buzzard (right wing), G. O. Smith (centre), W. F. Stanbrough, H. M. Stanbrough (left wing), (forwards).

OLD WESTMINSTERS.

W. R. Moon (goal); A. Whittow, E. G. Moon (backs); H. O. C. Beasley, F. B. Sherring, W. F. Fox (half-backs); W. T. Barwell, A. H. Hogarth (right wing), R. R. Sandilands (centre), A. R. Severn, J. H. Alderson (left wing), (forwards).
Referee, Mr. F. E. J. Goff (Referees' Association).

 House Notes.

COLLEGE.—Upper elections have finished their matches with the following results:—

v. Rigauds (lost, 0-2).
v. H.B.B. (drawn, 0-0).
v. Ashburnham (won 8-0).
v. Grants (won, 6-0).
Goals for, 14; against, 2.

Room ties have been started this term in green after morning Hall, and have aroused great interest. Third Election Room was beaten (4-2) by Second Election Room after a drawn game, while Seniors' Room and Juniors' Room also drew (2-2). The final should prove most exciting. We congratulate Young and Johnston on their Pinks and their fine display against Charterhouse. Eustace, Lonsdale, Gwyer, Cotterill, Gwatkin, and Bernays played on Saturday, the 7th, for the Second Eleven. A. S. Gaye has been awarded the Ireland Greek Verse prize. The Chess Club is holding a tournament, and hopes shortly to play a match v. Rigauds. We think we are right in saying that the College Club is the only real Chess Club in the School, but we hope that other houses will also start them, as inter-house chess matches would prove interesting. The fives ties have not progressed much further since our last number. Great keenness is displayed with regard to the Q.SS. v. T.BB. match.

RIGAUDS.—First and foremost we must congratulate Beasley on earning a well-deserved 'Blue,' and hold him up as an example which Rigaudites cannot do better than follow. After his play in the 'Varsity match it was only to be expected that he would be chosen to represent the Combined 'Varsities. We had three representatives against Charterhouse—More, Blaker, and Anderson—of whom the last-named has opened his career as a Rigaudite by getting his 'Pinks.' We congratulate him on so auspicious a beginning. For the third year in succession a Rigaudite has obtained the pancake, B. H. Willett this year being the lucky competitor, following, we believe, the example set by two of his brothers before him. Now that the Charterhouse match is history, the House Matches and the Sports are the most interesting events remaining. The House Matches should be interesting. Our prospects for the Sports are rather a delicate question. There are, on their own confession, so many 'dark horses,' that it is rather hard on the rest of the School to forecast the results. We may safely say

that there will be some surprises. Our tug should be fairly heavy, and it is worthy of note that there seem as many members of the House in training for this event as for any other—with the one exception, perhaps, of the Consolation Race.

H.B.B.—We offer our heartiest congratulations to P. T. Jones, W. F. Corfield, W. V. Rayner, and A. C. Robinson on receiving their Pinks after the Charterhouse match. The secession of S. M. Anderson makes us very despondent with regard to the house matches. Our prospects for the Sports, however, are brighter; we hope to see junior members of the house displaying more interest in them than last year. Our tug team will be fairly heavy, but includes no extraordinary weights.

GRANTS—Very little, if any, interest has happened Up-Grants since the last number of *The Elizabethan*. The Literary Society have read Lord Lytton's 'Money,' and Goldsmith's 'She Stoops to Conquer.' We were badly beaten on March 4, by Upper Elections by 6-0, our back division being quite unable to cope with their forwards, who were considerably strengthened by Young and Johnston playing forward. Our prospects for the Sports are not very brilliant, though one or two fellows ought to do something; our tug-of-war will be decidedly too light.

ASHBURNHAM HOUSE.—There is nothing to chronicle at present. We have had no representatives playing for the school and do not expect to win the Shield, but hope for better things in the future. Our prospects for the Sports are not exactly brilliant, but we hope to make a good fight in the tug-of-war, though our team will not be very heavy. We have had a pleasant surprise in the re-appearance of Crawshaw, whom we reported to have left. This brings our numbers up to fifty-nine. The attendance Up-Fields on Saturdays is still far too small, and we look for an improvement in this respect next term. The notice of our match v. Grants last term omitted to say that we first played a draw of three goals all. It was only upon playing extra time that Grants won by 2-0, when we were without Beveridge's services.

 THE MISSION.

At the Annual Prize Distribution and Gymnastic Display, to be held in the School Gymnasium on the 31st inst., the chair will be taken, at 8.30 P.M., by J. W. Wheeler, Esq., Q.C., who will be supported by other distinguished Old Westminsters. Mrs. Rutherford has kindly consented to distribute the prizes. The Mission band will play. It is hoped that there will be a large attendance of Old Westminsters.

DEBATING SOCIETY.

THE House met again on February 11, to discuss the following motion:—‘That, in the opinion of this House, the action of the Dean and Chapter of Peterborough Cathedral is to be deplored.’ Proposer, B. S. BOULTER; Opposer, M. L. GWYER; Seconder, F. WATERFIELD.

The motion produced an interesting debate, and most of the speakers seemed to have got up the subject carefully.

The PROPOSER lamented bitterly that for two hundred years there had been no native style of architecture in England. All modern buildings were conspicuous for their hideousness. Unfortunately the foundations of Peterborough Cathedral were not as safe as they should be. Patching up was sometimes possible instead of pulling down, but modern architects seemed to prefer the former course. Two of the greatest architects had said that the west front must be restored.

The OPPOSER, in a speech of some length, was very scornful of the feelings of certain archæologists, whom he characterised as sentimental faddists. The Cathedral had already been patched up several times. An absurd suggestion had been made for boring the walls from the inside and filling the spaces with rubble to strengthen them. Walls were not admired merely for their external beauty but for their efficiency.

The SECONDER said that as long as the restoration was well done, it did not matter who did it. There was only one similar west front in England, and one in France. The Dean and Chapter should have chosen a man worthy of the confidence of the nation.

H. MCKENNA disputed the Proposer’s statement that all modern buildings were ugly. The new Rigauds proved the contrary. (Laughter.) All restorations need not be badly done because some had been so.

Mr. SARGEAUNT commented on the last speaker’s impartiality; it had been impossible to tell which side he favoured. (Laughter.) The whole question simply was one of the desirability and possibility of restoration. Mr. Pearson and his friends had over and over again condemned buildings which Mr. Philip Webb afterwards saved. The objections brought forward by the *Times* against the scheme for boring the walls were the most foolish he had ever heard. (Laughter.) In answer to the Opposer, the superficial view was enough for most people, by which to judge of the beauty of a wall or ceiling.

The question was then put, and the motion carried by acclamation.

The House then adjourned.

The House met again on February 18.

The following motion was discussed:—‘That, in the opinion of this House, Ireland is grievously overtaxed.’ Proposer, H. MCKENNA; Opposer, B. S. BOULTER; Seconder, F. T. B. WARD.

The PROPOSER made what appeared to be an interminable speech, crammed with intricate figures and statistics, and being the soul of truthfulness he never condescended to round numbers, but religiously gave pounds, shillings, and pence every time. He pointed out how the population of Ireland had been steadily decreasing since the great famine, and the taxation as steadily increasing.

The OPPOSER made several vain attempts to be humorous, and gave an exhaustive discursus upon the Irish as a nation. He complained that Irish dynamiters were let off more easily than English.

The SECONDER said that the great obstacle to redress for the Irish was the semi-barbarity of their representatives in Parliament. Ulstermen were the only Irishmen worthy of the name, and they preferred an English government to the frenzied rule of their patriots.

The House then adjourned.

The House met again on February 25.

The PROPOSER pointed out the inaccuracy of the Opposer’s statements about dynamiters. As a matter of fact, English offenders were tried under the Explosives Act, and got light sentences, while Irish were tried under the Treason Felonies Act, and got heavy sentences.

R. E. MORE then made a short speech in his favourite rôle of Dr. Tanner; after which the House divided as follows:—Ayes, 5; Noes, 14.

The House then proceeded to discuss the following motion:—‘That, in the opinion of this House, the athletic sports are not all they might be.’ Proposer, H. MCKENNA; Opposer, E. Æ. COTTERILL; Seconder, E. F. COLVILE.

The PROPOSER said there were nine things to be remedied, and mentioned each point shortly. The chief thing was the timing, which was abominably managed. A professional might be engaged for ten-and-sixpence a day, instead of which the School preferred to publish times which no one believed.

The OPPOSER, in a maiden speech, stood up for the system of timing, as it had the effect of making Westminster running seem better than it really was. The band, at all events, could not be better. (Laughter.)

The SECONDER then took great pains to make it clear to the House that he had once won two prizes at the Sports. It was quite true that no one believed the times given by the School, as they were not properly taken. Refreshments would be a welcome addition to the Sports, but if provided they must

consist of tea, as ladies did not drink ginger-beer. (Laughter.)

R. E. MORE regretted to perceive that the Proposer was still irresponsible for his statements. (Laughter.) There was room for improvement in the measuring of the course. He agreed with former speakers that the present system of timing was most unsatisfactory.

A. MCKENNA objected to the introduction of tea into the proceedings, on the grounds that there was no convenient place for it. Last year, in the race for boys under thirteen, there were three competitors and two prizes—(laughter)—but in the two under sixteen races there were a great number of competitors and only two prizes, while in former years there had been three.

The question was then put, and the motion carried unanimously.

The House then adjourned.

NOTES AND QUERIES.

THE Keeper of the Manuscripts in the British Museum has kindly made known the discovery of the following unpublished verses by Abraham Cowley. They appear in a manuscript entitled "Genethliaca Ducis Eboracensis celebrata a Musis Regiæ Scholæ Westmonasteriensis" (1633). Mus. Brit. Bibl. Reg. 12. a. xiii. A copy has been furnished by the courtesy of Mr. Russell Barker.

ON THE HAPPY BIRTH OF THE DUKE OF YORKE.

Behold the silent night with happy birth
Of Charles his second sonne crownes the glad earth,
Darkness itselfe discovers such a light,
As makes the night a day, the day more bright.
The starres peep'd forth, and pale with envie grow,
To see a starr greater then them below.
Ffor were their number with Charles ofspring even
Earth would wax proud, and think it selfe a heaven.
Wee saw a light, and guesst it Cynthia's ray ;
But 't was a bonfire in the milky way.
Wee thought it rained, but Jove our gladness knew,
And sent downe Nectar, or some better dew.
We admir'd the storme ; 't was that our joyes might bee
Common to all the windes themselves were free,
Him safely kill (If any such you meete)
Whose heart's less fill'd with bonfire then the streete.

Lett every oake sweat rich falernian wine,
And grow incorporate with his wife the vine.
Let Autumne know noe fruits but such as dare
With the Hesperian apples to compare.
With milke, and oyle let every river flow,
If nature, loath to loose her workes, would show
Some water still, let it such vertue bring
As poets please to give the Thespian spring.
Since bounteous heaven meanes with the blest increase
Of Charles his ishew to establish peace,
And make Astraea stay, our joyes shall win
Nature, and call the goulden age agin.

ABRAHAM COWLEY.

DISTINGUISHED VISITORS TO THE PLAY.

The following names may be added to the list in your last number :—

'Eunuchus,' 1854.—Lord Wrottesley, Baron Alderson, Dean of St. Paul's, Mr. G. C. Lewis, Mr. M. Milnes, Archdeacon Lane Freer, Dr. Haggard, Dr. Sutherland.

'Trinummus,' 1860.—(Additional names).—Principal of King's College, London, Archdeacon Lane Freer, Colonel Sir Alfred Horsford, K.C.B., Dr. Page, Sir Walter James, Bart., Harry Chester, Esq.

There are pictures of the Play in the *Illustrated London News*, 1 Jan. 1848, 23 Dec. 1854.

M. Milnes was afterwards Lord Houghton ;
Sir W. James, Lord Northbourne ;
G. C. Lewis, Sir G. C. Lewis.

X.

A correspondent writes :—'The following cutting is from a provincial paper, but of course I cannot vouch for the truth of it' :—

In the House of Commons last year there was an incident that illustrates the neglect of the classics, at least as an aid to oratory. One of the Members for Liverpool made a quotation, 'Naviget Anticyram' (equivalent to our 'Go to Bath.') Asked at the end of his speech where his quotation came from, and what it meant, he replied that he believed it was from Horace, but what it really meant he did not know, having borrowed it, along with some other phrases, from Burke. Every Member with a reputation for scholarship was appealed to for an explanation, but without success. Most declared that they once had known, but had forgotten, and a journey had to be made to a master at Westminster School to learn that Anticyra was the place where hellebore grew, which was supposed to induce madness. Horace advises some fool if he is not mad enough, to go to Anticyra and get worse.

OLD WESTMINSTER FREEMASONS.

A REGULAR meeting of the Old Westminsters' Lodge, No. 2233, was held at the Café Royal, Regent Street, on Friday, February 5. The following members were present :—C. W. Stephenson, W.M., S. H. West, W. A. Ellis, W. E. M. Tomlinson, M.P., Rev. E. M. Tomlinson, Thomas Wakley, jun., C. M. Barker, W. Hicks, H. F. Manisty, Walter Tomlinson, H. E. Rawson, J. B. Liberty, F. G. Hallett, W. E. Horne, and W. J. Armitage. The visitors were—Bro. John Strachan (Asaph Lodge) and Bro. Arnold N. Izard (Isaac Newton University Lodge). The report of the Audit Committee was received and adopted, the financial affairs of the Lodge being found to be in a satisfactory condition. The brethren subsequently partook of the usual banquet, and a pleasant evening was spent.

Obituary.

WE regret to announce the death of Sir JOHN HENRY BRIGGS, who was at the School from 1822 to 1825. He was the eldest son of Sir John Thomas Briggs, formerly Accountant-General of the Navy, and on leaving school entered the Admiralty, of which he ultimately became Chief Clerk. His father's career and his own covered nearly a century in the civil service of the Navy. Sir John Briggs, who was twice married, died on February 26, within two months of completing his eighty-ninth year.

Correspondence.

FROM OUR OXFORD CORRESPONDENT.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—The torpids this term were remarkable for two things, namely, unusually fine weather, and a record number of bumps, to which the chief contributors were Univ. 7, Corpus and Balliol II. 6, and the two Trinity boats 4 and 5 respectively, while Wadham contributed 6 in a different manner. Both the House boats went down four places, but the boats that bumped us were for the most part very strong.

In the House Sports, Kirlew won the high jump, though penalised three inches, and Hogarth, besides winning the quarter-mile, was a good second in the long jump, and third in the hurdles; he also won the 300 yards Strangers' Handicap at the Exeter Sports, and was second in the quarter-mile Strangers' Handicap at the Magdalen Sports. The House v. Pembroke Cambridge Athletic fixture takes place at Cambridge on Thursday, March 11. Kirlew and Hogarth are among the House representatives.

Severn and Hogarth played for the 'Varsity against Swindon, and Hogarth appeared for the County against Surrey this term.

Rejoiced as we are to hear that Beasley has obtained his Blue at Cambridge, we beg to differ from the statement in the School Notes of your last number, that 'he is the first we have had since Street, who obtained his at Oxford in 1889.' Apart from the fact that we have at this moment an Athletic Blue, we venture to remark that 1889 was not the last year in which Street got his Blue; he was, in fact, captain in 1892-3.

Term ends March 20.

Yours, &c.,

EX AEDE CHRISTI.

[F. Street first got his Blue in 1889, as was stated in the last number of *The Elizabethan*, and was the last Blue before Beasley, which was what we meant to convey.—ED.]

FROM OUR CAMBRIDGE CORRESPONDENT.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—In your request for another Cambridge letter, you insert the astonishing statement that you are sorry Third Trinity went down. I can only suppose that this is a touch of well-known editorial sarcasm, for, sir, Third Trinity went up as hard as they could go. Owing to the weakness of the boat two above them, Third failed to make a bump the first night, but made a magnificent attempt for an over-bump, getting within two lengths of Peterhouse. After that, they never had to go beyond First Post Corner, catching Jesus II., Peterhouse and Corpus without any difficulty. The whole crew rowed well, but after stroke, five and bow were conspicuous for their gallant efforts. To give honour where honour is due, we

should mention that a very large part of their success was due to their indefatigable coach, S. J. Selwyn. A very successful Bump Supper was held on Tuesday, March 2, but the writer is unable to give any details of it. We should have mentioned in our last that H. T. Sheringham is Secretary of the M. and S. By the time that this appears in print we hope he will be Vice-President.

We were all very delighted at the evenness of the score in the Charterhouse match; our only regret is that it wasn't the other way round. We congratulate H. O. C. Beasley on playing for the United 'Varsities against London.

With all good wishes, believe me,

Yours truly,

TRIN. COLL. CAMB.

[We are sorry to have hurt our correspondent's feelings, and herewith apologise for our mistake, and are very glad to learn our fears were unfounded.—ED.]

'UP-SCHOOL.'

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

SIR,—Your correspondent 'O. W.' is certainly wrong in his suggestion that the expression 'Up-School,' as a synonym for the school-room, is the growth of the last five years. It has been used with that meaning for the last quarter of a century to my own knowledge, and I believe for very much longer.

Of the alternatives suggested by 'O. W.,' 'School' is, I think, allowable, and has sometimes been employed. But I very much question whether any one who left Westminster more than five years ago would know what you meant if you talked to him about 'big school.' At any rate the expression 'big school' is quite new to me.

In November 1895, after the Commemoration of Benefactors in the Abbey, I was going up-school through Cloisters, in the company of an Old Westminster of a standing considerably senior to my own, when, at the corner by Pulteney's monument, we were confronted by a hideous placard bearing the inscription 'To the great School-room.' My companion nearly had a fit on the spot. He exclaimed, 'Who ever heard of "the great School-room?"' I suppose they mean "Up-School."

I suppose they did.

Yours obediently,

W. A. PECK.

Lincoln's Inn, February 20, 1897.

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

SIR,—The enclosed cutting from the *Madras Mail* of Jan. 5 may interest your readers. Mr. Campbell is a young O. W.

Yours faithfully,

'PILGRIM.'

'Among the Papers presented to the Royal Astronomical Society at its meeting on the 13th November last, was a memoir by Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell, one of the newly-joined members of the Indian Civil Service in this Presidency. From the *Observatory* we learn that Sir Robert Ball, the well-known Astronomer, in presenting the paper, remarked:—"One paper on the list is from Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell, one of the most distinguished men at Cambridge during the last few years, who sent in an essay which won the Smith's prize, and this formed a contribution so valuable to theoretical astronomy that he was urged to have it published. He has drawn up a paper and requested that I would do with it as I thought best. He cannot do so himself because he has gone to India, having recently been appointed an Indian Civil Servant. The paper relates to the question of the variation of the arbitrary constants in theoretical astronomy. It is well-known to those who follow the subject that Lagrange established his very famous method of determining the perturbations of the orbit of a planet by a principle which is called the variation of arbitrary constants, from which he deduced certain remarkable functions. The

computation of these is very laborious, and Mr. Campbell is the first, I believe, to point out an improvement, which considerably shortens the work. I am sure Lagrange himself would have been delighted had he been able to see the step forward that Mr. Campbell has made, which introduces us to a most marvellous simplification in the planetary theory. I think this is a most important step, and I am glad that Mr. Campbell has been able to bring it before the Society.”

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—Is not the system of 'run-about' worth a trial in Green, to encourage dribbling among all sizes and conditions of fellows?

Yours truly,
IMPROVEMENT.

[As Green is the only place available for both large and small, the adoption of your suggestion might be attended with difficulties. We are sure, however, the Captain of Football will give the matter his best consideration.—ED.]

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

DEAR SIR,—Am not I entitled to see the Royal Standard 'Up-Fields,' as Westminster is a Royal School, at least on such occasions as the Sports and Charterhouse match?

Yours truly,
FLAGSTAFF.

[Will 'Flagstaff' present us with a Royal Standard?—ED.]

To the Editor of 'The Elizabethan.'

SIR,—There is an error in the obituary notice of Lord Normanton—probably printer's error.

'From school Lord *Somerset* went to Trinity College,' &c., &c.

This is of course meant for Lord *Somerton*, the second title of Lord Normanton, which he bore when at school.

Yours truly,
ROBT. HY. HURST.

Horsham Park,
Horsham, Sussex :
February 20, 1897.

Our Contemporaries.

WE beg to acknowledge with thanks the following: *Lawrenceville Literary Magazine, Penn Charter Magazine, Our Boys' Magazine, Lancing College Magazine, Working Men's College Journal* (2), *Felstedian, Blue* (2), *Cheltonian, Marlburian, Edinburgh Academy Chronicle, Meteor, Quermorian Cambridge Review* (3), *Oxford Magazine, Ulula, Brighton College Magazine, Newtonian, State Correspondent, Cliftonian*. Also for the first time the *Corrig School Magazine*, from Ireland.

ERRATUM.

In the obituary notice of Lord Normanton in our last number 'Somerset' should have been 'Somerton,' as Mr. Hurst points out.

NOTICES.

All contributions to the April number of *The Elizabethan* must reach the Editor *not later than* April 5.

All other communications should be addressed to the Secretary, St. Peter's College, and on no account to the Editor or Printers.

The yearly subscription to *The Elizabethan* is 4s. It is requested that all subscriptions now due or not yet paid may be forwarded to B. S. Boulter, St. Peter's College, Westminster (*not* addressed 'the Treasurer'). Post Office Orders to be made payable at Broad Sanctuary Post Office, Westminster.

Subscribers are requested to notify any change of address to the Secretary.

The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of his contributors or correspondents.

Contributions can only be inserted if written on one side of the paper only.

Most back numbers of *The Elizabethan* may be had from the Secretary at 6d. each.

Moreat.